
From: clerk@burghillparishcouncil.org [mailto:clerk@burghillparishcouncil.org]
Sent: 06 November 2018 11:02
To: Banks, Samantha <Samantha.Banks2@herefordshire.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Emailing: Image (178).jpg

Dear Sam

Thank you and the observant member of the public for pointing out this error in the arithmetic and also for their other comments.

The figure should be 6.25 and not 5.75 as set out in the submitted copy of the table. The correction would give the site a percentage score of 48.1% making it level with The Bell road frontage site, which is adjacent and has recently been granted planning permission (PP: 180985).

However, even with this minor correction it does not change the scoring hierarchy for the most favourable and available sites in Appendix 7 of the Draft NDP.

Kind Regards

Paulette

Clerk to Burghill Parish Council

From: Banks, Samantha <Samantha.Banks2@herefordshire.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 November 2018 16:21
To: clerk@burghillparishcouncil.org
Subject: FW: Emailing: Image (178).jpg

Dear Paulette,

I have received this email from a member of the public in Burghill parish.

It would appear that there has been a calculation error in the spreadsheet, please could you let me know if there is any response which you would like to forward to the examiner with regards to this.

It is of course very late in the day but I ask you, please, to check the figures on the enclosed table. You will see that the top line of figures for site 10 has been erroneously totalled to 5.75.....when in fact it should be 6.25. It is amazing that the Parish Council allowed this error to find its way into the final draft of the plan. It is a serious oversight by the Parish Council. You will agree I'm sure that this kind of error can throw the results of an assessment quite considerably, and in this case puts site 10 on a par with Land Adjacent to The Bell Inn (6.25)....which did not make it onto the NDP for Burghill Parish. One has to ask how many more 'errors' have been allowed to slip into the figures for assessment purposes. At this stage it may seem trivial to be pointing this out but it may well have held sway during discussions on the plan. You may know that some of us have had suspicions over why Site 10....undeliverable in the first submission of the plan....has consistently and persistently been presented for consideration, despite all the constraints that it has against it.

There is no wish that the Plan should crash but I am suggesting that both Site 10 and Site 25 are deleted from the plan as being the least sustainable. Without them both, there is still Redstone (10 houses) and the 20 or so 'windfalls' not included in the count. We still easily achieve our 18% target (128 given planning permission over a target of 124).....why have more?

If you have any further queries, please let me know

Kind regards

Sam

Herefordshire.gov.uk

Samantha Banks
Neighbourhood Planning Manager
Neighbourhood Planning Team
Environment and Place Directorate
Herefordshire Council
Plough Lane
Hereford
HR4 0LE

Tel: 01432 261576

email: sbanks@herefordshire.gov.uk
www.herefordshire.gov.uk/neighbourhoodplanning

Any opinion expressed in this e-mail or any attached files are those of the individual and not necessarily those of Herefordshire Council.

This e-mail and any attached files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the addressee. This communication may contain material protected by law from being passed on. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this e-mail in error, you are advised that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error please contact the sender immediately and destroy all copies of it.