

# #51

**COMPLETE**

Answers Entered Manually

**Collector:** Web Link 8 (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 18, 2017 1:52:56 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 08, 2018 11:40:57 AM  
**Time Spent:** Over a week  
**IP Address:** 193.200.145.253

---

Page 1

## Q1 Personal Details

Name: **Angela Cooke**  
Address:  
Postcode:  
Email:

---

**Q2** If you do not wish to be on our database or receive any further information, please tick the box

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 2

**Q3** Policy TS1 - Do you consider this part of the document is sound, based on the following issues?:

If you have not ticked any of the above, please give your reasons below with regard to the test of soundness.:  
The Policy TS1 gives an open door for Travellers to keep their animals and provide grazing areas. This has already had an impact on other land users in being unable to gain access to their own land. Animal welfare issues should be called into question here as well as strict monitoring of the movement of animals. The policy also promotes a mixed business and residential accommodation for the lifestyle of the Travellers. As the proposal is for a temporary site, written apparently from a model used in Leeds. I have evidence that temporary Traveller Sites in Lincolnshire and Northamptonshire have turned into dumping grounds for waste, metal scrap and tyres. Therefore becoming uninhabitable.

---

**Q4** Do you consider that your comment for Policy TS1 is a representation of:

**Objection**

---

**Q5** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q6** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Attending  
the examination**

---

Page 3

**Q7** Policy TS2 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q8** Do you consider that your comment for Policy TS2 is a representation of:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q9** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q10** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 4

**Q11** Policy TS3 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

If you have not ticked any of the above, please give your reasons with regard to tests of soundness.:  
Policy TS3 sets out the site proposals as a stopping place for five pitches. The intention is for the area to be used on a short stay basis only, of up to fourteen days and not to be occupied all year. However this statement is contradicted in being open to negotiation on a case by case basis. The site therefore has the potential of eventually becoming a permanent site by default.

**Q12** Do you consider that your comment for Policy TS3 is a representation of:

**Objection**

**Q13** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q14** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Attending the examination**

---

Page 5

**Q15** Policy TS4 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q16** Do you consider your comment for Policy TS4 is representation of:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q17** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q18** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Respondent skipped this question**

---

Page 6

**Q19** Policy TS5 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q20** Do you consider your comment for Policy TS5 to be a representation of:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q21** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q22** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 7

**Q23** Policy TS6 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q24** Do you consider your comment for Policy TS6 to be a representation of:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q25** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q26** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

Respondent skipped this question

---

Page 8

**Q27** Policy TS7 - Do you consider this part of the document to be sound, based on the following issues:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q28** Do you consider your comment for Policy TS7 to be a representation of:

Respondent skipped this question

---

**Q29** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make policy legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q30** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q31** GTAA - Do you consider this document to be sound, based on the following issues:

If you have not ticked any of the above, please give your reasons with regard to tests of soundness.:  
In using the evidence from the GTAA, Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment stating that there is a requirement for different types of pitches. I understand this, however over the years we have seen the permanent sites at Red Hill in Hereford and Madley close. Plus the Pembridge site has been closed and refurbished many times. It seems that Herefordshire Council have contributed to this need.

**Q32** Do you consider your comment about GTAA to be a representation of:

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q33** Please set out what change(s) you would consider necessary to make the document legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording. Please be as precise as possible.

**Respondent skipped this question**

**Q34** How do you wish your representation on this issue to be dealt with at the examination hearing?(Please note: The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt, to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the hearing part of the examination.)

**Attend the examination**

**Q35** Are there any other comments you wish to make with regard to the Travellers' Sites document?

I would welcome further information and sight of a detailed budget plan from Hereford Council in allocating the necessary funds to carry out the construction work, drainage systems and fencing necessary. Plus the management costs in maintaining the site. How staff will monitor residents and vehicles in adhering to the fourteen day period. Plus operating a system in obtaining rent due from any occupied pitches.

I do not believe that there has been effective joint working with the local community and businesses in delivering this plan. This area of Leominster, known as Leominster Out parish doesn't form part of a local or town parish so therefore local councillors are not concerned in representing local parishioners living and working in the area.

Many Leominster businesses are in shock that they have not been informed of current developments. All hoping that Herefordshire Council would use common sense and logic against Government policy in sustaining business and trade in Leominster.

It will be too late for all of us when this policy is reviewed in five years time.

---