

Habitat Regulation Assessments (HRA) of Neighbourhood Development Plans

Statement in relation to CASE 323/1/COURT OF JUSTICE OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

People over Wind and Peter Sweetman v Coillte

Update following Counsel Advice

13 September 2018

Herefordshire Council has sought Counsel advice in light of the implication of the recent European judgment *the case of People Over Wind and Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta (C-323/17)* ("*Sweetman*") and the representations from Natural England to a number of Habitat Regulation Assessment consultations undertaken post the judgment.

These revised Habitat Regulations Assessments (post *Sweetman*) relied on policies within the Core Strategy; namely policy SD4 and LD2 to indicate that there was unlikely to be any 'likely significant effects' and that an Appropriate Assessment would not be required and the NDPs met the EU obligations.

The key issue has been whether policies within the Core Strategy are classified as 'mitigation' and therefore cannot be used within an initial screening. Resulting in the need for Appropriate Assessment which NDPs cannot be subject to.

Counsel advice has indicated that Policy SD4 (for example) is part of the development plan and importantly it has been considered through the Core Strategy assessment as removing the pathway to harm and 'likely significant effects'. As all neighbourhood plans need to be in conformity with the Core Strategy and the policies of the development plan read as a whole, there is no need for the NDPs to include addition mitigation covered within these policies as it is within the higher level plan (the Core Strategy).

The advice gives a clear conclusion that the Council can and should make the NDPs and examinations could be concluded, where either there is an adequate sewerage treatment capacity; or there is not but SD4 applies.