

Site ref	Name of responder	Comments
		<p>Question 1: Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as having potential are the most suitable sites to consider for future housing development? If there is a specific site you have concerns about please identify the site in your response.</p>
Bel08 & various	Natural England	<p>All the sites have the potential to impact on the River Wye SAC through foul and surface water drainage. Some of the sites due to their proximity to either the River Wye or water courses which are a tributary of the River Wye may have impacts on otters which are an interest feature of the SAC and SSSI. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Bel08	Savills	<p>We support the proposed allocation of Bel08 however we consider that all of the land that we submitted for our client should be included in the HAP even if it is identified as a 'HAP Discounted Site'. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Bel08	Martin Gilleland	<p>Reference to rejection of Newton Farm Town Green Action Group's Argyll Rise town green application. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Bel15	Pegasus Group on behalf of Lioncourt Strategic Land	<p>Bel15 - A number of potential constraints are present at the site. However, it is considered that with careful design of the proposed development to enable the retention of the mature and veteran trees (the habitats of greatest ecological value), and the implementation of suitable safeguarding measures, the delivery of the site is readily achievable in terms of ecology. Lioncourt submits that it is wholly inappropriate to identify sites with an approximate collective capacity of less than the identified minimum housing requirement of 3,200 dwellings. Additional sites should be identified through the HAP over and above the 3,200- dwelling minimum requirement, in order to provide flexibility in the market, competition and to remedy the Council's five-year housing land supply deficit.</p> <p>(Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>

Bur09	Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	The identification of site Bur09 as having potential is agreed and supported by Taylor Wimpey. Final number of dwellings and access to site from A4103 will need to be addressed. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Bur09	Lichfields on behalf of Keepmoat Homes	The representations prepared by Lichfields on behalf of Keepmoat provides detail regarding our concern about the deliverability of particular sites. (Please refer to the published original responses for full version).
Kings Acre Rd sites and Bur09		All sites off the Kings Acre Road and BUR09 will create extra traffic approaching the Monument roundabout which currently cannot sustain "rush hour" levels.
Various inc Bur09	Paul Moon	Several of these sites are too large and in areas where a lot of development is already happening or has taken place. Particularly: BUR09, THR19, THR26 CRE25, THR35 and THR23
Cen sites	Herefordshire Wildlife Trust	We have concerns for site options within the Central Edgar Street Grid area, Hereford city centre. The consultation document identifies 14 individual parcels of land within the central area (Cen 21a - Cen 21n). Except for 3 parcels of land (Cen 21k, 21l and 21m), all these plots sit within Flood zone 3 of the Yazor Brook. (Please refer to the published original responses for full version).

Cen21h	Aspire	Part of Cen21h covers land on which the Aspire Community Hub (Canal Road) is based. The Aspire Community Hub a community centre for young people and adults with a learning disability, as well as other members of the community attend on a daily basis. It would be a huge loss to our charity to lose this building to make way for housing, particularly if there was not any alternative provision made for the people we support. The Centre is a purpose built community centre, if housing was proposed in the area it would make an asset to the community. We could provide activities for a wide range of people in an inclusive manner.
Cen27/28/21f&g	Hfds & Worcs Fire and Rescue Service	CEN28 - The site is sustainably located in the centre of the city and is considered to have a potential capacity of 15 Class C3 dwellings by Cen28. H&WFERS support this estimate as it will ensure this brownfield site is successfully regenerated through a comprehensive redevelopment. CEN27 - whilst supporting the principle of the allocation, WMP consider that the estimate of 25 Class C3 dwellings to be overly cautious. CEN21F&G - HWFRS and WMP object to their inclusion. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Hol12-14/Stm01/05/17	K Lee	Hol 12-14 inclusive and Stm 01,05&17 will completely change the makeup of the southern boundary of the city, seriously affecting the availability and quality of green space recreation for some of the most disadvantaged residents
Hol12a/b	Dorethy Waring-Jenkins	I am concerned about the vast quantity of development that is proposed to take place in the south. This would cause a massive demand on the A49 going south of city, which is already oversubscribed. I am concerned that the lovely village of Bullinghope will be ruined by some of the plans for that area, and I think that is disgusting and the council should be ashamed of themselves. I'm talking about Hol12b & Hol12a specifically.

Hol12b	Brett Jenkins	Hol12b is a bad site for development, it is landlocked with the railway to the north, and two single country lanes to the east and west, with the village of Bullinghope to the south. These roads are not suitable for the extra traffic that this development would bring.
Hol12b	Lucinda Timmins	Hol12b - This site has numerous issues which would make it wholly unsuitable for development. Both Bullingham Lane and Green Crize only just accommodate the houses on these lanes and there is no possibility that access from either lane to a new development would be plausible. The site is also prone to flooding so will be costly for the council to investigate the options (a waste for an already cash strapped authority) and would be very concerning for potential buyers.
Hol13	IP & PJ Morgan	The identification of site Hol13 as having potential is agreed and supported by the land owners. That being, the land adjacent to the A49 of 11.2 hectares in Grafton jointly owned, with the remained of Hol13 at Leys Farm in sole ownership. We would like to express our concerns regarding the number of dwellings stated - 155 for the whole site. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Stm01/Thr23/a	Lichfields on behalf of Church Commissioners for England	We support the promotion of sites Stm01, Thr23 & Thr23a in the ownership of The Church Commissioners for England for residential development. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Stm05/Hol13	Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	Stm05 & Hol13. Support is given to development on both sites; however with regard to Hol13 concerns are expressed regarding the number of dwellings stated - 155 for the whole site which extends to 34.58 hectares. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Thr21	Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	The identification of site Thr 21 as having potential is agreed and supported by Taylor Wimpey. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Thr23	P&J Abell	The proposed Western Relief road runs through our land and we see the potential for housing to spread west between Thr23 and the relief road. Whilst we appreciate that this is outside the current Area plan consultation, we feel that it should be included in the future as the proposed road provides a boundary.
Thr29	David Thame	The refusal to use the racecourse site - which, as a racecourse, is a poor economic use of centrally-placed land - hobbles the entire strategy. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Thr29	Steven Ramsdale	THR29 is not suitable for development, because it is recreational and suffers flooding. It will be needed as recreational for the new Holmer West residents (1500?).
Thr35	Gary Morris - Planning Director on behalf of Wyevale Garden Centres Ltd	Site reference Thr35 should be allocated for housing in the short term and confirm that the site is available, suitable and viable for redevelopment in the short term.
Three Elms	A. Storrsson	The notion of "development" as being constituted solely by "growth" is outdated; there is much work that could be done in and around Hereford, that would not amount to the construction of additional living or working space that would nevertheless lead to the development of both the city's economic and social wellbeing. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Three Elms/Thr21/22	Mr and Mrs Pitt	Three Elms and Huntington Lane Area. This is prime agricultural land and is such a beautiful area and entrance to the city. Hereford is losing its attraction as it is expanding into a large city like Worcester. It used to be a lovely market town.
Tup25	Alan Preece	You are just destroying open spaces for children to play safely on especially Tup 25

Tup25	Alice Bowerman	The Site Tup25 is not suitable for development into housing. This a recreational green space, the only space that is accessible for residents of Foley Street and surrounding streets without crossing the busy roads. It is so valuable for people's mental health to have green spaces between housing. This will especially be necessary if the bungalows on Eign Mill Road are developed as there will be more users to this space. Housing needs to be built on brownfield sites first not take away peoples access to green space.
Tup25	Alice Devereux	Tup 25 - not suitable for the following reasons. 1) This is the only space for children to play safely. Removing or reduction in the space would lead to unsafe playing, riding of bikes on either the congested Foley street or the busy trading estate traffic on eign mill road. 2) The area is predominantly made up of low income residents in small terraced houses and bungalows, gardens are very narrow and unsuitable for activities. Therefore the park is much needed place for health activities and to connect with nature. 3.) The park is a highly used dog walking spot, being big enough for multiple dogs to play without issue, even when the pitch is in use. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup25	Amy Cannon	Foley field is completely unsuitable for building on. The access roads would not be able to cope and you would be stealing a valued resource from local children and families, in a time when the council is already stealing their services (libraries, children's centres, youth services etc.). The mental health effects of lack of outdoor space are well researched and documented.
Tup25	Brittany Winchester	The field at the end of Foley Street should not be built on. Because it was given to the children of Foley street and it is used regularly for children, dog walkers etc.

Tup25	Catherine Knowles	Tup25 Land adjacent to Foley Trading Estate (Tup02) The site is widely used by dog walkers, people and particularly children. It is the only large green space for the kids to play on and run around. I am aware that there is Central Park, which is great but does not double up as a kick about area. Children of all ages use the Land adjacent to Foley Trading Estate whereas the park is generally used by younger children. These open spaces are of huge value to those who use them (both physically and mentally). (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup25	Charlotte Moreau	TUP25 would mean the loss of an important green space for children to play in the town, and would have a severely detrimental impact traffic wise on the residents of Eign Mill Road
Tup25	Emma Talboys	The site at the end of Foley Street is used by daily by the people and children of Foley street. There is also a considerable issue with traffic and parking in Foley Street and to add to that would put far too much pressure in the current residence.
Tup25	Grace Cooke	Foley Street field. I grew up on Foley street and regularly played on the field as a child. My children now play there, as do other children on the street. When I was younger, I would ride my bike up and down the street, but it is now so busy with the traffic for the industrial estate and people parking for the hospital/town, it is too dangerous for my children to play out. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup25	Holly Vaughan	Tup25 poor access no other football pitch nearby

Tup25	Ian Broom	With regard to TUP25, I fully endorse the concerns raised & feel the loss of amenity to the immediate community would be an unacceptable price to pay. Access & egress would be problematic given the narrowness of Eign Mill Road. The area has been used for many years as an informal play area for local children who Older children congregate on the area & can socialise freely without concerns of traffic, or upsetting local residents. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup25	Jonathan Devereux	With regards TUP25, the proposed development of the green space between Foley Street and Eign Mill Road will severely disrupt the local community who rely on this area for recreation. The specific area has no other nearby locations which allow the mix of different activities such as dog walking, safe children's play and also sports. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup25	K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	Site ID Tup25 This is Foley Street's (and neighbouring street's) park. Where we exercise play, relax. It is ours, and it is needed for children and adults alike.
Tup25	Kahlia Laws	The site Tup 25 removes a valuable green space for local children and residents.
Tup25	Liz Armitage	Foley street field
Tup25	MF Spencer	TUP25 - To the best of my knowledge and belief, the field in question was left, by the owner, to the children of Foley Street as a play area.
Tup25	Miss Camden	Area TUP 25 is not a suitable site. It is a well-used play area and dog walking area. It is stated that access would be through Eign Mill Road, after stating that Foley Street is unsuitable due to on street parking. Eign Mill Road is a narrow road that also deals with on street parking as driveways are limited at the nearby properties.

Tup25	Mr Michael Craig	The site at Foley street is not appropriate for building due to its locally, access and potential for huge build-up of traffic for existing residents. The green field land is regularly and heavily used by the local community for sports, recreation and dog walking. It is a rare piece of natural green land within the area and removing such will damage local wildlife and ruin much needed green space.
Tup25	Mrs C G Combstock	Lots of residents; lack of parking; busy road. Currently this green space is used for recreation purposes and has the added bonus of being easily accessible to resident children without the need for them to cross any main roads.
Tup25	Mrs J Spencer	Site TUP25 is a field at the top of our street, was originally left as a children's play area and all the years I've been here children have played there. Also, the many trees and birds and wildlife of the field are of utmost importance and would be a huge loss to us local people.
Tup25	Mrs P Cleaton	Tup 25 This is a safe area for the children to play on as not much space due to cars in this area and close to their homes so not good to build on.
Tup25	Mrs W Brewer	TUP25. This is the only green space in the local area that is within walking distance for children and adults that live in the surrounding area without crossing a main road. Site options states that the site has no contaminated land. This site was used as a refuse tip, which ended up being closed down when Foley Street became over-run with rates, it was then grassed over. Therefore has the site been tested for contaminates? Transport. Foley Street at present is supposed to be a no through road but is already heavily used by vehicles, pedestrians/cyclists to gain access/exit to Foley Trading Estate, Eign Mill Road, Greenway Cycle Route and Rothewas Industrial Estate the present highways facilities cannot cope with the volume of usage.

Tup25	Ms D.M.Evans and Mr K.R.Kimber	<p>Hereford Area Plan site ID Tup25. Foley Street Field. How have the residents been consulted with? How do you object to the proposals other than the mainly irrelevant form you have provided? You propose to develop Foley Street's recreational park without asking the community. You put before the existing community some theoretical people. With one breath you advocate exercise, outdoors for children particularly, mental and physical health with the other you take away all green spaces, trees and wildlife. The Council put in charge to care for Hereford is spoiling it .Why? Please pass on my comments to be taken into account since I can't see how to do this otherwise.</p>
Tup25	Nathaniel Knowles	<p>Comments regarding Site Tup25 Foley Street. The green at the end of Foley Street is one of the very few open green spaces left near the city centre and is used by children to play football and hang out. It is also used by dog walkers and people like myself who take my six year old there to run around and play with his remote control car. Once you've built on it there's no getting it back. Please don't. In addition, despite the proposals stating that access would be from Eign road there would be an inevitable increase in cars accessing and parking from both ends. The traffic for the trading estate already ignores the no through road signs and Foley street will become even more of a cut through if the plans go ahead. I would also like to request that you check to ensure that there is no covenant on the land as we believe it was left to the children of Foley street to play on.</p>
Tup25	Nicky Page	<p>I have concerns about the park area by Foley Trading Estate being developed. It is much used by local children for whom there is little recreational space in the area, especially when so much emphasis is put on children being active</p>

Tup25	Paul Wilkinson	I am writing to you as I live on Foley street with my family. I strongly object to the planned proposal to build 10 houses on the field next to Foley trading estate. I don't feel the surrounding infrastructure can support a development of this size. There might be an additional 20 + vehicles travelling to these houses and requiring parking in a street which already struggles with parking. Secondly this proposed development would be taking away an essential green space which is important to our local community. Many locals use this open space on a regular basis. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup25	Petition signed by residents surrounding Foley Street Sports Field	TUP 25 - Concerns relating to ownership, loss of opportunity for local young people to stay active, ease of access to dog walking areas, increase in traffic congestion, and potential instability of housing due to former use of the land. (Please refer to published original responses for full version)
Tup25	Polly Neill and Clive Weavin	We strongly object to the council building on the children's playing field in Foley Street. This has been a playing field for over 35 years and my son and other children have used it for many years. The street is not suitable for more traffic flow. Also I believe the land was bequeathed for use of the public of Foley Street.
Tup25	RA & PA Norgate	TUP 25 - Both access roads especially Foley St are unsuitable. As an informal playing area, it is used frequently and the potential numbers of children in the area is growing (who may use the area). Was there a covenant on the site demanding that it only be for the children of Foley Street as a play area?

Tup25	Rayer	TUP 25 - Foley street is supposed to be a no through road with no access to Foley trading estate it is also stated it is a no through road and is a cul-de-sac .I would like to point out that traffic to Foley trading estate has to cross a public footpath to access the trading estate. As you state Foley Street is too narrow and suffers from heavy street parking but this is only a very small problem compared to The already big problem of it being a Cut through for cars/pushbikes and pedestrians when it should not be as it's a no through road /Cul-de-sac
Tup25	RJ & P Perks	We are writing to oppose the building of anything on the green space at the top of Foley Street. In a street that has cars parked on the pavement for its entire length, our small green oasis is of great importance to us all, To see it built on would be a great shame.
Tup25	S Perkins	Foley street area, green park space
Tup25	S Walmsley	Site Tup 25 is not suitable. It's one of few limited green spaces in Tupsley area. If the area is developed it will only increase traffic and congestion with parking in the area especially along Foley st which is already used as free parking by people who don't live in the street.
Tup25	Sally Stone	The field st the top of Foley Street and Eign Mill Street.
Tup25	Scarlet Talboys	The field at the end of Foley Street should not be built on because it was given to the children of Foley Street and is regularly used every day
Tup25	Sheila Milne	I do not consider Tup25 (land adjacent to Foley Trading Estate, Hereford HR1 2SF) suitable for housing development, because it is situated between a railway line and a trading estate, and building on any part of it would damage or destroy a much-valued green space and recreation area.

Tup25	Susan Powell	Tup25 at the end of Foley St. Where would resident's access be? Where would site Foley St already has traffic from the industrial estate, and on the other side of the site(Eign Hill) residents live in quiet cul-de-sacs.
Tup25	Susanna Grunsell	The site TUP25, land adjacent to Foley Trading Estate is a well-used field with many mature trees - beautiful plane trees with variegated leaves - 2 goal posts are well used by children playing football - all ages of children and adults do this throughout the year. Dog walkers also use the area and even a golfer uses it to improve his skills - I value it as a place of quiet retreat.
Tup25	Thomas Craig	Foley St football pitch has been used by kids for sport and recreation since football posts first erected in the 90s, one of the few inner city locations for such activity.
Tup25	Tracey	Tup 25 is widely used by the local community young and old on a daily basis
Tup25	Elizabeth Bowring-Lossock	Green leisure spaces are being taken away from city residents. For example, Foley Fields and the village planned for the land at Three Elms wipes out clean, green space. For thousands of people as well as the eco system.
Tup26	Anon	It seems you want to jam in 20 houses to a very small space. Current planning permission for the housing estates already being built have jammed in houses. Houses back onto each other with very small gardens. No thought is made of the people who live there. Pathetic! Your planning department has no idea of how to make a development that actually works for people! (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Tup26	Caroline Walden	<p>1. Queenswood Drive is already extremely busy facilitating not only the 26 dwellings in Copsewood Drive, but the other cul-de-sacs and the full length of Queenswood. Many households have two vehicles using Queenswood as well as providing access for the continuous trade/delivery vehicles utilising the road throughout the day. It is not a road which has been built to cater for even further proposed housing and the extra traffic flow that will occur. 2. Both the designated recreational area, identified as Tup 26, and the adjacent playing fields and children's park are widely used and very valued by not only the local dwellings but the extended community as well. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Tup26	Coralie Jones	<p>Specifically in relation to Site ID Tup 26. This has been a green open space since the construction of the current housing estate some 32 years ago. When the original planning permission was granted to Bryant Homes by Hereford City Council in 1983 a condition of such planning was that "a landscaping and tree planting scheme should be carried out in order to ensure a satisfactory and well planned development and to preserve and enhance the quality of the environment." It also stated that "the trees form an integral part of the visual environment" and that the condition was imposed "to preserve the character and amenities of the area". (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26	Daniel Green	<p>The Queenswood Drive location is frequently used by dog walkers. Areas surrounding are for recreational use meaning this will be an issue for local dog walkers. Parking during footballing event is busy anyway. With additional cars this will create further problems and potential hazards for these children and drivers.</p>
Tup26	David Harrison	<p>Site ID Tup 26. This site is eminently unsuitable, the area is already congested.1) the increased traffic for a narrow Queenswood Drive would be dangerous 2) the loss of amenity land cannot be replaced easily and this comes on top of 120 houses recently constructed.</p>

Tup26	Debby Chalk	Tup26 Traffic on Queenswood Drive is quite congested particularly when an event is taking place on the football pitch. Parking on both sides of the road is not uncommon and additional houses will only exacerbate the situation. Additional works would be required to sort out the flooding potential elsewhere upstream of the stream that runs between the Bishop's school pitches and the Tupsley soccer pitches, which currently utilizes this area (Tup26) as a flood plain if necessary.
Tup26	EA Evans	TUP26 - This green space is essential to the health and wellbeing of the community, for children to play, for families, the elderly, for dog walkers; as no doubt it will be to the residents of the 120 houses (Bishops Field) only 150 yards away. This area suffers from severe traffic congestion. The stream that borders TUP26 already floods significantly. Stating that the city has an oversupply of green space is not a reason to take away this precious local green space. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup26	Elaine Clayton	There is a covenant on this site preventing any future build. My husband and I purchased this property due to the above covenant and to preserve the natural peace and pleasure it provides when overlooking such a marvellous area of outstanding beauty. The open space is used by local and distant communities due to it being the only local site within this area. This open space is used to access 3 local schools, nursery, shops and pharmacy by the local community preventing them from walking on the public highway. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup26	Ella Lucy	I've just heard from a neighbour that there is a planning application for the dog walking field on Queenswood drive. However if we weren't told we wouldn't have known about this as there are no signs up about it. I would like to strongly object this application: 1.) there will be way too many cars especially when the football is on on the weekend. 2.) School children use this field as a walk-through to get to school. This is a potential safeguarding issue. 3.) There are lots of dog-walkers that use this field every day. 4.) This will definitely disturb a lot of the nature and wildlife that live there. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Tup26	Hilary Goddard	<p>Reasons against the use of land TUP26 for housing are 1. The 120 houses (Bishop Field Estate) are not shown on the map but are less than 150 meters from the proposed development area. This development will use TUP26 and is already having an impact on the sports fields. 2. TUP26 is the only informal recreational green space 3. Queenswood Drive currently has problems especially when cars are parked on the road 4. The proposal that the loss of TUP26 would be compensated by improving existing open space is not viable as the only existing open space nearby is used for sports by the local school. 5. Bishops Field housing already are using the sewage and drains of the Queenswood Drive. 6. Local schools are already oversubscribed. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26	Joanne Jones	<p>TUP26, Concerns over the already low water table as when heavy rain falls part of this area floods. It sits on the proposed area and covers the lower part of Queenswood Drive. Houses have had sandbags outside them before during heavy rain fall. A large number of mature trees sit all over this land. The land is used by both local children and families as well as dog walkers. There are a large number of houses looking onto this area. The top field is used for football practise/matches. When this is going on (normally every weekend and once or twice during the week) the road is full of parked cars already making it difficult to pass in a car. The area is already over populated due to the good schools.</p>
Tup26	Karen Amos	<p>Tup 26 for housing; not having Tup 26 for recreational space would have a huge impact on my family life. Our children use that outdoor area to play, it's close to home and safe. They explore what has fallen off the trees, watch the wildlife, our kids see squirrels and said they one saw a lizard! Seeing wildlife is beneficial for them. We walk out and play with our dog. . (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Tup26	Lesley Ann Watkins	<p>My reasons against the use of Tup 26 for housing are as follows. The residents will lose the only informal green space remaining for leisure activities and dog walking. The increased use of the two playing fields will lead to more rubbish in those areas and interference in formal sports activities. The road infrastructure is inadequate for an extra 20 houses and possibly 40 cars. Queenswood Drive is too narrow to permit access for construction vehicles at the same time as the cars of the current residents. Tup 26 is an area of ecological richness with an abundance of trees, shrubs, and hedgerows, with birds (including Buzzards), squirrels, moles, and rabbits. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26	Michael Howard	<p>Site Tup 26- The report is poorly written, and does not state any of the evidence support the decision to use this land. Queenswood drive is very narrow, increased housing in this area would have a detrimental effect. The report states it would have little impact on city centre traffic (which is the equivalent of saying congestion on the M25 has little impact on the traffic outside the houses of parliament). (Please refer to the published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26	Mike Ewins	<p>TUP26 - 1. Land was gifted on basis that remains Green belt and that no building would take place on it. 2. Stream runs along boundary by playing field which floods. 3. Protected species of Newts are present in stream (so I am told) 4. is recreational space for Dog walkers (avoiding use of playing field)5.Area for local children to play away from sports fields providing safe secure recreational space.6.Surrounding area i.e. Bishop field development has already increased road use and put strain on local infrastructure. 7. TUP26 is currently part of a safe and secure pedestrian route home for numerous children from neighbouring schools. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Tup26	Mr and Mrs K Burge	With reference specifically to TUP26, we consider the area unsuitable for the following reasons: The road network will not cope with the amount of vehicles generated by any additional houses. The roads in this area are definitely not wide enough for the amount of vehicles that will inevitably be parked on the roadside, denying residents easy access to their own property, causing a safety hazard and this problem will be made even worse on days when senior and junior football matches are taking place. There is also a problem with flooding on the border of TUP26.
Tup26	Mr S G Price	Tup 26 - Land North West of Queenswood Drive HR1 1AT. This is a community area and has been for many years since development of the estate back in the 1980's. It is an important space for the residents and by definition is for the local community. Local knowledge suggests it was part of the agreement and 'gifted' when the surrounding land was sold for housing. For such a small piece of important community land to be considered for housing is staggering. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup26	Mrs A Neal	Tup26, concerns relating to a covenant registered for the land to remain undeveloped for recreational purposes; use of the land as a war memorial site and the request for it to become a Centenary field. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup26	Mrs J Owen	This site is a very small area to consider cramming 20 houses on. The access road is very narrow and we already experience traffic problems. There is never enough parking spaces allocated to builds like this. There is not an oversupply of amenity green space in this area. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup26	Mrs S Begley	Tup 26 is a popular and well used recreational space, not only for the residents in the immediate vicinity but all around Tupsley. The adjoining enclosed sports field is usually unavailable to dog walkers at weekends as it is being used by the children's football teams for training and matches, as well as evening training sessions. The parking can be quite a problem along Queenswood Drive during the football matches. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Tup26	Neil and Marisa Catterall	Reasons against the use of land TUP 26 for housing. 1) THE IMPACT OF THE LOSS OF INFORMAL RECREATIONAL SPACE: 2) ROAD NETWORK NOT ABLE TO SUPPORT THE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC: 3) OVER POPULATING OF THE AREA WITH LACK OF GREEN SPACE TO MAKE IT AMENABLE: 4) RISK OF FLOODING (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup26	Nicola Kempson	Tup 26 , this is a well-used recreational area you should not be building more houses until you have sorted out Herefords traffic problem
Tup26	Nigel Merriman	Tup 26 Destruction of existing trees. Disturbance of bats and other wildlife. Flooding of stream. Lack of adequate vehicle access
Tup26	Owen Evans	The Tup26 Area with the football field is used extensively by large numbers of children and their parents and can be overwhelmed with park cars. Plus the Bishops Field are now also being used for football tournaments for children. The Area has a unique purpose planted mature Tree Area. It's use extensively by dog walkers, walkers, joggers etc. It's a general play area for children of the district - otherwise they will be confined to the streets and other green open areas are a long way off. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup26	Pam Fox	TUP 26 Impact of the loss of dog walking area. Also increased flood risk due to stream. Plus already 120 new properties in this area using existing medical and educational facilities.
Tup26	Peter Clayton	TUP26 - Concerns relating to the open space being subject to a covenant, access to the space which is used by the larger community, the health and safety of those who use it and the fact it prevents them having to use the public highway, the issue of traffic congestion, flooding, destruction of mature trees, new builds in area adding to the need to keep this open space, the danger to local wildlife and the potential strain on infrastructure as it is. (Please refer to published original responses for complete version)

Tup26	Simon Warburton	<p>The area TUP 26 is not suitable at all. This is a community space which is much used by local residents and should not be used for housing. Local residents have already had a major 120 house build over the last 2 years only 150 metres away from this site causing disruption from noise and pollution. Local residents will oppose this and if required take legal action to stop the council from this land grab. Our local MP Jessie Norman will be lobbied in order to find out why a Conservative led council seeks to destroy the local community.</p>
Tup26	Steve Chalk	<p>Tup26. Decreasing the area of green space available will concentrate dog walkers and leisure seeking residents together which will be detrimental to both. Dog excrement, drug paraphernalia and general litter will increase and lead to a lowering of the attractiveness of the area and potential health and safety issues.</p>
Tup26	Zara Roberts	<p>TUP26 The culvert to the top left of the identified area serious flooded 2 years ago due to non-maintenance. Additional housing will mean more surface water and limited access to the culvert for maintenance. Queenswood Drive is a narrow road that on occasions is dangerous to drive down due to the volume of cars parked at the side of the road. More housing will increase this congestion. Local knowledge states that this land was gifted to the community when the infectious diseases hospital was disbanded. This land is used by children to play when, climb trees, walk dogs and use as a community area.</p>
Tup26	Annabel and Lee Williams	<p>TUP26, 1. Queenswood Drive is already a busy road, especially now Tupsley football team play games and regularly train on the land adjoining the grassland. 2. The community use the grassland constantly to walk their dogs. 3. There have already been 2 developments in this area including the houses built on Bishops Fields and the houses just off Guerne Avenue. 4. The grassland has many trees and is full of birds and wildlife. 5. The visual impact on residents will also be affected as well as the privacy as all the houses on Queenswood Drive will be overlooked. 6. This will affect the character of the neighbourhood as it currently has a community feel but you would lose this if dog walkers can't use the grassland. 7. There will also be noise disturbance from all the extra houses. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Tup26/27	Adrian	<p>All areas of green amenity space should be protected - the benefit of living in a rural area is that we do not all live on top of one another. I do not consider we have an oversupply of amenity green space. I have an overall concern about all spaces but naturally am particularly concerned over the areas I know best which are Tupsley 26 and Tupsley 27 - both areas provide highly valued open space for the local community.</p>
Tup26/27	Elise Hasler	<p>Using Tup 26 and Tup 27 for housing would place further pressure on the road and parking system of the local area - the 3 schools in Tupsley and local doctor's surgery already struggle with the sheer amount of cars and the road network just cannot support further increases in traffic. If Tup 26 and Tup 27 were used for housing then that would mean basically removing all green space to the local area as we would only be left with the community sports playing field. The document states that any removal and loss of green space would be "compensated" but that is not possible as there are no other green spaces available. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Tup26/27	Emma Hall	<p>I am against developing houses on the tupsley 26/27 area. There is a brook bordering Tup 26 which floods every year. This is the only green space for leisure time/dog walking. The narrow road already gets congested particularly at weekends with sporting events. 110 houses have just been built nearby on bishops fields- how are the local schools supposed to accommodate all the extra houses/children?</p>
Tup26/27	Enid and Tony Collins	<p>With reference to Tup 26 & Tup 27. We cannot believe that these are even options for building on. These are beautiful green spaces - mature trees & lovely grass areas. If Tup 26 and Tup 27 were used for housing then that would mean removing all green space to the local area as we would only be left with the community sports playing field. The document states that any removal and loss of green space would be "compensated" but that is not possible as there are no other green spaces available. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>

Tup26/27	Joyce Warburton	<p>The impact of the loss of the only nearby informal recreational space If the land Tup 26 and Tup 27 is used for housing then the only green space left for residents of the area to use for leisure time and dog walking will be the Bishops school playing field and the community sports playing field. Road network not able to support the increase in traffic. The current trees and vegetation enables wildlife to exist within housing areas and this is of great importance to local residents and biodiversity. Suitability of the land- there is a stream (marked collects) on the border of Tup 26 and the playing field. This frequently floods and overflows significantly onto the Tup 26 land. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Tup26/27	Mr. D.P. Vaughan	<p>Tup 26 & Tup 27 I consider the road network around the whole Tupsley/Hampton Dene area unable to safely support any increase in volume of motor traffic, school days are absolutely manic. I also believe that the area is already seriously over populated and so to further reduce amenity green space would be inappropriate</p>
Tup26/27	Mrs S Gilbert	<p>I have several concerns regarding any plans to develop several scattered small pockets of land in order to build what looks to be a handful of houses in each location. I struggle to see how this would be cost effective and any benefits would not outweigh the loss of the small open spaces. Unlike other towns and cities Hereford does not have much in the way of parks and gardens and the loss of the few small open green spaces that are scattered here and there would be keenly felt. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Tup26/27	Mrs Susan Green	<p>Tup 26 and Tup 27. As the football pitches off Gorsty Lane have been leased to a local football club the only local amenity land for exercising dogs is the land earmarked for potential development. The area of Tupsley/Hampton Dene has a high proportion of bungalows together with Kryle Pope Court. Where would it be safe for them to walk their dogs - responsible dog owners do not want to walk their dogs on football or rugby pitches. Queens wood Drive is a narrow road and very congested particularly when the football teams are training, playing matches. With regard to the city having an oversupply of green space why is this a reason to think it should be built on.</p> <p>(Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26/27	Paulette White	<p>Tup 26 & 27- This is a residential area with few recreational spaces and as a dog walker feel that if this is used for housing limits yet another informal space for recreation and dogs. I have also noticed that since the recent development of Bishops Field estate there has been an increase in rubbish and drug related litter. Access on days when football events take place means an increase in cars and as it is primarily a single track road. The stream on the border of Tup 26 is also renowned for flooding badly, and the impact of additional housing is going to only make this problem worse. Further housing also puts an additional strain on the schools in the local area.</p> <p>(Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26/27	Sabrina Chan	<p>I object that site Tup 26 to be used for housing development. Reasons against the use of land Tup 26 for housing: (1) The impact of the loss of informal recreational space (2) Road network is unable to support the increase in traffic. (3) Over populating of the area with lack of green space to make it amenable. (4) The local green land on Tup 26 was gifted to the current residents.</p> <p>(Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>
Tup26/27	Simon Price	<p>Tup 26 & Tup 27 are in frequent use by dog walkers in the area who will then be forced to exercise their dogs on the sports fields instead and the development will add to existing traffic congestion in close proximity to 3 schools.</p>

Tup26/27	Timothy O'Byrne	I must register an immediate concern as both of these proposed areas for development are adjacent to our detached school playing field. If these developments take place I am concerned that there will be a serious impact on our school sports field. The loss of this existing recreational space (Tup 26 and Tup 27) will mean that the only remaining green space in the immediate area left for residents of the area to use for leisure time and dog walking will be our school's playing field and the community sports playing field and this will undoubtedly result in increased dog excrement and rubbish in previously designated sports areas. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup27	Angela Downing	Tup 27 is a valuable semi-natural woodland which is home to hedgehogs, frogs and toads, and many species of birds. It provides linkage (green corridor) between other habitats. It has been used more by the woodpeckers since the development of Bishop Fields.
Tup27	Emma Lowther	Tup27 is home to wildlife such as owls and a muntjac, bats also. Close to 3 schools. That road is chaos as drop off and pick up times. Why should we lose all of our green spaces??
Tup27	George Russell	Concerns with regard to loss of easily accessible green space, essential for the wellbeing of local residents. Local resident wildlife potentially jeopardised by building work. Areas of amenity green space not necessarily being available for public use, although assessment would indicate they are. Issues around vehicle access to TUP27 when issues already exist. Potential dog mess issues if dog - walkers cannot continue to use the paddock area. (Please refer to published original responses for full comments)

Tup27	Jodie Williams	This land is regularly used by children and dog walkers. The site is surrounded by residential properties any new housing would overlook these homes. There is poor access to the site as the only entrance would be opposite St Paul's school. This road is seriously congested at points during the day. This area is a fantastic natural habitat for many animals including bats, newts etc.
Tup27	Kaz Borandy	I am opposing the proposal build on Tup27. I believe that it is significant environmental importance. This is due to all the new builds already taking place and currently passed planning that will put pressure on already strained local schools and the extra vehicles that will result on already difficult roads. So already locally we have lost a lot of green space. Tup27 has a large number of wild birds (nearly 30+) including several types of owls. I would hope that a serious bat survey would be required as bats of different sizes are seen each evening in this area. Hedgehogs also feed within this area each night also. There has been lots of sightings also of Muntjac deer within this park and locally. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Tup27	Keith Wright	Concerns relating to the depth of detail on the map; existing and potential further traffic congestion; risk to current level of biodiversity and wildlife; risk to abundance of trees as a nesting area for birdlife; the fact that the site is a haven for wildlife ranging in diversity from amphibians to foxes; the dog walking area; the fact that recent housing developments have meant the loss of natural habitat and that this loss only adds to the importance of TUP27 remaining as a bio-diverse green space. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup27	Malcolm and Vicki Robertson	It is with some regret that it is necessary to state that the paragraphs relating to TUP27 are not robust and contain inferences that could be misleading to the reader who is unfamiliar with the locality. As this document would be a long term reference point for future plans and developments, it is essential that it is both comprehensive and accurate in every respect and not open to objections on the grounds of clarity and objectivity. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Tup27	Stephen Harrison	I am disappointed to hear that the land known as The Paddock (Tup 27) is being considered as a potential site for housing development. I am very concerned how this potential development could impact on the area. Housing at the Bishop's Fields development, new housing south of Holly well Gutter Lane and a new development off Gorsty Lane (backing onto The Paddock) are not shown on the current area map and this needs to be brought to your attention so that any analysis includes these new sites as well. A further development would have a massive impact on the area and the community. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Tup27	Anon	I am opposing the proposal build on Tup27. I believe that it is significant environmental importance. This is due to all the new builds already taking place and currently passed planning that will put pressure on already strained local schools and the extra vehicles that will result on already difficult roads. So already locally we have lost a lot of green space. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Various	Breinton Parish Council	Site comments for Kings Acre Road, Cre25, Thr19, Thr21, Thr22, Thr26a, Thr35, Bel08, Thr23, ES1,
Various	Sport England	Site comments for Cen 21 f, Cen 21 g, Cen 21 l, Cen 21i, Thr34, Tup25, Ayl14, Bur09, Hol12a/Hol12b, Stm1, Cre25, Thr19, Thr26a, Hol13, Hol14, Stm17, Stm05, Thr23.
Various	Welsh Water	Please refer to published original responses for individual site comments.
No site ref	Amanda Martin	There should no new housing on small informal green areas used by communities for informal recreation.
No site ref	Anon	There are far too many houses already causing bad traffic congestion.

No site ref	Carl Green	Green spaces were introduced to make people feel less enclosed not in a concrete jungle to start building on them would be a tragic mistake for current and future generations
No site ref	Chris Amor	There needs to be a limit in how many new houses we take on and to keep more protected green spaces, else there will be nothing left. No point giving people houses without the adequate accompaniments including decent quality open green space and countryside. Hereford is becoming a disgusting eye sore
No site ref	G J Shingler	Building on car parks will drive people away
No site ref	Gary Pritchard	Do people of Hereford really want Hereford to grow as big as the council think!
No site ref	Ginnie Jaques	Excessive number of houses planned for conservation areas, flood plains, impact on water supplies, without investment in alternative transport options than cars these houses will contribute to increasingly jamming up Hereford with more cars, increasing pollution, threatening our environment and public well being
No site ref	Gwenydd Thomas	Before and extensive building programme increased hospital facilities should be in place, also increased school places. Plans are mind blowing and don't believe 'new road' will address traffic problems. Sort the bypass first please!
No site ref	Holly Munn	This area is a valuable resource for dog walkers and children alike. There are always kids playing or dogs running around this field and it would be a real shame to see another green space destroyed!
No site ref	Lynnette Lobban	Some wrong side of city. Keep development south side near enterprise zone

No site ref	Martin Palmer	The building of so many houses may fit in with the government target for housing but does not reflect needs of this city
No site ref	Nick	South West looks to press into Herefordshire countryside which is not good. The expansion seems regressive to the infrastructure just built
No site ref	Nigel Cooper	T22 the TA field if this is to be developed in any way it should be a community space a play area for local children to play outside close to their homes or a village green, with some memorial to all those men who played a role in the TA
No site ref	P Cleaton	No you shouldn't do it
No site ref	Poppy Talboys	Stop knocking down people's houses needlessly for the bypass when you can pick a route where nobody needs to lose their homes and also stop building on the green belt when you have old derelict buildings in the city that could be redeveloped first stop taking the countryside and park areas away which are valuable for our children. We do not want a concrete jungle thank you.

Name	Question 2: Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered as future housing allocations? If yes, please supply details.
A. Storrsson	There are very, very few sites that would be suitable for further construction, and, if there are any, those are amongst the last, beyond which the city cannot expand in a contiguous way. There are areas outside the region of the map which may be suitable for development; whether these would constitute part of the HAP or another Herefordshire development is another question.
Adrian	I have no specific knowledge of site locations but brown field sites should be exhausted before green spaces are built on.
Adrian Pudsey	Spare land at St Mary's Park Burghill.
Alice Devereux	Build on new developed roads that will manage any congestion - such as sites around Rotherwas and north of the Roman road
Amanda Martin	Brownfield land around Cargill
Amy Cannon	Market towns or rural areas with good transport links.
Anon	There is a lot of land on Hampton Park Road, beyond Holywell Gutter Lane. Why not build on the land that is currently allotments? There is not enough green space as it is in Tupsley, given the population. Don't the council want children to keep fit and enjoy the open spaces?
Boyer Planning on behalf of Landowner	Assessment of suitability of land at Roman Rd should not be discounted at this stage. (Please refer to the published original responses for the full version)

Breinton Parish Council	Not in the NW segment of Hereford city which is the area we have focussed on
Brittany Winchester	No, because it's not our job to find them
Catherine Knowles	Old records office - Harold St - previously planning turned down for change of use.
Chris Amor	The council offices!
Daniel Green	The other side of the bishop field development which can be further expanded.
David Harrison	Land fronting Hampton Park road either side of Sudbury Avenue
David Thame	As above, the racecourse. Allocating it would certainly inspire its use by raising values: the owners would certainly not ignore that hope-value.
Elise Hasler	Adapt vacant buildings around the city rather than continually building afresh especially when it is at the detriment of the city's beautiful green spaces.
Enid and Tony Collins	Adapt vacant buildings around the city rather than continually building afresh especially when it is at the detriment of the city's beautiful green spaces.
Ginnie Jaques	Affordable social housing in central Hereford, smart transport solutions including tram, electric buses, more trains. We do not need an out dated 'bypass' destroying our heritage, our environment and ecology, increasing traffic through Herefordshire that doesn't visit Hereford but increases pollution and threatens our wellbeing.
Harvey Winchester	I don't agree at all

Anon	Suggest that Hereford Football Club, Bulmers, Cargill & Wiggins are offered sites on Rotherwas with incentives to relocate. These areas would offer the city fantastic opportunities for redevelopment.
J Talbot	Lower Bullingham
Joanne Jones	Another area out of town that is not having a knock on effect to those already build houses and families living there. Areas that are not already built up and are densely populated. . All brownfield land within Hereford
Jodie Williams	more sites outside city centre
Jonathan Devereux	Rotherwas area. North of the Roman Road towards Stratton Sugwas.
Joyce Warburton	Any brown field sites in and around Herefordshire
K Lee	Brownfield sites only
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	I don't know as I don't live somewhere else. That is a stupid question.
Keith Wright	Brownfield sites in and around the city.
Lucinda Timmins	The council already extended the city limits beyond the railway line to include Ho12b as it suited their purposes when the site was proposed previously (High Court ruled against this), so maybe the council need to do this to the East of the City as there is lots of scope for development there.
Mr and Mrs Pitt	Old Sun Valley site, Sportsman Pub site. Small developments including villages that would benefit as it would keep services like shops, pubs, schools etc. going.

Mr S G Price	Further assessment should focus on land to the South (Callow area) and also along the new by-pass route (Holmer, Kings Acre.)
Mrs S Begley	Bath Street old registry offices.
Mrs S Gilbert	It feels as if it would make sense to expand outwards from the city borders, building on a larger scale (rather than a piecemeal approach) would be more economic due to economy of scale and not having to face the need to make the plans fit very limited size spaces
Mrs W Brewer	The vacant Countrywide site and overgrown land in Mortimer Road. The un-used land off Holbrooke Close, Hampton Park Road. Demolition of the prefab bungalows in Eign Mill Road to provide more suitable housing
Neil and Marisa Catterall	A more suitable area would be the field down Hollywell Gutter Lane opposite Sophies House leading onto Hampton Park Road.
Nigel Cooper	Develop HFC football club. Relocate it outside town where there's good access. The details of the planning consent to be predicated on HFC transferring to the City a proportion of the site free of charge for the development of low cost housing.
Owen Evans	Nearby the area just South West is an Apple Orchard which was supposed to house a new Rugby Football Ground and new large housing off By the junction of Hollywell Gutter Lane - Hampton Park Road. The area with Tup26 adds with along with the surrounding playing field of Bishops and the Football field plus the children's play park adds significant aesthetically to the whole community area.
Peter Clayton	Not in this area of Tupsley.
Poppy Talboys	The old social services buildings in bath street

S Walmsley	Holmer and lower Bellingham would be more suitable
Sabrina Chan	I do not have the full information, hope that the Council will look into it.
Sally Stone	Land on Mortimer Road.
Savills UK Limited on behalf of Golf Inns Limited	We support the proposed allocation of 'HAP Potential Site' Bel08, however we also consider that additional land either side of the proposed relief road owned by Golf Inns Limited should also be allocated for development.
Scarlet Talboys	No because it's not our job to find another site
Simon Price	Build a new "village" both north & south outside of the new bypass complete with village hall, shop etc.
Simon Warburton	The apple Orchards on the Hampton Bishop Road have been identified and planning permission given by the local council to build 100+ homes. This will also benefit Hereford Rugby Club and local residents providing community facilities - why has this not been given approval to proceed?
Steven Ramsdale	Land around Merton Meadow car park, close to new road from Edgar Street to Aylestone Hill. Land close to Yazor Brook behind Bulmers running toward Sherrington Drive.
Thomas Craig	Brown land! Anywhere not currently vital green/recreation space.
Trix Craig	Any other sites but this one
Anon	Anywhere that's not a b****y park we don't want to lose the natural green belt of the city

Name	Question 3: Do you think any particular sites should be developed in the short, medium or long term?
Alice Bowerman	All the brownfield, derelict spaces such as Merton Meadow should be used first as they are creating an eyesore and have no environmental or social benefits currently. All housing that is designed should be encouraged to maximise sustainability, community interactions and affordability.
Joyce Warburton	Any brown field sites should be developed first- no recreational sites should ever be used for building on
Mrs S Gilbert	As above, in the main, I feel that pushing outwards rather than infilling small sites would be preferable.
Lucy Chuter	AYL01. Although this has been shown as a 'discounted site' my client owns Essington House, which has a brownfield/windfall site adjacent which could be developed for a housing site.
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	Both sites are considered available, suitable and achievable, and therefore deliverable in accordance with the NPPF. Although timeframe for development of at least part of the Hol13 site should realistically be longer term. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Alice Devereux	Build on new developed roads that will manage any congestion - such as sites around Rotherwas and north of the Roman road

Gillian Driver, Natural England	Capacity of the sewage system should be considered in the phasing. There may be a need to delay delivery/development until improvements have been made.
Mr. D.P. Vaughan	Development should only take place in areas where or when the infrastructure can support it.
Paul Moon	For short and medium term I think the most central sites should be the focus as this will be most effective from lessening traffic and also reusing old/disused areas before carving up more of the beautiful countryside.
Michael Howard	From the looks of the planning guide someone has looked at a map from above with little knowledge of any of the areas being suggested
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	How on earth should I know?
Catherine Knowles	However far more careful consideration should be given and meaningful consultation must be undertaken to ensure that developments are sustainable and do not detract from the reason most residents chose to live in Hereford.
Nathaniel Knowles	I am only writing specifically with regard to the Foley Street Green - Tup25
Elise Hasler	I believe Tup 26 and Tup 27 should be removed from the plan completely. More creative use of existing buildings should be planned for rather than removing green spaces.
Lesley Ann Watkins	I do not know of any particular site which should be developed.
Scarlet Talboys	I do not know of any sites that should be developed

Miss Camden	I think sites that have the best access and are not stamping out the small amount of the cities green spaces are the best sites to move forward in the short and long term.
David Harding	I think there needs to be a balanced delivery across Hereford and the wider county so all identified areas should be taken in the round.
Sabrina Chan	In the long term there may be a need for more development as the population grows. However, currently there are quite a number of retirement flats and home being built. More houses would be released when the older generation move into these retirement properties.
Brittany Winchester	It's not my job to find sites for you, no sites need to be developed on
Liz Armitage	Lack of parking and play area for children
Savills UK Limited on behalf of Golf Inns Limited	Land at the Former Belmont Golf Course could be brought forward for residential development in the next 3 - 7 years.
Tracey	Most of the sites being looked at to develop are areas which local communities utilise daily and could add potential risk particularly to younger children having to find other areas to play further away from home. The youth of today are limited to access play areas safely and would further limit the ability to maintain healthy outdoor play.
Owen Evans	Nearby the area just South West is an Apple Orchard which was supposed to house a new Rugby Football Ground and new large housing off By the junction of Hollywell Gutter Lane - Hampton Park Road
Joanne Jones	No development should happen in TUP26
Pam Fox	No means no, how else can I explain it

Mr and Mrs Pitt	Not sure. Sun Valley old site is an eyesore and needs something doing.
Boyer Planning on behalf of Landowner	Please refer to published responses for full version.
Keepmoat Homes	Please see published representations submitted by Lichfields on behalf of Keepmoat Homes.
T.Armitage	Re Foley street, unsuitable access/parking
Anon	Short term for Brownfield sites, not the usual crammed together boxes but leaving a few square metres per dwelling to create green space. All the other mass development plots shown on the Plan need to be built slowly over 10 years and with greater thought to the loss of countryside, traffic impact and the upheaval to current residents.
Daniel Green	Short term the site near deaconsfield is ideal to be started straight away
Trix Craig	Short term; the ex-registry offices on Bath Street; a disgrace and NOT a greenfield!
Mr S G Price	Short to medium Term - Kings Acre, Holmer and Callow.
S Walmsley	Sites that aren't already heavy populated
Coralie Jones	Specifically in relation to Tup 26.
Lichfields on behalf of The Church Commissioners for England	Stm01 - site could be delivered in the short to medium term, Thr23 - site could be delivered following the delivery of the Hereford Bypass - medium term, Thr26a - site could be delivered following the delivery of the Hereford Bypass - medium term.

IP & PJ Morgan	The 11.2 hectare site at Grafton Lane (Part of Hol13) has been identified principally to contribute to short term needs over a 5 year period. It is for this reason that a planning application is being prepared in the light of a persisting 5 year land supply shortfall. The site is considered available, suitable and achievable, and is therefore deliverable in accordance with the NPPF. The remainder of Hol13 that lies within the control of the landowners is also considered available and suitable in accordance with the NPPF. In theory, it is also achievable, thus making the remainder of Hol13 deliverable, but it is acknowledged that a more realistic timetable for delivery would be in the medium to long term within the plan period.
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	The 2.9 hectare west of Huntingdon Lane (Part of Hol 13) has been identified principally to contribute to short term needs over a 5 year period. It is for this reason that a planning application was submitted in the light of a persisting 5 year land supply shortfall. (Please refer to published responses for full version).
Susan Powell	The Bath St site which previously held the Births/Deaths/Marriages registrar could be redeveloped into a multiple occupancy building, with parking spaces for residents.
A. Storrsson	The city centre is in need of a degree of development, and has pre-established constructions (outdated, abandoned or dilapidated) and empty lots primed for development.
Alan Preece	The city is congested enough with very little green spaces
Mr and Mrs K Burge	The development of TUP26 should not be considered at all for the reasons stated in question 1 but also because this area of land was gifted to the community as recreational land not to be used for any housing development. For reference see: Land registry document title no: HW89427
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	The site is considered to be available, suitable and achievable within 5 years, and is therefore deliverable in accordance with the NPPF; however the section located directly north of the planning application site may need a more realistic timeframe. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Simon Warburton	There should be full emphasis on the development of Brown field sites which are plentiful around the City.
Elaine Clayton	This is an unreasonable question as my response is only applicable to site TUP26
Peter Clayton	This is an unreasonable question as my response is only applicable to site TUP26.
Simon Price	Tup 26 & Tup 27 have too much traffic congestion already with a poor road network.
Keith Wright	Tup 27 as an area of vital natural importance within Tupsley/Hampton Dene.
Enid and Tony Collins	We believe Tup 26 and Tup 27 should be removed from the plan completely. More creative use of existing buildings should be planned for rather than removing green spaces.
Jonathan Devereux	Yes but only specifically for the right type of development. Hereford needs more affordable housing that has adequate transport links. Out of town developments providing this type of housing stock pose the problem of transport and amenities. We should be developing in areas with already good links.
Gary Morris - Planning Director on behalf of Wyevale Garden Centres Ltd	Yes, site reference Thr35 should be allocated for housing in the short term.
Breinton Parish Council	Given the potential impact of 'caps' and the priority being afforded to the SUEs' (Our comments under 1.8 and Cre25/7 refer) none of the sites we discussed in detail earlier should be developed in anything other than the long term and only then if the requires master plan and transport studies are done covering all the sites and surrounding areas. Thr23 and Bel08 should never be developed.

Adrian Pudsey	Build on all sites.
Amanda Martin	Developments of social housing by housing providers that take green spaces and reduce footprint represents cramming and should be avoided.
Charles Markham	Bullinghope
Gary Pritchard	Hereford is not ideally positioned to draw big businesses in. The bypass will make no difference to transport getting to the main routes out if you're planning to add more traffic in.
Ginnie Jaques	We have a historic city with the Wye running by. We have spaces freed up by the city link road as well as others identified centrally. Build affordable social housing in the city, free the city from cars. Encourage small independent business and community cohesion will follow, supported by tourism. Internet shopping is transforming town centres. Hereford could be a pleasant, traffic free, socially responsible community with much to enhance income from tourism.
Holly Munn	As explained before it would be sacrilege to lose the playing field. Childhood obesity is a national epidemic and how are we meant to deal with it when our council are actively destroying our playing fields.
Anon	Short term - Develop Three Elms and get the Western Bypass up and running to help the city move
J Talbot	Building on beautiful countryside is a travesty. So many people enjoy this area and walk their dogs there. There is wildlife too which would be endangered. There is no evidence that more houses are required.
Jonathan Casey	Parking in these areas is already appalling, more housing would make this unsustainable!

Lower Bullingham Parish Council	Development should be completed within a time scale and clearly put in with the planning permission condition 0-5 years no longer.
Lynnette Lobban	Wait to see where employment arises
Martin Palmer	There aren't the jobs here to justify the number of houses. There is not enough infrastructure to support this number of houses. Not enough hospital provision or schools and no plan to cope with increase in traffic
Nick	Medium
Nigel Cooper	Spread development as widely as possible to give communities a chance to bed down.
Poppy Talboys	It's not our job to do that
Pegasus Planning on behalf of Lioncourt Homes	The Core Strategy is reliant on the delivery of strategic urban extensions and there has been limited progress with the delivery of homes on these sites and there has been a persistent lack of five-year housing land supply within Herefordshire. Therefore additional sites should be included in the HAP to ensure that there is choice and competition in the short-medium term, in the absence of the delivery of the urban extensions, which are anticipated to deliver in the long-term. Land at Home Farm represents a suitable site that is available and deliverable in the short term i.e. the next five years. Further information is included in the supporting information submitted.
Anon	Any derelict buildings or old sites I. E brown belt first

Name	Question 4: Do you agree that the sites that have been identified as having potential are the most suitable sites to consider for future employment land development?
A. Storrsson	As above, I would say that there are already established areas that could do with being developed, rather than constructing entirely new areas of housing etc.
Alan Preece	Tiny sites for tiny houses. Where's the space for businesses?
Amanda Martin	Car dependent development on green field sites adjacent to new roads are traffic generators and disadvantage those without private transport, and are against planning guidance and should be avoided
Anon	"Suitable" for who? What employment does the Council envisage for those living in these new developments? I am at a loss here...
Anon	There are enough houses already being built in Hereford at the current time. The 'green' area around Queenswood Drive is unique and very much used by walkers and children. It will completely spoil the area if houses are squashed into this small space.
Breinton Parish Council	See our detailed comments on ES1. If this site were to be developed it should be for high technology, valued added businesses and not those that rely on road transport to ship goods.
Brittany Winchester	Because they are regularly used sites
Carl Green	There is no employment in the close vicinity of the sites

Charles Markham	There should be further expansion at Rotherwas. It is accessible by road. There is a demand that the Council has not addressed properly
Chris Amor	Rotherwas otherwise the existing dozens of empty shop units in High Town and nearby such as Widemarsh Street and Eign Gate
Daniel Green	The site by Deaconsfield has no real use and further green spaces are local
Hereford Enterprise Zone	Agree with the inclusion of ES6 Land at Rotherwas. The site is within the current Hereford Enterprise Zone (HEZ) boundary. As well as greenfield arable land, it also comprises of brownfield former breakers yard and small employment site with temporary planning permission for workshop units. The success of the HEZ has demonstrated high demand for employment land in the Rotherwas area. At current counting the Enterprise Zone has now sold or developed 41 acres of land, totalling 46,000 sqm of new floor space, much of this to local Herefordshire companies needing space to expand, with a future 11 potential sales in the pipeline. Inclusion of this site as employment land is essential if the HEZ is to reach its full potential.
David Thame	As above, racecourse site: placing employment on the city fringes means the daytime spend and animation benefits of employment are lost to the city (everything from a sandwich at lunchtime, to popping into a shop, to a drink or cinema after work). Employment could have much higher economic multiples if it focuses on the city centre.
Elaine Clayton	Employment in Hereford offering full time posts with salaries that can afford such properties is extremely restricted. Affordable housing is best handled by the local housing associations.
Elise Hasler	I would ensure that we have used the current employment land sufficiently before building more - there are lots of vacant business land that could be developed before considering new builds.

Elizabeth Bowring-Lossock	Exactly what employment opportunities will there be? This is unclear. Bulmers are moving out it seems and is the successor of Cargill Meats going to stay?
Enid and Tony Collins	We would ensure that we have used the current employment land sufficiently before building more - there are lots of vacant business land that could be developed before considering new builds.
G J Shingler	Companies will have difficulty recruiting without parking areas
Gary Pritchard	Where is the guaranteed jobs! Our town is dreadful with so many empty shops yet you're ripping up and renewing the pedestrian areas to make it look nice! Wages low but property high, but when new are built out of work or re housing people move in. with growth of towns and cities comes more cost and problems, good luck!
Natural England	Both sites have the potential to impact on the River Wye SAC through foul and surface water drainage.
Ginnie Jaques	There is no evidence to support a demand for employment land in areas identified. Still much empty capacity at Rotherwas
Holly Munn	I am sure you are aware that there was a new global warming warning today, that said we need to limit the warming amount from 2 to 1.5, and doing all we can to slow down warming. One of the suggestions was planting forests. So how about you don't ruin another green space, but rebuild derelict building first. Use the existing places and spaces.
J Talbot	The countryside must be preserved

JM	Most are too far from Rotherwas
Joanne Jones	Future development should be more diverse and not focus so heavily on land development. A larger development site would create more permanent employment.
Jodie Williams	Too many sites in the city. Increased congestion.
Jonathan Casey	The only people to benefit will be the developers!
Joyce Warburton	no recreational site should ever be built on
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	Why are you asking us? Why have you not consulted over specific sites that effect particular communities such as Foley Street
Kahlia Laws	Site Tup 25 is near an already overcrowded road for parking and is a valuable green space.
Keith Wright	Tup 27 is not suitable: to much traffic in the area all-ready and a number of new housing developments have been completed.
Lesley Ann Watkins	Except Tup 26 I do not know the sites identified as having potential for employment land development and therefore cannot express an opinion.
Liz Armitage	Play areas are vital for all children
Martin Palmer	Employment land should be in east of the city joined up with a logical bypass on that side of town

Mr and Mrs K Burge	With reference to TUP26 see comments made in questions 1 and 3.
Mr and Mrs Pitt	Rotherwas and Moreton on Lugg have more capacity, possibly Sun Valley site. Three Elms Trading Estate which is half empty, could be used for industry or housing.
Mr S G Price	Site too small.
Mr. D.P. Vaughan	No not all, there has to be areas of green amenity to make it an attractive area for people to live
Mrs C G Combstock	Too congested.
Mrs J Spencer	Site TUP25 not suitable
Mrs P Cleaton	No this site is for the people children of the area Portfields and St James to use for recreation purposes.
Mrs S Begley	Not familiar with all the sites
Nathaniel Knowles	I am only writing specifically with regard to the Foley Street Green - Tup25
Nicky Page	I think it is important to keep these recreational areas undeveloped for future generations of children in the city.
Owen Evans	It's a residential area with dense housing and small narrow congested estate roads

P Cleaton	No we need open green spaces where old and young can come and enjoy close to their homes We need light and green and air not factories in historic residential area or any residential areas.
Paul Moon	For the same reason as 1 - some of these sites are too large. Also "employment land development" is too broad - it is not clear if this means office space, manufacturing, warehouse or other.
Peter Clayton	Employment in Hereford offering full time posts with salaries that can afford such properties are extremely restricted. Affordable housing is best handled by the local Housing Associations.
Poppy Talboys	I don't think so
Sabrina Chan	Tup 26 is not suitable for housing development as explained above and therefore would not be suitable for consideration.
Scarlet Talboys	No because they are regularly used
Sheila Milne	I do not consider Tup25 (land adjacent to Foley Trading Estate, Hereford HR1 2SF) suitable for employment land development, because building on any part of it would damage or destroy a much-valued green space and recreation area.
Simon Price	No industry in Hampton Dene and 99% of people won't walk, cycle or take the bus if they have a car - fact (unless they're pensioners and get a free bus pass)
Simon Warburton	Priority should be given to the development of the many unused shops in the City Centre for employment land.

Sue Bucknell	As above with regard to Cen21h, Aspire employs over 250 staff across Herefordshire. Approx. 75 are based from the site on Canal Road. It doesn't seem to make sense to demolish our building where we provide jobs to build something else to create jobs.
Trix Craig	Use brownfield NOT the few green spaces Hereford has left
Welsh Water	Please refer to published responses for individual site comments.
Zara Roberts	TUP26 does not have the infrastructure to support employment

Name	Question 5: Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered for future employment allocations?
Adrian Pudsey	St Mary's Park.
Alan Preece	Plenty of room in Rotherwas still
Amanda Martin	Brownfield land around Cargill
Breinton Parish Council	Moreton on Lugg. Although this is clearly outside the HAP area employment opportunities should not be solely assessed within the city boundary and the Moreton on Lugg site has direct access onto the A49 as well as potential rail links.

Brittany Winchester	No sites should be built on and it's not our job why don't you build on the old buildings bath street
Carl Green	Closer linked into the new link road is more appropriate
Charles Markham	Rotherwas
Hereford Enterprise Zone	The Enterprise Zone is currently preparing a proposition for expansion of the Local Development Order boundary of the HEZ to include approximately 10.72 hectares of empty brownfield and undeveloped land adjoining the eastern boundary of the estate (plan attached) within 3rd party private ownership. Expansion of the HEZ is seen as necessary to meet the pipeline of demand. As such we would recommend that this section of land be considered as an addition to ES6 Land at Rotherwas.
David Thame	Racecourse for one
Debby Chalk	Hampton Dene
Elaine Clayton	These should be on the extremities of Hereford along the line of proposed new ring road which offers direct access to a road network suitable for commuting.
Elise Hasler	I would ensure that we have used the current employment land sufficiently before building more - there are lots of vacant business land that could be developed before considering new builds.
Enid and Tony Collins	We would ensure that we have used the current employment land sufficiently before building more - there are lots of vacant business land that could be developed before considering new builds.
Hilary Goddard	HAMPTON DENE

Holly Munn	As I suggested about, derelict buildings and houses. These are existing plots that need using first. I.e. council building down central Av.
Joanne Jones	The Apple orchard on the Hampton Bishop road - It's a more substantial site to develop creating future long term employment
Joyce Warburton	Any brown field sites
K Lee	Brownfield only
Michael Howard	Redevelopment of derelict sites would be higher priority
Mr S G Price	Kings Acre, Holmer and Callow
Mrs W Brewer	Vacant Countrywide site and un-used land in Mortimer Road
Nigel Cooper	The football ground.
Owen Evans	Nearby -this is a very large a large residential area with many schools
Peter Clayton	These should be on the extremities of Hereford along the line of the proposed new ring road which offers direct access to a road network suitable for commuting.
Scarlet Talboys	Bath street old social services Hereford buildings
Steve Chalk	Hampton Dene

Steven Ramsdale	Any site close to the Relief Road
Susanna Grunsell	Old school site used by council until recently & Art College on Bath Street, Hereford. Wicked to see this building lying empty.
Trix Craig	Ex NHS Victoria House

Name	Question 6: Are there any sites being considered in the site options that could be suitable for use solely or in part for other uses such as university educational buildings, student accommodation, community and leisure uses or other commercial activities?
A. Storrsson	Areas around Three Elms would make the most sense for e.g. university buildings or student accommodation; a decentralised campus, making proper use of green space, and constructed according to the limitations of the area, would be the most economic use of that land if development is to be undertaken.
Alice Bowerman	If any sites are developed there should be sufficient community and leisure provision for whatever is removed, and to provide for the new inhabitants.
Andrew Nixon	As stated in question 1; we believe that the predominant use for land plots for the city centre (Cen 21a - Cen 21n) should be for the protection of natural flood management and biodiversity as well as the creation of greenspace.

Breinton Parish Council	Not amongst the sites we have considered
Catherine Knowles	CEN08 TUP22
Daniel Green	The Queenswood Drive location could be used to further add to the leisure area. An outdoor gym would be great to fight obesity
David Harding	Herefordshire Mind own and occupy a building within area Cen21h and we are keen to be at the heart of the new community that is established there, providing facilities and support for this new centre.
Elaine Clayton	I'm sure there are but I'm not in a position to make this decision as more information is required to understand volume and type of accommodation required.
I don't want to give details	The Old Odeon Cinema on Commercial Street - site needs redeveloping & could be a brilliant site for Uni buildings
Joyce Warburton	Tup 25, Tup 26, Tup27 should be considered for outdoor gym fit equipment -other areas in Hereford have this but Tupsley has none
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	It is ridiculous to put all sites together like this
Kahlia Laws	Site Tup 25 is regularly used for leisure by local residents and especially young people.
Keepmoat Homes	Please see published representations submitted by Lichfields on behalf of Keepmoat Homes.

Keith Wright	Top 27 is an area of natural interest and should only be developed for outdoor related leisure activities.
Liz Armitage	There are loads of empty buildings that could be redeveloped
Mr and Mrs Pitt	Old boys' high school is now empty.
Nigel Cooper	All sites should have a share of mixed use to avoid concentration, thus helping avoid stereotype housing. (We live on a new 12 house estate with 4 'social' houses. There's an interaction between folk which wouldn't normally be expected. Socially good, but design standards, pastiche vernacular are ghastly.
Owen Evans	Nearby the area just South West is an Apple Orchard which was supposed to house a new Rugby Football Ground and new large housing off By the junction of Hollywell Gutter Lane - Hampton Park Road
P&J Abell	It would be more appropriate for university accommodation/ buildings to be centrally cited.
Paul Moon	The central sites are probably best suited to this.
Peter Clayton	I'm sure there are but not in a position to make this decision as more information is required to understand volume and type of accommodation required.
Poppy Talboys	Bath street
Sabrina Chan	Former Bath Street Council premises (opposite Bath Street long term car park) has been closed down for many years, it could be developed for university educational building or other uses.

Simon Warburton	City centre buildings that are empty could be used.
Steven Ramsdale	THR29 should be left for increased current community recreational and leisure use.
Sue Bucknell	Cen21h the Aspire Community Hub (Canal Road) could be utilised as a community building offering activities to the wider community outside of our core hours.
Susanna Grunsell	Previous archive buildings on Harold Street, Hereford. Hereford Eye Hospital on Eign Road.
Tracey	The old Broadlands school site as closer to the colleges for student access
Trix Craig	Ex council offices bath street, Victoria house, Whitecross Road Build a new fire Station and use this great building it is too big for purpose
Anon	Brownfield sites for university. Park and Ride schemes taking people into Hereford from sites in Holmer and Belmont.

Name	Question 7: Do you think there are other more suitable sites not shown on the plan that could be considered for other uses as set out in question 6 above?
Anon	Hereford United Football Club
Breinton Parish Council	Not in the NW segment of the city
Daniel Green	The other side of the bishop field development
Holly Munn	Any derelict building or plots. They are not only an eye sore but a waste of space and not point ruining green spaces. Put some flats at the end of town?
J Talbot	Convert empty buildings in town.
Joanne Jones	Sites out of town and can accommodate parking needs.
Jodie Williams	Why is the site of the former Robert Owen school considered as part of the university?
K Lee	Brownfield sites only
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	Why are there so many questions the same but different here.
Lynnette Lobban	Keep within. It's limits
Mr and Mrs Pitt	Old boys' high school. Accommodation above shops in city centre.

Owen Evans	Nearby the area just South West is an Apple Orchard which was supposed to house a new Rugby Football Ground and new large housing off By the junction of Hollywell Gutter Lane - Hampton Park Road
Paul Moon	Are there possible sites to be considered around Tupsley near tup28? This will be near to where the colleges and I presume the university would be and there are areas inside the boundary near here not even considered.
Poppy Talboys	Bath street
Sabrina Chan	Former Bath Street Council premises (opposite Bath Street long term car park) has been closed down for many years, it could be developed for university educational building or other uses.
Sally Stone	Keep the original buildings on Bath Street and build affordable housing behind.
Scarlet Talboys	It's not our job to find them
Simon Warburton	Buildings such as the old Chads stores which has most of the space unoccupied could be used.
Trix Craig	All the brownfield sites in town; do some PROPPER planning ;get rid of the stupid little bridges that cause bottlenecks

Name	Question 8: Do you have any comments on the document and the approach used to identify potential sites?
A Plummer	Having seen the proposed areas for housing and employment, which appears larger than the ones proposed a few years ago, I had hoped your documents would give more in-depth information; it doesn't! I had thought that all departments should work together to produce a community housing and employment plan? The site options consultation questionnaire does not have the questions I would ask. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
A. Storrsson	As above, the notion that "growth" on the horizontal level (i.e. of spread, populace, and business) can be maintained indefinitely is quite absurd: pending outrageously expensive initiatives geared towards buying up agricultural land and converting it piece by piece to industrialised cityscape (which will surely not make up for that initial expenditure outside of a very long time frame), there would appear to be few ways in which to expand the city in the literalistic sense. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Alice Bowerman	It would be good to involve other organisations such as the Hereford Community Land Trust who have some good ideas about how developments could be encouraged to be as sustainable, affordable and pleasant to live in not just cheap to build.
Anon	Don't know how you identified Queenswood Drive as a possible site. Twenty houses squashed into a small space will completely spoil the area. Hereford Council don't seem to have any idea how to improve an area with houses. You seem to squash them in with not regard for how people will live when they're all built. Cars are parked all over pavements, gardens are tiny and back onto each other. With a bit of imagination you could transform these areas instead of completely spoiling them. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).

Anon	The council needs to think outside of the box. Get a move on with the Western Bypass. Open up all of Three Elms site NOW!
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	With reference to the technical studies prepared to support the emerging planning application comments are provided on the key issues highlighted in the Technical Site Assessments. With reference to the remainder of Hol13 that lies outside the area subject to the imminent outline planning application, and therefore not subject to detailed technical studies, comments are addressed at a high level at this stage. (Please refer to published responses for supporting statements on both Stm05 & Hol13).
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	With reference to the technical studies prepared to support the emerging planning application, comments are provided on the key issues highlighted in the Technical Site Assessment. With reference to the remainder of Bur09 that lies outside the area subject to the imminent outline planning application, and therefore not subject to detailed technical studies, comments are addressed at a high level at this stage.
Asbri Planning on behalf of Taylor Wimpey	Concern is expressed on a cap on development pending the construction of the Relief Road. The preferred Red Route for the relief road does not affect Thr21, albeit it is acknowledged that this route is not yet defined. Notwithstanding, alternative access issues to protect the lime trees are being explored. Groundwater matters will also be explored, but this issue is also delaying the determination of the Three Elms strategic site. If these issues can be resolved there is no reason why the site can't come forward in the short term. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Boyer Planning on behalf of Landowner	Objection to the HAP discounting the site due to the presence of an indicative route corridor for the final phase of the Hereford Northern Bypass/Relief Road, provided for by the Core Strategy. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Breinton Parish Council	Please see our general points in paragraphs 1.1 to 1.9 inclusive (Refer to the published original responses for full version).
Brett Jenkins	Hereford cannot cope with vast quantities of additional housing, the hospital has had to build a portakabin ward due to over-spill, and this is before all these developments proposed have been in most cases started. I think that the council should consider expanding other towns and villages in the county, as Hereford's infrastructure is groaning under the weight of the populous.
Carl Green	The document was only found by chance it should have been marketed more widely
Chris Amor	Too much destroying of beautiful countryside. It is too far, Hereford was once a beautiful country city, now aside from the few positive aspects left such as Cathedral, River Wye and Castle Green there is next to nothing left or will be if we carry on building useless bypasses and houses never ending! It is a disgrace! There are other options! This is criminalising! It must stop!
Daniel Green	I feel local residents should have been informed about the potential building site. The Queenswood Drive location is used constantly by people of all ages and for multiple purposes. These assessments should be done with actually visiting the locations and seeing what takes place on them
Diane Donkin	Where are all these people coming from too many houses being built in Hereford and this road can't cope with any more traffic
Dorethy Waring-Jenkins	I don't understand why you want to build everywhere. This is the biggest proposed expansion to Hereford I think I've ever seen, and I think it is going to change the very nature of our lovely city.

Dr Nichola Geeson	<p>More local research and survey is required before sites put forward in the Hereford Area Plan can be appraised adequately and consistently. There are a number of sites put forward in HAP documents that should have already been discounted, with regard to the factors outlined above. Map overlays of agricultural land quality, surface water flood risk, water protections zones, etc. should be placed over the maps of proposed sites to advise which should be discounted. Natural England's MAGIC maps https://magic.defra.gov.uk/ will also provide some additional information for assessment. (Further background information can be viewed on published original responses)</p>
Elaine Clayton	<p>Whilst I'm sure the council has followed a legal consultation process, no direct contact has been made to the local community surrounding TUP26 site to provide local residents sufficient time to digest your proposals. Due to the demographic nature of local residents, not everyone has access to computers or has the ability to understand current processes used by the council. It is unfair and unreasonable to assume everyone is aware of your proposals.</p>
Elise Hasler	<p>The approach of removing great chunks of the city's beautiful green spaces is an absolute crime - it would be detrimental to the health and wellbeing of residents of the city, is not environmentally friendly and is not sustainable. More creative, innovative and environmentally friendly approaches would be welcomed, and ones which make use of buildings that already exist rather than continually shoving houses in every single piece of beautiful green land.</p>
Elizabeth Bowring-Lossock	<p>Consultation is understated and not fit for purpose. Having a few days of displayed material is insufficient to meet needs of all residents. The PP for the Land at Three Elms has had its determination date (currently 31.08.18) put back by literally years without communication. Members of the public address their questions on matters of planning permissions and plans to the backs of the councillor's heads in council meetings in the Shire Hall, quite literally standing behind them. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Enid and Tony Collins	<p>The approach of removing great chunks of the city's beautiful green spaces is an absolute crime - it would be detrimental to the health and wellbeing of residents of the city, is not environmentally friendly and is not sustainable. More creative, innovative and environmentally friendly approaches would be welcomed, and ones which make use of buildings that already exist rather than continually shoving houses in every single piece of beautiful green land.</p>
Environment Agency	<p>As part of the adopted Herefordshire Council Core Strategy updates were made to both the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Strategy (WCS). This evidence base ensured that the proposed development in Hereford City, and other strategic sites (Market Towns), was viable and achievable. However to support forthcoming strategic submissions, such as the HAP, a robust evidence base will be required to ensure development is not impacted by flooding and that there is sufficient waste water infrastructure in place to accommodate growth for the duration of the plan period. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).</p>
Ginnie Jaques	<p>Herefordshire is an increasingly rare asset in a country rapidly turning into an amorphous and sterile concrete land. We should be preserving the landscape, the ecology, the historic assets, nurturing the county as a place people want to come to for these assets. The current Transport plan is out of date. The people set to gain from the housing plans are the developers. We do not need a 'by pass'. It will not help employment or traffic flow in Hereford. It will increase traffic through Herefordshire and all the associated damage. The new houses will exacerbate the traffic problems unless the council invests in modern alternatives to car travel. The bypass and proposed housing will destroy areas of scientific and conservation importance and contribute to irreparable destruction of our ecology, heritage and environment.</p>

Hfds Wildlife Trust	Before final shortlisting we would expect a thorough ecological appraisal of all sites as well as an assessment of the accumulative impact on biodiversity and habitat connectivity where multiple plots are proposed. This is particularly important given Herefordshire's ecological datasets are lacking, especially our current understanding of the extent and condition of locally designated sites (LWSs & SWSs) and species data. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Holly Munn	Don't use this plot.
IP & PJ Morgan	With reference to the remainder of Hol13 that lies outside the HAP mapped area and subject to the imminent outline planning application, we would state this land has great potential in the medium future as once the SLR has been completed through the remainder of Hol13 and the western bypass progresses, it is inevitable the SLR will form a new city boundary adjacent to the remainder of Hol13.
JM	It seems too many houses jammed in everywhere on small sites that are not really appropriate to current home owners given the disruption that will occur. Yet again shops, jobs, road systems recreation??
Joanne Jones	Not notifying local residents about on the proposed site. The councils communication with local residents MUST be improved
Jodie Williams	Residents have not been informed of any proposed sites. Letters should have been sent to all houses near to any potential site.
Jonathan Casey	Housing development by stealth, utterly appalling! Do Hereford council not want any green sites anymore!

Joyce Warburton	Lack of information given specifically to local residents in the proposed areas- these residents should be told specifically as it effects their area. Also it's appalling that the council are considering building on recreational land in the first place- this should never happen and indicates that the council puts money before the health and wellbeing of Hereford citizens. This form does not allow me to go back and check what I have put- this is itself a poor approach to gathering people's views- I have had to also submit this form in a paper version to ensure that my views have been accurately documented
K Lee	The approach has failed to consider the wellbeing, mental health and quality of life of residents. Incredibly blinkered. People live in Hereford because it provides these things, even for the most disadvantaged residents - your plan totally disregards this.
K.R. Kimber and D.M.Evans	Yes, it is insensitive and you are putting one community against another .You are asking questions we have no knowledge of .Deliberately leave too little consultation time. People don't trust you.
The Hfds and Worcs Earth Heritage Trust	The Herefordshire and Worcestershire Earth Heritage Trust (EHT) is a charity that aims to record, protect and promote geology and landscape in the two counties. We identify and monitor Local Sites of geological interest so as to be able to advise the County Councils on any plans that may impinge upon them. The site options identified for development in the HAP include no known sites of geological interest, but we are interested in extending our understanding of the rock formations on which Hereford is built. These include glacial till and glaciofluvial deposits from the last ice age as well as river terraces and alluvium. Hence we raise no objections to the HAP, but have an ongoing desire to make use of opportunities to record our geological heritage, with the permission of developers, when excavation makes rocks accessible.
Keepmoat Homes	Please see published representations submitted by Lichfields on behalf of Keepmoat Homes.
Keith Wright	Public consultation is good only if the concerns of residents are taken into account for planning allocations.

Lesley Ann Watkins	I am concerned about the lack of consultation with the current residents of the area before this small green space, Tup 26, was included in the Site Options. Little consideration seems to have been given to infrastructure and environmental issues, together with the reduction of green space in an area that already has a large new development, the Bishop Field estate.
Lower Bullingham Parish Council	Please be advised the Lower Bullingham Parish Council have an adopted NDP and the HAP must be in conformity with that, as well as the Core Strategy.
Lucinda Timmins	The documents are not clear about the approach used, and there should have been more proactive engagement events (the two at the courtyard are not sufficient). This document is of course linked to previous city/area plans, but the links are not clear and it is not explicit which documents supersede others. I believe the consultation, approach and document has been intentionally difficult to understand to allow the council free reign. This is especially concerning considering the authority's history of not being transparent or honest regarding such matters (see [2008] EWHC 1741 (Admin)).
Lynnette Lobban	Quickly bodged plan. No thought or consideration of historical city. Already being ruined in pointless plan of unwanted and unnecessary development
Martin Palmer	Another ticket box exercise that will have no effect on decisions that have already been made
Michael Howard	Combination of all comments above, some have potential but as ever there is an apparent 'need' to rush decisions. For example vast tracts of unused land behind 'Wiggins' including old and disused buildings

Mr & Mrs Wright	<p>1. The housing map relating to TUP27 is not correct. The most recent developments, Bishops Fields, north of the track known as Hollywell Gutter Lane and also the development to the south of the track, adjacent to the Bishop's school playing field. These are significant developments and it is remiss that these developments are not included in the potential development area map. 2. TUP27 abuts onto Hampton Dene and is directly opposite St Pauls Junior School, 100 metres along Hampton Dene road is the Bishop's Secondary School and 50 metres away is Hampton Dene Junior School. Between 8:15 and 9:15am and 2:30 to 4pm in term time the area can best be described as traffic havoc. 3. TUP27 is a site of biodiversity and wildlife, in fact for number of years the council did not carry out mowing in this area as it was considered an important bio-diverse site. 4. TUP27 contains an abundance of trees, 2 copses and is a nesting area for birdlife. 5. It is a haven for wildlife ranging in diversity from amphibians to foxes. 6. It is a well utilised dog walking area and is very popular with residents.</p>
Mr and Mrs K Burge	<p>I am totally shocked by the way in which all you have achieved if to identify areas of green land without taking into consideration the impact of these proposals on the lives of the people that are currently living in the area. You have shown complete disregard in this matter and especially for the lack of information supplied to the affected residents.</p>
Mr S G Price	<p>Better communication. I became aware of this document via a hand written note tied to a bin!!</p>
Mrs S Begley	<p>A number of people have found this form difficult to find, and the small boxes hard to fill in.</p>
Mrs S Gilbert	<p>I learnt of this consultation by chance and so it does not feel that it has been particularly open and transparent. Possibly there was an item in the Hereford Times? Not everyone reads that paper or visits the Library. Possibly I missed a communication that was sent to all residents? I don't believe that I did and feel that would have been the best way to communicate that the identification of potential sites was ongoing.</p>

Natural England	<p>We understand that the proposed University and extension to the Rotherwas Enterprise Zone were not included in the calculations of capacity of the sewage treatment works when impacts on the River Wye SAC/SSSI were assessed in the Core Strategy. This will need to be considered further as part of the assessment of sites and in the HRA for the Plan.</p>
Neil and Marisa Catterall	<p>Residents affected in the area in question should be notified by letter/e-mail. We only became aware of the proposal after a concerned neighbour informed us.</p>
Nigel Cooper	<p>Process seems pretty thorough. Stress must be placed on better design standard than achieved in completed developments so far, which is very mediocre. More green areas and more play spaces should be provided. I would like the LPA to introduce planning rules whereby windfall profits from planning gain went 90% to the community and 10% to the landowner such that the council could acquire sites under CPOs and reap the benefit of added value of planning gain which would allow them to build truly affordable housing and some for profit housing which they sell at market rates thus enabling further sites to be similarly acquired developed.</p>
Aylestone Conservation Area Action Group	<p>The 2015 HAP Core Strategy superseded that but we understand with Mr Singleton's guidance and advice, that it is still the aim and consideration for open space policy; especially school playing fields, to continue to weigh policy towards continuing to give similar protection. Given this and with the recent contentious 3G challenge in mind, we aim therefore to ask specifically to re-instate the protection allotted to this playing field open space, so as to prevent any further future such development ideas which may have a similar adverse effect here and within the setting of Athelstan Hall to one corner, a Grade II Listed building. Athelstan Hall for example has historically had its assets and so the setting of that listed building (but also other close proximity listed and other buildings) diminished by school developments over time so as to facilitate and accommodate the school playing field and with the widening of Broadlands Lane for two-way traffic and access. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).</p>

Pam Fox	Very difficult to find information relating to any council planning
Paul Moon	I feel like the amount of houses proposed in the HAP is excessive! I do not feel there is any need for there to be such rapid growth of Hereford above and beyond the central government housing guidelines. I feel that the deliberate over population of Hereford is being considered here to raise the council tax income and I am strongly opposed to such rapid growth of housing in Hereford. I would like to see more Brownfield and smaller sites used before anymore huge housing estates like Holmer West get introduced. (Please refer to published online responses for full version).
Peter Clayton	Whilst I am sure the council has followed a legal consultation process, no direct contact has been made to the local community surrounding TUP26 site to provide local residents sufficient time to digest your proposals. Due to the demographic nature of local residents, not everyone has access to computers or has the ability to understand current processes used by the council. It is unfair and unreasonable to assume everyone is aware of your proposals.
Pegasus Planning on behalf of Lioncourt Homes	<p>The methodology states that considerable emphasis has been placed on examining the potential for impacts to be mitigated where necessary. Lioncourt has a number of objections in respect of the methodology used, particularly in respect of landscape and mitigation. There has been no consideration of mitigation in respect of Home Farm within the Council's Technical Assessment. Regarding landscape, the Technical Assessment is wholly reliant upon the Urban Fringe Sensitivity Analysis (2010), which identifies the site as being in an area of "high sensitivity".</p> <p>As set out within Pegasus' submitted Landscape and Visual Note at Appendix 1 of this representation, the recently approved route of the Hereford Western Relief Road runs approximately 500m from the north-western site boundary. This results in a fundamental change to both the landscape and its sensitivity, which has not been considered through the Council's Assessment methodology. Enhancement proposals are set out in Appendix 1.</p>
RA & PA Norgate	My main concern is for TUP25 as this is the area in which I live. I do wonder why the brownfield site, East of Mortimer road has not been identified.

Rayer	Made more public to the surrounding area and neighbours
Public Health - Herefordshire Council	Active travel - we support the location of housing development and employment development areas close to or within the existing urban environment and therefore with the potential to support active transport (walking and cycling) provision and uptake. It will be important that provision for active transport is considered as an integral component in the detail of the developments.
Historic England	We are particularly keen to ensure the HAP has a positive strategy for the historic environment in accordance with national policy and a conservation led approach to development in such a historically rich and sensitive area. Following our previous written comments and discussions with Officers we are encouraged by the emerging HAP's progress and in particular that: an Historic Area Assessment of Hereford City (April 2018) has been completed; potential sites have been considered with respect to their potential impact on the historic environment, with each section having comments from their conservation and archaeological advisors (ref technical site assessments); and,. A Design SPD is being prepared and will include a building heights strategy, public realm advice, the approach to the public realm, transport infrastructure and the treatment of the River Wye frontage. We assume this will be applied to inform the proposed allocations. (Please refer to published original responses for complete version)
S Walmsley	Greater publicity, local residents should be notified.
Sally Stone	I am saddened that residents of Foley Street were not kept informed about these plans.
Savills UK Limited on behalf of Golf Inns Limited	Please refer to published responses for full version.
Simon Warburton	The residents of Queenswood Drive and surrounding community will oppose any plans to develop TUP 26.

Sport England	Please refer to published original response from Sport England
Stephen Harrison	I urge you to listen to the views of current residents and the community as whole and keep this site as a green space for residents to enjoy.
Steven Ramsdale	The Plan proposes 1800 further new houses in NW Hereford and only 10 (yes TEN) in NE Hereford i.e. there is no balanced development across North Hereford.
Sue Bucknell	As a charity occupying a site identified in the plans whilst we appreciate that it is at consultation stage there has been no communication as to timescales for building should the site be identified as suitable.
Susanna Grunsell	There are many sites in the city lying empty, which could be destroyed without using existing green spaces in the city.
The Coal Authority	As you will be aware Hereford area has coal mining legacy in the form of 29 mine entries, recorded and likely unrecorded coal workings at shallow depth and records of surface mining activity having taken place. Surface Coal resource is also identified as being present in the area. We are therefore disappointed to note that land stability issues have not been identified as part of the evidence base considered to inform the site allocations. The Coal Authority provides the LPA with downloadable data in respect of Development Risk and Surface Coal Plans. We would therefore expect sites proposed for allocation to be considered against this data in order to identify any constraints to development early as early as possible in the process. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).

Belmont Parish Council	Having considered the matter the Parish Council resolved that Herefordshire consult further with their future proposals and that any developments in the Parish were in line with Belmont Rural Parish Council's Neighbourhood Development Plan
Tracey	There has not been enough publicity and exposure to the public or consultation. The old Bath Street offices have been sold for private development which the council could have utilised particularly for student and university buildings whilst retaining some of the historic features. The bypass and 6000 houses are about to be built so why look at taking some of the smaller community play areas for use?! The old building next to the old Victoria Eye hospital is now derelict surely that could be utilised?
Trix Craig	PROPPER consultation from all residents; by. E mail and leaflet not just a bit of plastic on a lamppost!
Victoria Wegg-Prosser	Concerns relating to the timetable of the Hereford Area Plan, delays to the development of the Transport Hub and the scope of the Sustainability Appraisal and level of focus put upon it. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Welsh Water	Considerations include: covering of costs for new assets required where private land is developed; the protection of existing assets where land is potentially to be developed; possible requirement for off-site water mains/public sewers to enable development; fulfilment of water supply and possible assessment required to ascertain potential changes to sewerage system; potential issues around odour nuisance. (Please refer to published original responses for full version).
Zara Roberts	TUP26 why was the local community and residents not consulted directly regarding this survey? It was by chance that a resident was made aware of this. How many other areas have not been made aware for the benefit of the council just to push through without objection?