

## Latham, James

---

**From:** Banks, Samantha  
**Sent:** 23 January 2018 10:28  
**To:** Latham, James  
**Subject:** FW: Yarpole, Welsh Water and Phosphate

---

**From:** Singleton, Kevin  
**Sent:** 18 January 2018 09:17  
**To:** Banks, Samantha <[sbanks@herefordshire.gov.uk](mailto:sbanks@herefordshire.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** RE: Yarpole, Welsh Water and Phosphate

Hi Sam

I would suggest that given the confirmation from Welsh Water regarding the works which will be completed by 2018 the policy would be unnecessary. Policy YG13 (and its supporting text) does not make specific reference to the issue of phosphate reduction and I would have thought that Policy SD4 of the Core Strategy (which applies to all development) is sufficient to cover the issue.

Regards

Keivn

---

**From:** Banks, Samantha  
**Sent:** 17 January 2018 20:32  
**To:** Singleton, Kevin <[ks1@herefordshire.gov.uk](mailto:ks1@herefordshire.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Yarpole, Welsh Water and Phosphate

Hi Kev,

Would you be able to provide any policy comment on a query from the examiner of the Yarpole NDP.

He is questioning the need for policy YG13 (which essential restricts development until there is sufficient capacity within the WwTW) when the works are due to be completed this year.

Welsh Water have confirmed that the improvements to the WwTW will be completed by 31 March 2018.

He posed the following question to the parish council;

Q20. *Welsh Water have confirmed that works to increase the capacity of the Lucton and Yarpole Treatment works are programmed for 2018.* In view of this, does Policy YG13 have any continued purpose? If so, would the YGPC please provide an updated wording for policy and text.

And this is the response he received;

*There remain concerns that measures set out in the Nutrient Management Plan have still to be developed to the extent that the River Lugg Sub-Catchment may not meet the requirements of the Habitats Directive. Although the works may commence in 2018, the evidence base to the Nutrient Management Plan indicates that in theory Best Available Technology should be able to address the phosphate tripping requirements although there is an acknowledgement that it may be harder for this to be done for small WwTWs. Hence the measures/theory proposed may not work or be delayed. It is felt that a precautionary approach is still*

*required as set out in this policy. As it stands if the policy is changed the references to it within the SEA may become negative.*

The examiner is now questioning whether the works to the WwTW will deal adequately within the phosphate issue. I have emailed Ryan Norman and he is consulting a colleague (I assume Dane) but the examiner is also asking for a HC perspective.

Would you be able to assist?

thanks

Kind regards

*Sam*

**Herefordshire.gov.uk**

---

Samantha Banks  
Neighbourhood Planning Team Leader  
Neighbourhood Planning Team  
Herefordshire Council  
Plough Lane  
Hereford  
HR4 0LE

Tel: 01432 261576

email: [sbanks@herefordshire.gov.uk](mailto:sbanks@herefordshire.gov.uk)  
[www.herefordshire.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning](http://www.herefordshire.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning)

Any opinion expressed in this e-mail or any attached files are those of the individual and not necessarily those of Herefordshire Council.

This e-mail and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. This communication may contain material protected by law from being passed on. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this e-mail in error, you are advised that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error please contact the sender immediately and destroy all copies of it.