

Environmental Report

Report for:

Allensmore Neighbourhood Area

October 2019



Allensmore Parish Environmental Report

Contents

Non-technical summary

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Methodology
- 3.0 The SEA Framework
- 4.0 Appraisal of Objectives
- 5.0 Appraisal of Options
- 6.0 Appraisal of Policies
- 7.0 Implementation and monitoring
- 8.0 Next steps

Appendix 1: Initial SEA Screening Report

Appendix 2: SEA Scoping Report incorporating Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A4

Appendix 3: SEA Scoping Report Consultation Responses -Natural England and English Heritage

Appendix 4: SEA Stage B incorporating Tasks B1, B2, B3 and B4

Appendix 5: Options Considered

Appendix 6: Consultation Responses to Reg14 Environmental Statement

Appendix 7: Stage D: Tasks D2 and D3

Appendix 8: Environmental Report checklist

Non-technical summary

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is an important part of the evidence base which underpins Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), as it is a systematic decision support process, aiming to ensure that environmental assets, including those whose importance transcends local, regional and national interests, are considered effectively in plan making.

Allensmore Parish has undertaken to prepare an NDP and this process has been subject to environmental appraisal pursuant to the SEA Directive.

The Parish of Allensmore area lies approximately 5 miles south west of the City of Hereford, and about 15 ½ miles north west of Ross-on-Wye, in rural Herefordshire. The A465 cuts north / south through the eastern side of the area. The NDP area is slightly smaller than the parish as it excludes a small area of the parish south of Clehonger which has been included in the Clehonger neighbourhood area.

The submission Allensmore Draft NDP includes 4 main objectives and it is intended that these objectives will be delivered by 7 criteria based planning policies and one policy including site allocations.

The environmental appraisal of the Allensmore NDP has been undertaken in line with the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004. Stage A of the SEA process involved Scoping and Stage B provided a review and analysis of the NDP. Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report and Stage D comprises a formal consultation on both this and the submission plan itself.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) screening has been carried out as the Parish falls within the catchment for the River Wye (including River Lugg). The HRA assesses the potential effects of the NDP on the River Wye (including River Lugg) SAC.

On the whole, it is considered that the Allensmore Parish NDP is in general conformity with both national planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). Parish Councillors will welcome the conclusion as to general conformity. It also does not propose any growth that would be over and above that prescribed by strategic policies.

Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies, options and sites, the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall and all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a current uncertain outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in policies or at planning stage.

Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets, any unknown factors would require further detail such as location, scale and size of development, therefore are likely to be determined at planning application/ proposal stage. No significant cumulative effects have been identified.

Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the effects of the policies within the Allensmore Parish NDP will be monitored annually via the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This report forms the draft Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Allensmore Parish Draft Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP).
- 1.2 The Allensmore Parish Draft NDP will provide general policies for guiding future development across the parish.

Purpose of the SEA

- 1.3 SEA is a requirement of EC Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) which requires the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment to ensure that the proposals in that plan or programme contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.
- 1.4 The Directive was transposed into domestic legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 and which applies to plans with significant environmental effects.
- 1.5 A screening opinion was carried out on the Allensmore Parish Draft NDP and it concluded that due to the range of environmental designations in and around the parish, there may be significant environmental effects and consequently an SEA would be required.

Parish Neighbourhood Plan Context

- 1.6 Allensmore neighbourhood area lies approximately 5 miles south west of the City of Hereford, and about 15 ½ miles north west of Ross-on-Wye, in rural Herefordshire. The A465 cuts north / south through the eastern side of the area. The NDP area is slightly smaller than the parish as it excludes a small area of the parish south of Clehonger which has been included in the Clehonger neighbourhood area.
- 1.7 The Parish includes numerous Listed Buildings and no Scheduled Ancient Monuments. The Parish area consists of 1 Local Wildlife Site, 1 ancient woodlands, 7 Mineral Reserves, no sites of Special Scientific Interest, 1 unregistered park and garden. There are no conservation areas in the parish.
- 1.8 The Draft Allensmore Parish NDP includes 4 main objectives, which are designed to deliver the overarching vision on the ground, and it is intended that these objectives will be supported by 8 criteria based planning policies including site allocations. Alternative options were considered prior to reaching a decision over the format of the draft plan.
- 1.9 There are numerous built heritage assets including numerous listed buildings. There are no Scheduled Ancient Monuments.
- 1.10 The vision for Allensmore Parish in 2031 is as follows:

To protect and enhance the parish's rural character through gradual evolution and appropriate development, which improves the quality of life for current and future generations of residents by creating a sustainable environment, enhancing wellbeing and promoting community cohesion.

The 4 NDP objectives are as follows:

- 1. OBJECTIVE 1: HOUSING To develop policies, within the framework of Herefordshire's Core Strategy, which deliver proportionate growth, and which ensure that new housing development preserves and enhances aspects that people value, and makes optimum use of sustainable technologies whilst meeting the current and future needs of both residents and businesses.**

2. **OBJECTIVE 2: THE ENVIRONMENT** To ensure that all housing and business development preserves, and, where possible, enriches the character of the parish and protects the landscape, so that its impact on the environment is minimised, with particular reference to water, sewage and soil management, as well as energy and water conservation.
3. **OBJECTIVE 3: FARMING AND OTHER BUSINESS** To encourage new business initiatives which promote the economic well-being of the parish provided that they are in scale with, and sensitive to, the rural character of the locality and any adverse effect on residents' wellbeing or the environment is minimal.
4. **OBJECTIVE 4: COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE** To sustain and enhance existing community facilities whilst supporting investment in safe and appropriate new infrastructure as needed and desired by residents and businesses.

Context of Neighbourhood Plans

- 1.11 NDPs are a relatively new type of planning document that form a key part of the Government's localism agenda. They enable local communities to develop plans that reflect local aspirations, in accordance with strategic policies.
- 1.12 The Allensmore Parish NDP must therefore conform to national planning policy set within the NPPF and strategic level local policy including the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 1.13 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) was adopted on the 16th October 2015.
- 1.14 Paragraph 216 of the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance set out the weight that may be given to relevant policies in emerging plans, including NDPs, and indicated that weight may be given to relevant policies in emerging NDPs according to:
 - The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
 - The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
 - The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).
- 1.15 This part of the NPPF is reinforced by Planning Practice Guidance published in March 2014, which is clear that an emerging NDP may be a material planning consideration once it has reached submission/local authorities publication stage (Regulation 16). This is reinforced by recent ministerial statements and case law (West Sussex), all of which have demonstrated that an emerging NDP may be a material consideration at the Regulation 16 stage¹.
- 1.16 The Local Plan (Core Strategy) lists Winnal as a 4.14 settlement and Allensmore and Cobhall Common as 4.15 settlements, as such it considers them to be a sustainable location for growth, with a 14% proportional growth target as part of the Ross on Wye HMA.
- 1.17 Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the Allensmore Parish NDP will have a role in guiding future development proposals within the Parish, by setting out policies against which planning applications will be determined.

Structure of SEA

- 1.19 The structure of the document is as follows:

¹ For the avoidance of doubt, this NDP is currently at the Draft Plan Stage (Regulation 14) and cannot, therefore, be given weight in reaching decisions on planning applications

- Section 2 – Explains the SEA methodology and summarises the comments received in respect of the SEA Scoping Report
- Section 3 - Introduces the Allensmore Parish Neighbourhood Plan objectives and the SEA framework
- Section 4 - Appraises the objectives contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 5 - Appraises the options considered within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 6 – Appraises the policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 7 – Discusses the implementation and monitoring of the Neighbourhood Plan
- Section 8 - Concludes the SEA report by outlining next steps

2.0 Methodology

- 2.1 The SEA process comprised several stages and which are summarised, in some detail, below.
- 2.2 Stage A involved 4 tasks and culminated in a Scoping Report:
- *Task A1:* Identified and reviewed relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources.
 - *Task A2:* Collected baseline information to provide a picture of past, present and likely future conditions within the area. This helped to establish indicators which will be used to monitor the effects and performance of the Allensmore Parish NDP.
 - *Task A3:* Focused on the environmental issues identified from the baseline, highlighting key issues and problems within the neighbourhood area.
 - *Task A4:* Used the information gathered from Tasks A1-A3 to develop a set of SEA objectives, sometimes referred to as the 'sustainability framework'.
 - *Task A5:* Collated the results of Tasks A1-A4 within a Scoping Report, a document which was subject to a statutory 5 week consultation.
- 2.3 Stage B involved 4 tasks and assessed the effects of the NDP.
- *Task B1:* Tested NDP Objectives against the SEA Objectives
 - *Task B2:* Developed and refined the NDP options and policies
 - *Task B3/B4:* Predicted and evaluated the significant effects of the NDP
- 2.4 Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report. This report presents information compiled during Stage B of the SEA process and constitutes the Draft Environmental Appraisal of the NDP. It accompanies the Draft Plan during its formal Regulation 14 consultation with people who live, work and carry out businesses in the neighbourhood area, as well as statutory bodies listed in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.
- 2.5 Producing an Environmental Report was therefore a legal requirement and the submission of this report to Herefordshire Council forms Stage D of the SEA process.

Scoping Report Consultation

- 2.6 With regard to the SEA scoping assessments, documents A1 to A4 were completed by a Herefordshire Council Planning Officer and sent to the Parish Council for comment, in readiness for a 5 week consultation with statutory bodies, pursuant to the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.
- 2.7 After the document was approved by the Parish Council, the Allensmore Parish SEA Scoping Report was available to four² statutory bodies for consultation from 23 October 2017 to 27 November 2017.

Consultation outcomes from Statutory Consultees

- 2.8 The consultation resulted in two responses, see Appendix 3.
- 2.9 The responses were collated and incorporated within this document where relevant.

Natural England: Natural England generally welcomes the scoping report for the Allensmore Neighbourhood Plan and considers that the methodology and baseline information used to inform the report appears to meet the requirements of the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC) and associated guidance. Policies, Plans and Programmes

We are satisfied that the national and local plans and strategies identified within the report are relevant to the neighbourhood plan.

Baseline Information

SA Objective 13, Natural Environment, provides no baseline information, this should include BAP Habitat Inventory. This data which can be accessed via the Magic map system.

Identification of issues

Natural England recommend that “minimise loss of biodiversity” (2) should require net gains in biodiversity.

Biodiversity Action Plan ‘priority habitats’ should be referenced at 2.

Sustainability Framework

Natural England generally supports the sustainability objectives contained within the framework as they satisfactorily cover our interests in the natural environment.

We refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities relevant to neighbourhood plans which may be helpful to you.

Historic England: The SEA Frameworks sections of the SEA are generally commendable in their approach of including references to historic landscape and townscape quality, the maintenance of the Herefordshire SMR and conservation and wherever possible enhancement of locally significant heritage assets.

Environment Agency: No comments received

Natural Resources Wales: No comments received

3.0 The SEA Framework

- 3.1 As mentioned previously, Stage A of the SEA identifies and reviews relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources (refer to Table A1 in Appendix 2 for details of those documents that were reviewed in completing Stage A of SEA on the Allensmore Parish NDP).
- 3.2 The requirement to undertake this ‘context review’ is contained in Annexes 1(a) and (e) of the SEA Directive which states that an Environmental Report should include:

² Statutory consultation bodies: Natural England; English Heritage; Environment Agency Natural Resources Wales

“...an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes” and

“...the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation”

Policy context

- 3.3 The Allensmore Parish NDP will deliver the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at parish level by adding locally specific detail to strategic policies. As a consequence, the Scoping Report for the NDP was based on the context review Herefordshire Council undertook for its Local Plan (Core Strategy).
- 3.4 The results of this assessment (context review) provide the source of the local baseline data and have been incorporated into the SEA framework. It should be noted that:
- No list of policies, plans and programmes can ever be exhaustive and that Herefordshire Council has selected those considered to be of particular relevance to the planning system;
 - New or revised plans and policies can emerge during the SEA process
- 3.5 The following strategies and plans have been reviewed and, where appropriate, incorporated within the SA Framework objectives:
- *The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (2010)* - These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna.
 - *The EC Water Framework Directive (2000)* - Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015
 - *The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981)* - The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication.
 - *Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England's wildlife and ecosystem services (2011)* - Forms part of the UK's Post-2010 Biodiversity Framework by setting out England's contribution towards the UK's commitments under the United Nations Convention of Biological Diversity.
 - *The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000)* - Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs
 - *The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006)* - Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy.
 - *Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy (2009)* - Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.

- *National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (2018)* - Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document.
- *Planning Practice Guidance (2013)* - Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031.
- *Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015* - Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015.
- *Understanding Herefordshire Report (2014)* - Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life. Enable development for economy and housing to required levels and growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.
- *Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* - Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them.
- *Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014 (2009)* - The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.
- *Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016* - Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016.
- *Herefordshire Employment Land Study (2012)* - Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period.
- *Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (2009)* - The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:
 - Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development
 - Assessing sites for their housing potential; and
 - Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.
- *Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) (2013)* - Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031.
- *Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study (2012)* - Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031.
- *Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report (2013)* - Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy

- *Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment (2013)* - Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan (2007)* - Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.
- *Building Biodiversity into the LDF (2009)* - Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire.
- *Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy (2010)* - Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire.
- *Renewable Energy Study (2010)* - Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.
- *Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment (2012)* - Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire.
- *Open Spaces Study (2006)* - The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire.
- *Play Facilities Study (2012)* - The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031.
- *Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study (2009)* - The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development. The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.

3.6 Appendix 1 of the Allensmore Parish NDP Scoping Report provides additional detail on the Plans, Policies and Programmes mentioned above and identifies the implications for the SEA and NDP.

SEA Objectives and baseline characteristics

3.7 The SEA objectives that were used at Stages A and B of the process are listed in the following table.

| SEA Objective | |
|---------------|--|
| 1 | To maintain or enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) |
| 2 | To maintain or enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes |
| 3 | To improve the quality of surroundings |

| | |
|----|--|
| 4 | To conserve or where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage |
| 5 | To improve air quality |
| 6 | To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment |
| 7 | To reduce contributions to climate change |
| 8 | To reduce vulnerability to climate change |
| 9 | To improve water quality |
| 10 | To provide for sustainable sources of water supply |
| 11 | To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk |
| 12 | To conserve soil resources and quality |
| 13 | To minimise the production of waste |
| 14 | To improve the health of the population |
| 15 | To reduce crime and nuisance |
| 16 | To conserve natural and manmade resources |

- 3.8 The SEA objectives detailed above conform to the SEA Directive, and are derived from the Sustainability Appraisal undertaken for the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011-2031.
- 3.9 Baseline information gathered during Stage A of the SEA process provided details of the current environmental characteristics of the neighbourhood area and the status of its natural assets and features (refer to Appendix 2). This information was analysed as part of Task B2 of SEA, which looked at the extent to which the emerging NDP policies will help or obstruct these characteristics.
- 3.10 Following the completion of Task B2 of SEA there were no major issues identified against environmental impacts.
- 3.11 The NDP contains 8 criteria-based policies, including Policy A3 which identifies housing sites.
- 3.12 Baseline characteristics within the SEA detail the current environmental status of environmental characteristics in the neighbourhood plan area from different sources. The source of Baseline Information used in Table A2 in Appendix 2, and analysed in Table B2 can be found in Appendix 4.
- 3.13 There are 4 objectives for which there is no local baseline data available and therefore this provides a limitation on the baseline data and whether the NDP policies are able to move towards or away from this data, this also means that there are no future trends to link the SEA objective too.

4.0 Assessing the NDP Objectives

- 4.1 The following are objectives listed in the NDP that aim to realise the vision for Allensmore Parish in 2031:

OBJECTIVE 1: HOUSING: To develop policies, within the framework of Herefordshire’s Core Strategy, which deliver proportionate growth, and which ensure that new housing development preserves and enhances aspects that people value, and makes optimum use of sustainable technologies whilst meeting the current and future needs of both residents and businesses.

OBJECTIVE 2: THE ENVIRONMENT: To ensure that all housing and business development preserves, and, where possible, enriches the character of the parish and protects the landscape, so that its impact on the environment is minimised, with particular reference to water, sewage and soil management, as well as energy and water conservation.

OBJECTIVE 3: FARMING AND OTHER BUSINESS: To encourage new business initiatives which promote the economic well-being of the parish provided that they are in scale with, and sensitive to, the rural character of the locality and any adverse effect on residents' wellbeing or the environment is minimal.

OBJECTIVE 4: COMMUNITY FACILITIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE: To sustain and enhance existing community facilities whilst supporting investment in safe and appropriate new infrastructure as needed and desired by residents and businesses.

- 4.2 The table below tests these NDP objectives against the SEA objectives, providing a summary of the results of Task B1 of SEA. The full results are available at Appendix 4 of this report.
- 4.3 The majority of those NDP objectives which have a relationship with the SEA framework are positively compatible with it or have an unknown effect, requiring more detail at planning application stage or in policy detail.
- 4.4 The NDP objectives had a mainly positive or neutral impact on some of the key SEA Objectives relating to some environment impacts and traffic management. This is because at this stage given the lack of detail over the exact details of an development that may come to fruition as a result of the implementation of these objectives; however, it is accepted that further development of the planning policies that relate to these objectives would move them towards a compatible outcome.
- 4.5 Task B1 of the SEA did not identify any major potential conflicts between the NDP objectives and the SEA framework.

| Key: | |
|-------|------------------------------------|
| + /++ | Compatible |
| - | Possible conflict |
| 0 | Neutral |
| X | No relationship between objectives |
| ? | Unclear, more information needed |

| NDP Objectives | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Objective 1 – Housing | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | x | x | x | x | + |
| Objective 2 – The Environment | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | x | ++ | x | x | x | ++ |
| Objective 3 – Farming and Other Business | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | x | + | x | x | x | + |
| Objective 4 – Community Facilities and Infrastructure | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | x | 0 | x | + | 0 | + |

5 Assessing the NDP Options

5.1 All options that were considered by Allensmore Parish during the development of their Plan have been assessed as part of the SEA, the summary matrix of the assessment can be found in Table B2 options these tables are in Appendix 4.

5.2 The options covered were are followed;

| |
|---|
| Option 1 - Accommodating the level of housing growth required through settlement boundaries and allocations |
| Option 2 – not to prepare an NDP. |

5.3 The choice of preferred option was Option 1. This option was accepted because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be adopted.

5.4 A 'do nothing' option (option 2) as considered by the Parish, i.e. not undertaking a Neighbourhood Development Plan, however this was discounted after due consideration at an early stage by the Parish Council.

5.5 The option which emerged as the most achievable and had support from both the LPA officers and the local community was Option 1 above; Accommodating the level of housing growth required through settlement boundaries and allocations.

5.6 These options were formulated from the responses to residents' surveys and community consultation. The results of which will feed into the identification of the preferred options and ultimately the draft policies.

5.7 Option 2 was rejected because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be in line with being in conformity with the Core Strategy/Local.

5.8 Overall the relevant options had a mostly positive or neutral effect on the SEA objectives and baseline, if the policies that are developed incorporated the elements of the chosen option, ensuring mitigation of new development and additional details are provided for the location and design of any proposed further development, then the assessment of the policies should result in a positive result. As these options were generally moving towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed. Option 2, to do nothing was not deemed to be relevant against the baseline as it was not directly contributing to any locally specific environmental issues.

| NDP Options | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Option 1 | + | + | + | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | | | | + |
| Option 2 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | x |

6.0 Appraisal of the policies

6.1 A key part of developing a plan such as the Allensmore Parish NDP is developing a range of options and testing these, so that a preferred way forward can be selected.

6.2 The following, emerging set of draft policies can be appraised for the purposes of Stage B of the SEA:

Policy A1 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character

Policy A2 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Wildlife

Policy A3 – Proposed Site Allocations**Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries****Policy A5 – Housing Mix****Policy A6 - Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings****Policy A7 – Drainage, Flooding and Sewage****Policy A8 – Protecting the Church and Village Hall and Supporting Investment in Improved Facilities****Stage B of SEA (Reg14)**

- 6.3 In the context of Task B1 of SEA, the previous section of this report identified that many of the NDP objectives are compatible with the SEA framework, while others had either a neutral impact, no relationship with the SEA objectives or if needed; further information such as location of development. Additional policy safeguards within the NDP and Local Plan (Core Strategy) would help mitigate any possible conflicts.
- 6.4 With regard to Task B2 of SEA, the NDP policies were measured against both the SEA framework and the baseline characteristics identified during Stage A of the process. Full details of this appraisal are attached at Appendix 4.
- 6.5 The policies largely score as positive or neutral or are not relevant against the SEA objectives and will not, therefore, have an adverse impact on the baseline characteristics or immediate environmental impacts. This is because they are mainly criteria based policies which only consider schemes on their own merits, as and when planning applications are submitted to the local planning authority.
- 6.6 Impact on water quality, water supply and flood risk can be fully assessed further when more details are known, such as location, scale and type of development. This could be determined on an individual basis at planning application stage. Mitigation measures have been included within the Core Strategy and criteria policies within the NDP.

| NDP Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| Baseline | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X | X | X | 16 |
| Policy A1 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ? | 0 | 0 | + | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Policy A2 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | x | + | 0 | + | 0 | x | + | | | | + |
| Policy A4 | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | | | | + |
| Policy A5 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | x | 0 | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Policy A6 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | x | x | x | x | ++ | | | | ++ |
| Policy A7 | + | + | + | + | x | x | x | + | + | + | ++ | + | | | | + |
| Policy A8 | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | x | + | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Policy A3 – Proposed Site Allocations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site 1 | 0 | ++ | + | + | x | 0 | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Site 4 | ++ | + | + | + | x | 0 | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Site 6 | 0 | + | + | + | x | + | x | x | 0 | + | ++ | x | | | | + |
| Site 14 | + | ++ | + | + | x | ? | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | + |
| Site 15 | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | x | ? | x | x | x | x | x | 0 | | | | ++ |
| Site 20 | + | ++ | ++ | + | x | ? | x | x | x | x | x | 0 | | | | + |
| Site 16 | + | + | + | + | x | ? | x | x | x | x | x | 0 | | | | + |

- 6.7 The results of Task B3, as shown at Appendix 4, demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the NDP policies over the course of the plan period is generally positive. Although some

policies may have a neutral impact during the first 5 years of the plan period, there is no reason why they cannot have a positive effect in the medium to long-term due to policy safeguards included in the Local Plan (Core Strategy); these safeguards should avoid or mitigate against unacceptable adverse impacts.

- 6.8 Task B4 of SEA brings together the results of earlier tasks and thus identifies the cumulative impact of the entire of the NDP. This task, which is also attached at Appendix 4, reveals that the objectives and policies contained in the Allensmore NDP are by and large in general conformity with the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which means that the cumulative effect of the plan will contribute to the achievement of the SEA objectives.
- 6.9 None of the NDP policies are considered to be in direct conflict with or propose greater levels of growth and development than strategic policies contained in the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which themselves have undergone a full Sustainability Appraisal.

Stage D of the SEA (Reg16)

- 6.10 The submission Allensmore NDP has been revised following the draft plan consultation. 2 policies have been amended. This has included references to potential contamination from previous land uses within the policy criteria. These amendments have not changed the direction or stance of the policies or plan itself.
- 6.11 The following policies have been amended:
 - Policy A4 – Criteria for development in settlement boundaries
 - Policy A6 – Conversion of former agricultural building
- 6.12 These minor amendments have not specifically changed the outcomes of the SEA. Full details can be seen within appendix 7.

7.0 Implementation and monitoring

- 7.1 Herefordshire Council as the Local Planning Authority should make arrangements to monitor the significant effects of implementing a neighbourhood plan.
- 7.2 Indeed, Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 requires the Local Planning Authority to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of any NDP that was subject to SEA, in order to identify unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.
- 7.3 Accordingly, Herefordshire Council will monitor outcomes from the NDP policies and the results of these will be reported in the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).
- 7.4 The AMR runs from 1 April to 31 March each year and the topics covered therein include the following:
 - Housing delivery;
 - Previously developed land
 - Housing completions
 - Affordable housing conditions
 - Employment land delivery.

8.0 Next steps

- 8.1 This report will be subject to a formal public consultation of 6 weeks duration alongside the submission Allensmore NDP.

- 8.2 Any changes made to the NDP as a consequence of the Regulations 16 consultation and examination process will trigger a review of the SEA, unless they do not materially affect the outcomes of the Stage B of the SEA process.

Appendix 1

**Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental
Assessment Screening Notification**

**The Neighbourhood Planning (General) (Amendment) Regulations
2015 (Reg. 32)**

Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (d)

| | |
|---|-------------------------------|
| Neighbourhood Area: | Allensmore Neighbourhood Area |
| Parish Council: | Allensmore Parish Council |
| Neighbourhood Area Designation Date: | 26 May 2017 |


Introduction

This Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening has been undertaken to assess whether any European sites exist within or in proximity to the Neighbourhood Area which could be affected by any future proposals or policies.

Through continual engagement the outcomes of any required assessments will help to ensure that proposed developments will not lead to Likely Significant Effects upon a European site or cause adverse impacts upon other environmental assets, such as the built historic or local natural environment.

HRA Initial Screening

Map showing relationship of Neighbourhood Area with European Sites (not to scale)



River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC):

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Does the Neighbourhood Area have the River Wye (including the River Lugg) in or next to its boundary? | N | The River Wye is approx. 2km away from the neighbourhood area |
| Is the Neighbourhood Area in the hydrological catchment of the River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC? | Y | The western part of the neighbourhood area is within the hydrological catchment of the River Wye |
| If yes above, does the Neighbourhood Area have mains drainage to deal with foul sewage? | N | There is no mains drainage in the neighbourhood area. |

Downton Gorge SAC:

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of Downton Gorge SAC? | N | Downton Gorge is 35km away from neighbourhood area |
|---|---|--|

River Clun SAC:

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Does the River Clun border the Neighbourhood Area | N | The River Clun does not border the neighbourhood area |
|---|---|---|

Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites? | N | The Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites are 24km away from the neighbourhood area |
|--|---|---|

Wye Valley Woodlands SAC:

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley Woodlands Site? | N | The Wye Valley Woodlands are 20km away from the neighbourhood area |
|--|---|--|

HRA Conclusion:

The assessment above highlights that European Sites will need to be taken into account in the future Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Allensmore Neighbourhood Area and a Full HRA Screening will be required.

European Site

(List only those which are relevant)

River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC)

Strategic Environmental Assessment Initial Screening for nature conservation landscape and heritage features

The following environmental features are within or in general proximity to the Allensmore Neighbourhood Area and would need to be taken into account within a Strategic Environmental Assessment. In addition, the NDP will also need to consider the other SEA topics set out in Guidance Note 9a to ensure that the plan does not cause adverse impacts.

| SEA features | Within Neighbourhood Area | | Bordering Neighbourhood Area | |
|--|--|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| | Total number | Name(s) | Total number | Name(s) |
| Ancient Woodland | 1 | Bullocks Wood. | 12 | Wallbrook Wood; Ruckhall Wood; Priors Shell Wood; Old Hill Wood; Newton Coppice; Veddoes Copse; Knockerhill Wood; Callow Plantation; Square Wood; Vallets Wood; Thruxton Vallets; Heirons Wood. |
| Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Conservation Areas | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Flood Areas | Flood Zones 2 and 3 are not within the neighbourhood area. | | | |
| Geoparks | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Listed Buildings | There are numerous listed buildings within the neighbourhood area. | | | |
| Local Geological Sites (LGS) | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Local Wildlife Sites (LWS) | 1 | Pond at Allensmore Court. | 11 | Pond at Allensmore Court; Littlemarsh Common; Honeymoon Common; |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------|---|---|
| | | | | Cagebrook Valley and Woodlands; Clehonger village pond; Hayleasow Wood, Newton Coppice & Spring Grove; Knockerhill Wood and adjoining Woodland; Arkstone Common; Whitfield; Kingstone Common; Cage Brook. | |
| Mineral Reserves | 7 | Hunderton Rough to Clehonger to Allensmore; Courtlands Farm; Cobhall Farm; Cobhall Common; Whitehouse Kennels; Small area north west of Green Farm; Home Farm. | 0 | - | |
| National Nature Reserve (NNR) | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| Nature Trails | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| Registered Parks and Gardens | 0 | - | 1 | Whitfield. | |
| Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAM) | 0 | - | 1 | Thruyton Tump, a motte castle at Thruyton Court. | |
| Sites of Importance in Nature Conservation (SINC) | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) | 0 | - | 0 | - | |
| Unregistered parks and gardens | 1 | Allensmore Court. | 2 | Belmont House; Cagebrook House. | |
| | | | SSSI Status | | SSSI Status |
| Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) | 0 | - | - | 2 Littlemarsh Common; Cagebrook Valley. | Unfavourable Declining; Favourable; |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | Unfavourable No Change; Unfavourable Declining. |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Decision Notification:

The initial screening highlights that the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Allensmore Neighbourhood Area:

- a) Will require further environmental assessment for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment.

Assessment date: 26 July 2017

Assessed by: James Latham

Appendix 1: European Sites

The table below provides the name of each European Site, which has been screened in for the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Herefordshire. This is based on the sites individual features of integrity and their vulnerabilities, which could include distance criteria. This has been used in identifying which parishes are likely to require a full HRA Screening of their future Neighbourhood Development Plan, to establish if their plan might have Likely Significant Effects on a European Site.

| |
|--|
| Downton Gorge |
| Site Features: <i>Tilio-Acerion</i> forests of slopes, screes and ravines |
| Vulnerability data: 10km for air quality associated with poultry units or other intensive agricultural practices. |
| River Clun |
| Site Features: Freshwater pearl mussel <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i> |
| Vulnerability data: Water quality is important to maintain the site feature. Parishes either side of the River Clun will be affected. |
| River Wye |
| Site Features: Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitricho-Batrachion</i> vegetation. Transition mires and quaking bogs. White-clawed (or Atlantic Stream) crayfish <i>Austropotamobius pallipes</i> . Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> . Brook lamprey <i>Lampetra planeri</i> . River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> . Twaite shad <i>Alosa fallax</i> . Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i> . Bullhead <i>Cottus gobio</i> . Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i> . Allis shad <i>Alosa alosa</i> |
| Vulnerability data: Proximity: Developments should not be within 100m of the designated bank. Some developments beyond 100m may also have impacts based on proximity and these issues should be addressed where possible when developing NDP policy and choosing site allocations. Water Quality: Within the whole catchment of the River Wye, which includes the River Lugg, mains drainage issues with regards to water quality are being resolved through the Core Strategy / Local Plan and development of a Nutrient Management Plan. Welsh Water should be consulted to ensure that the proposed growth will be within the limit of their consents. Otters: "An otter will occupy a 'home range', which on fresh waters usually includes a stretch of river as well as associated tributary streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and woodland. The size of a home range depends largely on the availability of food and shelter, and the presence of neighbouring otters. On rivers, a male's home range may be up to 40km or more of watercourse and associated areas; females have smaller ranges (roughly half the size) and favour quieter locations for breeding, such as tributary streams. Otters without an established home range are known as 'transients'. They are mostly juveniles looking for a territory of their own, or adults that have been pushed out of their territories. Transient otters may use an area for a short while, but they will move on if conditions are not suitable or if they are driven away by resident otters. Transients will have been important in extending the range of otters, but they are very difficult to identify from field signs. Within a home range an otter may use many resting sites. These include above-ground shelters, such as stands of scrub or areas of rank grass, and underground 'holts' – for example, cavities under tree roots and dry drainage pipes." |

Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites

Site Features: Annex II species that are a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*. Greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*

Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Greater Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 20-30km between their summer and winter roosts.

NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider:

Woodland habitat buffer.

Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Greater Horseshoe Bat: Large buildings, pasture, edge of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows. Mixed land-use especially south-facing slopes, favours beetles, moths and insects they feed on. During the winter they depend on caves, abandoned mines and other underground sites for undisturbed hibernation. A system/series of sites required. Vulnerable to loss of insect food supply, due to insecticide use, changing farming practices and loss of broad-leaved tree-cover and loss / disturbance of underground roosts sites.

Wye Valley Woodlands

Site Features: Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for site selection: Beech forests *Asperulo-Fagetum*, *Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines, *Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles. Annex II species present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, 51-100 residents


Vulnerability data: Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues. NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider: Woodland habitat buffer. Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Allensmore SEA Map 1

Scale: 1:25,000



Contains, or is based upon, Historic England National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.
© Crown copyright and database rights [2017] Ordnance Survey 100024168
© Natural England [2017], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.
© Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2017. All rights reserved.



Key:

- Neighbourhood Area
- Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Ancient Woodland
- Registered Parks & Gardens
- Unregistered Parks & Gardens
- Listed Buildings
- Parish Boundaries




Allensmore SEA Map 2

Scale: 1:25,000



Contains, or is based upon, English Heritage's National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.
© Crown copyright and database rights [2016] Ordnance Survey 100024168
© Natural England [2016], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.
© Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2016. All rights reserved.



Key:

- Sites of Special Scientific Interest
- Local Wildlife Sites
- Minerals Reserves
- Parish Boundaries



Appendix 2

Strategic Environmental Assessment

Scoping Report for:

Allensmore Neighbourhood Area

October 2017



Consultation on the Scoping Report


The aim of the consultation process is to involve and engage with statutory consultees and other relevant bodies on the scope of the appraisal. In particular, it seeks to:

- Ensure the SEA is both comprehensive and sufficiently robust to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan during the later stages of full public consultation;
- Seek advice on the completeness of the plan review and baseline data and gain further information where appropriate;
- Seek advice on the suitability of key sustainability issues;
- Seek advice on the suitability of the sustainability objectives.

Comments on this Scoping Report have been invited from the three consultation bodies as required by the SEA regulations, together with Natural Resources Wales.

The three consultation bodies are as follows:

1. Natural England;
2. Historic England;
3. Environment Agency.



Template A1: Identification and review of local Neighbourhood Area relevant plans, policies and programmes**Parish Council Name: Allensmore Parish Council****Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Allensmore Neighbourhood Plan****Date completed: August 2017**

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s)¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|---|
| The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations | European Union Legislation | 2010 | These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna. | The Regulations make it an offence (subject to exceptions) to deliberately capture, kill, disturb, or trade in the animals listed in Schedule 2, or pick, collect, cut, uproot, destroy, or trade in the plants listed in Schedule 4. However, these actions can be made lawful through the granting of licenses by the appropriate authorities. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

¹ Derived from the LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| The EC Water Framework Directive | European Union | 2000 | Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015 | Aims for 'good status' for all ground and surface waters (rivers, lakes, transitional waters, and coastal waters) in the EU | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981) | Domestic Legislation | 1981 | The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication. | The principle mechanism for the legislative protection of wildlife in Great Britain. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Soil | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000) | Domestic Legislation | 2000 | Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation | The Act provides for a new right of access on foot to areas of open land comprising: Mountain (land over 600 metres); Moorland; Heath; Downland; Registered common land. There are provisions to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Soil | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|-------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs. | consider extending the right in the future to coastal land, but not woodland despite some early publicity suggesting this. | | |
| The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) | Domestic Legislation | 2006 | Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy. | Provides that any public body or statutory undertaker in England and Wales must have regard to the purpose of conservation of biological diversity in the exercise of their functions. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Soil | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy | European Union Strategy | 2009 | Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development. | Recognises the need to gradually change current unsustainable consumption and production patterns and move towards a better integrated approach to policy making. The Strategy sets overall objectives, targets and concrete actions for seven key priority challenges, predominantly environmental: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Climate change | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Population and human health | The Neighbourhood Plans should take into account the objectives of the strategy. The aim of sustainable development should be implicit in its approach. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|---------------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | | and clean energy; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainable transport • Sustainable production and consumption; • Public health threats; • Better management of natural resources; • Social inclusion, demography and migration; • Fighting global poverty. | | |
| National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) | National planning policy. | 2012 | Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The NDP should take into account the relevant policies set within the NPPF. |
| Planning Practice Guidance | Government Guidance | 2014 | Provides guidance to local planning authorities and others on the | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape | The NDP should take into account the planning guidance provided within these documents. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|---------------------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| | | | operation of the planning system. | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | |
| Herefordshire Core Strategy, (adopted) 2011-2031 | Development Plan Document (DPD) | 2015 | Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031. | <p>Outlines the emerging suite of countywide planning policies relating to housing, economic development and the environment, which the NDP will need to be in conformity with where relevant.</p> <p>The Core Strategy includes a range of objectives, five of which directly relate to rural areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To meet the housing needs of all sections of the community • To improve access to services in rural areas • To strengthen the economic viability of the villages and their rural hinterlands • To achieve sustainable communities and protect the environment • To conserve, promote, utilise and enjoy our natural, built, historic and | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>The NDP should take account of relevant policies set within in the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Where necessary, the NDP should provide services, facilities and employment opportunities that are accessible to both local and neighbouring communities.</p> <p>Allensmore is within the Ross on Wye HMA.</p> <p>The Parish is made up for three key settlements:</p> <p>Winnal – 4.14 Allensmore – 4.15 Cobhall Common – 4.15</p> <p>These identified settlements should take</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------|------------------|------|----------|--|---------------------------|---|
| | | | | <p>cultural assets for the fullest benefit of the whole community.</p> <p>To achieve a thriving rural Herefordshire, the Core Strategy seeks to enhance the role the villages have traditionally played in as accessible, sustainable centres for their rural catchments.</p> <p>Allensmore is in the Ross – on-Wye Housing Market Area (HMA). The target for proportionate growth across identified areas is 14%.</p> | | <p>into consideration the criteria set within policy RA1 and RA2.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015 | Corporate | 2013 | Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015. | The document includes three key objectives, one which seeks to maintain access for rural residents and people without access to a car. Intrinsic to this is the retention of a 'core network' of bus services which focus on journeys between Hereford and the market towns, along with main transport corridors close to larger rural settlements. To this end, the strategy aims to increase the number of bus users by 1.3% (4,700 journeys) by 2015. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Climate factors • Population and human health | The LTP does not explore current transport issues in the Allensmore NDP neighbourhood area, but any new development proposed through the NDP should seek to reduce the environmental impacts of transport. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s)¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---|--|--|---|
| Understanding Herefordshire Report | Built and natural environment | 2014 | <p>Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life</p> <p>Enable development for economy and housing to required levels.</p> <p>Growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.</p> | An air quality management plan is in place to tackle this. Destination Hereford project is in place to give locals more sustainable transport options. | <p>Improve air quality.</p> <p>Have a more diverse range of transport options.</p> | None identified. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|---|--|
| Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 | Corporate | 2009 | Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them. | N/A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The Allensmore Neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Malvern Hills AONB. |
| Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014 | Corporate | 2009 | The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management. | N/A | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The Allensmore Neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Wye Valley AONB. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|------------------|------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016 | Corporate | 2011 | Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016. | <p>The document outlines the path and direction to foster economic vitality within Herefordshire. Key objectives therefore include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustaining business survival and growth • Increasing wage levels, range and quality of jobs • Having a skilled population to meet future work needs • Developing the county's built infrastructure so enterprise can flourish. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Material assets • Population and human health | None of merit. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|---|--|--|--|
| Herefordshire Employment Land Study | Evidence | 2012 | Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period. | <p>This study covers existing employment sites in Hereford, the five market towns and their rural hinterlands.</p> <p>There are no employment land allocations within Allensmore parish at present and so the study does not identify sites which are worthy of continued protection from alternative uses. Nor does it make any recommendations in respect of employment need within the neighbourhood area.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Material assets • Population and human health | None of merit; however the NDP process may have to explore whether there is any employment need locally and if so whether there is any scope for providing employment land and premises. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) | Evidence | 2009 | <p>The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development Assessing sites for their housing potential; and Predicting when a site could be developed for housing. | <p>There are no identified developable sites within the SHLAA for Allensmore or Cobhall Common, however Winnal was included in the 2012 SHLAA and has a number of identified sites:</p> <p>Winnal HLAA/224/001 Land adjoining the Three Horseshoes 0.34 N/A Yes No Not in current plan period Winnal HLAA/278/001 Cobhall Common 0.17 N/A Yes No Not in current plan period Winnal HLAA/290/001 Land next to Red House 1.41 N/A Yes No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/001 Land adjacent to Winnal Farm 6.21 N/A Don't know No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/002 Land opposite Orange Fox View 2.33 N/A Don't know No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/003 Land opposite Locks Garage 2.4 N/A Don't know No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/004 Land adjacent to Bridge Farm 1.71 N/A Don't know No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/005 Land behind Yew Tree Cottage 0.95 N/A Don't know No Not in current plan period Winnal O/Winl/006 Land adjacent to Traphouse 1.53 5 Don't know Yes 11-20 Years Yes, notwithstanding the fact that it is constrained by poor access.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Air Biodiversity Climate factors Cultural heritage and the landscape Flora and fauna Population and human health Soil Water | <p>The SHLAA assesses the potential availability of land for housing.</p> <p>Winnal was assessed in the 2012 SHLAA. Allensmore and Cobhall Common were not assessed therefore a local site assessment exercise should be undertaken.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|--|---|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) | Evidence | 2013 | Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031. | <p>Allensmore parish falls within the Ross on Wye HMA. Here, the study reveals that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 55% of households are unable to afford market housing. • There is an annual requirement for 58 affordable dwellings between 2012 and 2017. <p>The study identifies that, in Ross-on-Wye HMA, there is a need for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 690 market houses • 490 affordable houses. <p>The study highlights that within the Ross-on-Wye HMA the overall estimated housing need by size 2012-2017 is as follows:</p> <p>1 bed - 54.4% 2 bed – 18.4% 3 bed – 28.2% 4 bed – minus 1.1%</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>The LHMA provides an indication of housing needs and affordability within the Ross on Wye HMA.</p> <p>It provides evidence that could be used to inform policies or market and affordable housing requirements in the NDP.</p> |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study | Evidence | 2012 | Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031. | <p>The delivery of 5,300 homes in the rural areas would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support growth in the rural population by 6% • Increase the number of households by 14.5% <p>Forecasts also predict that growth in the population of the rural areas is likely to be primarily through an increase in those aged over 75.</p> <p>Moderate growth is expected in the 30-44 and 60-74 age brackets.</p> <p>The Local Housing Requirements Study therefore anticipates continuing improvements in life expectancy; significant growth is expected of those in their 80s, with the existing population in their 40s and 50s moving into retirement. As a consequence, the rural areas will face an increasing urgency to</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>This study provides an indication of housing requirements in the rural areas and the Ross on Wye HMA.</p> <p>This evidence can be used to inform the content of the Allensmore Group NDP, which could include policies to facilitate the provision of the right types of homes in the right places.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|------------------|------|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | provide more 3 bedroom homes, with more 1 and 2 bed homes required in the affordable sector. | | |
| Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report | Evidence | 2013 | Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy | Allensmore, Cobhall Common and Winnal are, listed as RA2 settlements, which means the parish is considered a sustainable location for proportional growth of up to 14%. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | Allensmore NDP will need to be in conformity with the provisions of Policy RA1 and RA2. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|------------------|------|--|--|---|--|
| Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment | Evidence | 2013 | Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire. | <p>Key findings from the survey of Gypsy and Traveller households in 2012 found that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 31% of households surveyed have some sort of accommodation need • Of the 17 households with an accommodation need, 7 had a requirement for at least one additional pitch • 10 households had a requirement for bricks and mortar housing • There is an additional requirement for 7 pitches and 9 units of Registered Social Landlord accommodation within Herefordshire. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | <p>A countywide Gypsy and Traveller DPD is being prepared to address this issue. However, the Allensmore NDP could establish whether any of the need identified in this assessment falls within the neighbourhood area and make appropriate provisions for it if necessary.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan | Evidence | 2007 | Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species. | Integrating biodiversity objectives with other environmental, social and economic needs can provide a sustainable living and working environment that benefits both people and nature. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity | The NDP can help to achieve the LBAP priorities. |
| Building Biodiversity into the LDF | Evidence | 2009 | Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire. | This document provides useful information in respect of Hereford and the market towns only. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | There is a lack of information about rural areas which means it will be necessary to gather and assess existing biodiversity and geodiversity data, in order to ensure that the Allensmore NDP can overcome any existing constraints and capitalise on opportunities to enhance habitats and their networks. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy | Evidence | 2010 | Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire. | Establishes policies and principles for the protection and enhancement of those features and functions that contributes to the environment of Herefordshire across a range of scales. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Allensmore NDP. |
| Renewable Energy Study | Evidence | 2010 | Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies. | <p>The total energy demand excluding transport for Herefordshire, at that point in time, was calculated as being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electrical: 731 GWh/yr • Heat: 1,810 GWh/yr • Total: 2,541 GWh/yr <p>There is scope for all types of renewable energy production.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health • Soil • Water | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Allensmore NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment | Evidence | 2012 | Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire. | <p>The study updates components of the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework 2010 such as updating population forecasts, setting local standards for synthetic turf pitches and grass playing fields within Herefordshire. It identifies any current gaps in provision, and looks forward to 2031 to assess what facilities are likely to be required by that date.</p> <p>In terms of Allensmore parish itself, the study reveals that there is no playing pitch provision.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | <p>The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Allensmore NDP.</p> <p>Additional local evidence may be required to identify if there is a need for any secured playing pitch facilities within the parish.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------|------------------|------|--|---|---|---|
| Open Spaces Study | Evidence | 2006 | The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snap shot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire. | <p>The study reveals that within the Ross on Wye HMA:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensive under provision of parks and gardens • Extensive over provision of natural and semi-natural green space • Under provision of amenity green space and average provision for outdoor sport • Average provision for children and young people. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | The open space audit and assessment does not give a specific indication of open space shortfalls and surpluses in Allensmore parish. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|-----------------------|------------------|------|--|---|---|---|
| Play Facilities Study | Evidence | 2012 | The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031. | The study did not include any details for Allensmore . | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Cultural heritage and the landscape • Flora and fauna • Material assets • Population and human health | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the Allensmore NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) ¹ | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|--|------------------|------|--|---|--|--|
| Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study | Evidence | 2009 | <p>The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development.</p> <p>The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.</p> | <p>The northern point of Allensmore parish is situated in the Lower Wye catchment and is has the highest risk of fluvial flooding in the county. Therefore requires a holistic integrated approach to flood risk management.</p> <p>The Lower Wye has a 23.4% standard run percentage run off by sub catchment. This is potentially moderately suitable for infiltration source control. Lower Wye has a very slow flood response (Tp-time to peak) time at around 25 hours.</p> <p>The WCS identifies 30 sites in the River Wye catchment where current discharge consent licences cannot be shown to have no adverse effect. Abstraction of water from the River Wye may have impacted the habitats directive ecological river flow.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Biodiversity • Climate factors • Material assets • Population and human health • Water | <p>New development proposed through the Allensmore NDP should be assessed against the capacity of local infrastructure.</p> <p>Up-to-date flood risk information should be gathered from the Environment Agency, in order to ensure that any flood risks are considered when preparing the Allensmore NDP.</p> |

Appendix A2 – Baseline information for Allensmore

N.B. This is based on countywide baseline information with some additions relevant to Border Group (in red). Where no locally specific data is available for current status, trends and targets, only countywide data is reported. Any gaps in data may be filled following additional research.

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Biodiversity, flora and fauna</i> | | | | | | | |
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire. | <p>The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable condition.</p> <p>There are no SSSI's within Allensmore.</p> | <p>2006: 22%</p> <p>2007: 22%</p> <p>2008: 22%</p> <p>2010: 24 %</p> <p>Proportion of SSSI land that was in unfavourable condition but recovering increased between 2010 and 2012 going from 41% to 65%.</p> <p>Proportion in unfavourable and declining condition had also decreased from 4% to 1%.</p> | <p>% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)</p> | <p>Herefordshire's SSSIs are in extremely poor condition relative to England as whole, where 96.1% of all SSSI land was in favourable condition in April 2014.</p> <p>The proportion of SSSI in unfavourable condition but recovering is greater than England as a whole, where the figure currently stands at 58.6%.</p> | Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013). |

¹ Derived from the Pre Submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014)

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|---|--|--------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth. | <p>Countywide data is available.</p> <p>The northern tip of the parish is within the Lower River Wye sub-catchment for the purposes of the nutrient management plan.</p> <p>The lower River Wye, downstream of the Lugg confluence, has not been assessed separately as the assumption is that if the targets are achievable upstream of the confluence, then the targets downstream would also be achieved, based on current growth projections.</p> | The River Wye SAC was subject to a review of consents in 2010, as a number of sections of the river were found to have greater phosphate levels than those identified in Natural England's favourable condition tables. | The roll out of the Nutrient Management Plan will determine future targets. | Low levels of new development within the area is unlikely to lead to the water quality failing the phosphate levels and conservation objectives within the lower River.Wye sub-catchment area. | Nutrient Management Plan (2014) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan. | <p>The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire.</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i></p> | <p>There are no formal records of any unacceptable adverse impacts on habitats or protected species.</p> <p>Originally 156 Priority Species were identified for inclusion in Herefordshire's LBAP.</p> <p>Similarly Herefordshire's LBAP covered 23 habitats with Action Plans.</p> | No specific targets identified. | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission. | <p>Allensmore has:</p> <p>SSSI: 0 LWS: 1</p> <p>Ancient woodlands: 1</p> <p>Registered parks and gardens: 0</p> <p>There are no SACs, NNRs, SINC and LNRs within the parish.</p> | As of 2012, there had been no change in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of the planning permissions granted. | To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible. | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council Initial Screening Report for the Neighbourhood Plan 2017 |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---|---|--|--------|--|---|--|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented. | The Parish Area has the following landscape types: Principle Settled Farmlands (across almost the entire area), with an area of Wet Pasture Meadow to the south east and Wooded Estate Land to the north. | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment (2004 updated 2009) |
| SEA Topic covered by objectives: <i>Material assets</i> | | | | | | | |
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently. | Resource consumption and climate change | Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there are numerous listed buildings and 23 | - | No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Environmental Records Register (search August 2017). |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---|--|--|--------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| | | | Monuments in Allensmore parish, according to the latest version of the monuments register. | | | | |
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently. | Resource consumption and climate change | Monitoring changes to historic landscapes. | Historic Landscape Character assessments have only been undertaken for Hereford City. Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) were only undertaken for Hereford, Ledbury and Ross. | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Historic Landscape Character Assessment for Hereford. Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Population, Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|---------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings within Allensmore parish at present. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings. There are no conservation areas within Allensmore. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | Current status must be verified by Development Management and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched August 2017) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Climatic Factors</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Transport patronage by mode | <p>% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by:</p> <p>Car: 70.1% Foot: 14.7% Bicycle: 4.3% Bus: 2% Train: 0.8% Motorbike: 0.8% Taxi: 0.3% Other: 7%</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present</i></p> | The number of people cycling or travelling by bus as the main form transport to get to work declined between 2001 and 2011 – across England and Wales there was little change in either. Walking or driving a car or van on the other hand increased. | To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car. | There are a lack of transport options for many rural communities and therefore high car ownership and dependency – the last decade has seen a 15 per cent increase in household car ownership, although this is not reflected in traffic flows of recent years with volumes in Hereford City and wider county having decreased. The proportion of people working from home increased over the decade from 15 per cent in 2001 to 17 per cent in 2011. | 2011 Census |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|---|--|--|--|--------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | To contribute towards the national target. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate | Total CO2 emissions per capita | <p>Latest figure dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO²)</p> <p>There is no locally specific data available at present.</p> | <p>Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This trend hides an increase in emissions between 2009 and 2010 when total emissions in the county increased by 5% the same as across the UK (+5%).</p> | To reduce the overall carbon emissions. | CO ² emissions produced are decreasing. | Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013). |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|---|---------------------|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water</i> | | | | | | | |
| 17. Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment. | Natural environment | Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. | 2010/11: None 2011/13: Not specified 2013/14: None <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. | To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice. | None identified. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water, air, soil, material assets</i> | | | | | | | |
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. | Natural environment | Agricultural land usage by quality LA to monitor the number of hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 3a and higher) lost to development. | The agricultural land classification around Allensmore parish is mainly Grade 2 (Good) with smaller areas of Grade 3 (Good to moderate). There is a small area to the south which is graded as 4 (Poor). | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | DEFRA 'Magic' website for land classification |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. | Natural environment | Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive | Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84% <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | Figure steadily improved before going into decline: Herefordshire 1999 85.9%, 2000 89.5%, 2001 92.2%, 2002 91.8% | To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality. | None identified. | The State of Herefordshire Report (2007) Water Framework Directive (2000) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Soil</i> | | | | | | | |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land. | 2010/11: 67% 2011-13: 57% <i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | Completions on PDL had risen to 71% by 2005. | To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy. | The number of brownfield completions has fallen slightly in recent years, though this is probably the offshoot of tough market conditions. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) and (2011-2013) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|-------------------|--|---|--------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Housing densities in urban and rural areas | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | - | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | - |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use. | Built environment | Level of development in urban areas compared to rural. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> This indicator would not be applicable to rural NDPs. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Cultural heritage</i> | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|-------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage). | Up-to-date countywide information will be presented in the next AMR and which is due to be published in 2014. However, this data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are numerous listed buildings within the parish , there are no SAMs. None are currently recorded in the Buildings at Risk Register. | There were 70 heritage assets in Herefordshire that were considered to be at high risk and included in the Heritage at Risk Register 2014. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | None of the listed building or SAMs in Allensmore are considered to be at risk at present. | Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage; search August 2017) |

| SA Objective ¹ | SA Theme | Proposed indicator | Current status | Trends | Targets | Issues and constraints | Baseline (information) source |
|--|-------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets, locally important buildings within the parish and particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template. There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets locally important buildings within Allensmore parish at present. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Allensmore parish | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | Current status must be verified by Development Management and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched August 2017) |

Appendix A3 – Environmental issues identified from the Allensmore baseline

These environmental issues are the same as most of those identified for the Herefordshire Core Strategy¹

| SEA Topic | | Environmental issue | SA objectives |
|-----------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1 | Air | High reliance upon the private car causing high levels of air pollution and in Hereford in particular | Objective 16 |
| | | Need to reduce carbon emissions by encouraging alternative modes of travel. | |
| 2 | Biodiversity | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from the adaptation and diversification of farming and forestry employment. | Objectives 13 &15 |
| | | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from development | |
| | | Minimise loss of biodiversity and expand opportunities for wildlife everywhere. | |
| 3 | Climatic factors | Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through planning, design and build. | Objective 16 |
| 4 | Cultural heritage | Allensmore has no Scheduled Ancient Monuments, however it has numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing protection and maintenance. | Objective 20 |
| 5 | Flora and fauna | Conserve and enhance the character and quality of historic landscapes, including all types of natural flora and fauna. | Objective 15 |
| 6 | Material assets | How the countryside can continue to be managed in an economically, socially and environmentally beneficial way in the face of continuing pressures on traditional farming. | Objectives 14 & 18 |
| 7 | Population | Minimise energy waste through good designs, which help to reduce energy consumption and maximise efficiency. | Objective 15 |
| | | Need to avoid enforcement investigations/action concerning locally important buildings and those within conservation areas in particular. | |
| 8 | Soil | Promoting development of previously developed land and buildings as opposed to greenfield sites or agricultural land of the highest quality. | Objectives 18 & 19 |

¹ Derived from the Pre-submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014) and LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

| | | | |
|---|-------|---|--------------------|
| 9 | Water | Issues relating to availability of resources, foul drainage, pollution, and abstraction in a county which supports water dependent biodiversity of international and national importance, given the predicted climate change consequences for water availability and demanding projections for new housing. | Objectives 17 & 18 |
| | | Steady decline in the chemical quality of rivers over the last 10 years. | |

Template A4: SEA Framework

SEA Scoping Stage A, Task A4 - SEA Framework SEA Objectives, Indicators, Targets

Parish Council Name: Allensmore Parish Council

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Allensmore Neighbourhood Plan

Date completed: August 2017

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Indicators (Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2) | Targets (Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna) | To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conserve and enhance natural / semi-natural habitats • Conserve and enhance species diversity • Avoid harm to protected species • Avoid damage to wildlife and geological sites designated for their conservation interest • Maintain and enhance woodland cover and management • Maintain biodiversity, avoiding irreversible losses • Restore the full range of characteristic habitats and species to viable levels • Reverse the long term decline in farmland birds • Ensure the sustainable management of key wildlife sites and the ecological processes on which they depend • Provide opportunities for people to come into contact with and appreciate wildlife and wild place | <p>Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire.</p> <p>After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation</p> <p>Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan.</p> | <p>% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Indicators (<i>Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2</i>) | Targets (<i>Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2</i>) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape | <p>To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>To improve quality of surroundings</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect and enhance the landscape everywhere and particularly in designated areas • Value and protect diversity and local distinctiveness • Improve landscape and ecological quality and character of the countryside • Improve the quantity and quality of publicly accessible open space • Improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods as places to live • Decrease litter and graffiti in towns and countryside • Result in the loss of open space | <p>Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission.</p> <p>Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented.</p> <p>Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.</p> <p>Area resulting in a loss of open space as a result of planning permission</p> | <p>To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified</p> |
| Heritage | To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and culture heritage. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preserve, protect and enhance heritage assets including conservation areas, historic buildings, archaeological sites and other culturally important features in both urban and rural settings. • Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look well | <p>The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of locally important buildings within a conservation area.</p> <p>Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage).</p> <p>Ensure that Herefordshire Council's Sites and Monuments Register is kept up to date.</p> | <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Indicators (<i>Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2</i>) | Targets (<i>Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2</i>) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Air and Climate | <p>To improve air quality</p> <p>To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limit air pollution, including greenhouse gas emissions and ozone depleting substances • Improve air quality • Reduce the need to travel • Reduce traffic volumes and congestion • Reduce road traffic accidents • Reduce commuting and improve accessibility by public transport walking and cycling • Increase proportion of journeys using modes other than the car • Reduce the effects of heavy goods traffic (freight) on people and the environment • Reduce respiratory illnesses • Reduce energy consumption and improve energy efficiency • Increase proportion of energy needs being met from renewable sources • Reduce vulnerability to the effects of climate change e.g. flooding, disruption to travel by extreme weather | <p>Transport patronage by mode</p> <p>Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission.</p> <p>Total CO2 emissions per capita</p> | <p>To encourage the take up of lesser polluting modes of transport</p> <p>To contribute towards the national target.</p> <p>To reduce the overall carbon emissions.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Indicators (Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2) | Targets (Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2) |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Water | <p>To improve water quality</p> <p>To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Improve the quality of inland water: rivers, lakes and ponds • Limit water pollution • Maintain water abstraction, run-off and recharge within carrying capacity (including future capacity) • Reduce water consumption and improve water efficiency • Minimise the risk of flooding from rivers and watercourses to people and property • Minimise risk of subsidence • Reduce risk of damage to property from storm events | <p>Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.</p> <p>Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality</p> <p>Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth.</p> | <p>To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.</p> <p>To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality as set out in the Water Framework Directive.</p> <p>To meet the targets set out in the Nutrient Management Plan (2014)</p> |
| Soil | <p>To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduce contamination, and safeguard soil quality and quantity • Minimise loss of greenfield land / maximise development on brownfield land • Reduce the amount of derelict and underused land • Minimise waste generation and increase re-use or recovery through recycling, composting or energy recovery • Maximise the amount of waste diverted from landfill through recovery and recycling • Reduce household waste going to landfill • Reduce hazardous waste • Reduce waste in the construction of developments | <p>Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.</p> <p>Amount of land identified as best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.</p> | <p>To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> |

| SEA Topics | SEA Objective (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...) (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | Indicators (Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2) | Targets (Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Population and Human Health | To improve health of the population To reduce crime and nuisance | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Create conditions to improve health and reduce health inequalities in those areas most affected • Promote healthy living and lifestyles • Reduce death rates • Protect and enhance human health • Reduce and prevent crime, reduce fear of crime • Decrease noise and vibration • Increase opportunities for indoor recreation and exercise | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards. | No specific targets identified. |
| Material Assets | To conserve natural and manmade resources | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protect built assets, property, infrastructure and services • Increase proportion of building materials from sustainable sources • Promote the development of a sustainable settlement pattern and physical infrastructure • Promote the efficient use of land and resources • Reduce consumption of materials and resources | <p>Agricultural land usage by quality</p> <p>Housing densities in urban and rural areas</p> <p>Level of development in urban areas compared to rural.</p> | <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>N/A</p> |

Appendix 3



Historic England

WEST MIDLANDS OFFICE

Mr James Latham
Herefordshire Council
Neighbourhood Planning & Strategic Planning
Planning Services, PO Box 230, Blueschool House
Blueschool Street
Hereford
HR1 2ZB

Direct Dial: 0121 625 6887

Our ref: PL00201269

27 October 2017

Dear Mr Latham

**CONSULTATION ON SEA SCOPING REPORT FOR ALLENSMORE
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**

Thank you for the invitation to comment on the SEA Scoping Report for the Neighbourhood Plan listed above. We have no substantive objection to the contents of the document.

The SEA Frameworks sections of the SEA are generally commendable in their approach of including references to historic landscape and townscape quality, the maintenance of the Herefordshire SMR and conservation and wherever possible enhancement of locally significant heritage assets.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Boland
Historic Places Advisor
peter.boland@HistoricEngland.org.uk

cc:



THE AXIS 10 HOLLIDAY STREET BIRMINGHAM B1 1TG

Telephone 0121 625 6870
HistoricEngland.org.uk



Date: 23 November 2017
Our ref: 229472
Your ref: Allensmore Neighbourhood Development Plan



James Latham
Technical Support Officer
Neighbourhood Planning and Strategic Planning Teams
Herefordshire Council

Customer Services
Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

BY EMAIL ONLY

Dear Mr Latham,

Planning consultation: Allensmore – SEA Scoping Report

Thank you for your consultation which was received by Natural England on 26 April 2017.

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

Natural England generally welcomes the scoping report for the St Weonards Neighbourhood Plan and considers that the methodology and baseline information used to inform the report appears to meet the requirements of the SEA Directive (2001/42/EC) and associated guidance.

Policies, Plans and Programmes

We are satisfied that the national and local plans and strategies identified within the report are relevant to the neighbourhood plan.

Baseline Information

- SA Objective 13, Natural Environment, provides no baseline information, this should include BAP Habitat Inventory. This data which can be accessed via the Magic map system.

Identification of issues

- Natural England recommend that “minimise loss of biodiversity” (2) should require net gains in biodiversity.
- Biodiversity Action Plan '[priority habitats](#)' should be referenced at 2.

Sustainability Framework

Natural England generally supports the sustainability objectives contained within the framework as they satisfactorily cover our interests in the natural environment.

We refer you to the attached annex which covers the issues and opportunities relevant to neighbourhood plans which may be helpful to you.

We would be happy to comment further should the need arise but if in the meantime you have any



queries please do not hesitate to contact us.

For any queries relating to the specific advice in this letter only please contact Tom Amos on 02080 260961. For any new consultations, or to provide further information on this consultation please send your correspondences to consultations@naturalengland.org.uk.

We really value your feedback to help us improve the service we offer. We have attached a feedback form to this letter and welcome any comments you might have about our service.

Yours sincerely

Tom Amos
Sustainable Development
West Midlands Team

Annex 1 - Neighbourhood planning and the natural environment: information, issues and opportunities

Natural environment information sources

The [Magic](#)¹ website will provide you with much of the nationally held natural environment data for your plan area. The most relevant layers for you to consider are: **Agricultural Land Classification, Ancient Woodland, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Local Nature Reserves, National Parks (England), National Trails, Priority Habitat Inventory, public rights of way (on the Ordnance Survey base map) and Sites of Special Scientific Interest (including their impact risk zones)**. Local environmental record centres may hold a range of additional information on the natural environment. A list of local record centres is available [here](#)².

Priority habitats are those habitats of particular importance for nature conservation, and the list of them can be found [here](#)³. Most of these will be mapped either as **Sites of Special Scientific Interest**, on the Magic website or as **Local Wildlife Sites**. Your local planning authority should be able to supply you with the locations of Local Wildlife Sites.

National Character Areas (NCAs) divide England into 159 distinct natural areas. Each character area is defined by a unique combination of landscape, biodiversity, geodiversity and cultural and economic activity. NCA profiles contain descriptions of the area and statements of environmental opportunity, which may be useful to inform proposals in your plan. NCA information can be found [here](#)⁴.

There may also be a local **landscape character assessment** covering your area. This is a tool to help understand the character and local distinctiveness of the landscape and identify the features that give it a sense of place. It can help to inform, plan and manage change in the area. Your local planning authority should be able to help you access these if you can't find them online.

If your neighbourhood planning area is within or adjacent to a **National Park** or **Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty** (AONB), the relevant National Park/AONB Management Plan for the area will set out useful information about the protected landscape. You can access the plans on from the relevant National Park Authority or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty website.

General mapped information on **soil types** and **Agricultural Land Classification** is available (under 'landscape') on the [Magic](#)⁵ website and also from the [LandIS website](#)⁶, which contains more information about obtaining soil data.

Natural environment issues to consider

The [National Planning Policy Framework](#)⁷ sets out national planning policy on protecting and enhancing the natural environment. [Planning Practice Guidance](#)⁸ sets out supporting guidance.

Your local planning authority should be able to provide you with further advice on the potential impacts of your plan or order on the natural environment and the need for any environmental assessments.

¹ <http://magic.defra.gov.uk/>

² <http://www.nbn-nfbr.org.uk/nfbr.php>

³ <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx>

⁴ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-character-area-profiles-data-for-local-decision-making>

⁵ <http://magic.defra.gov.uk/>

⁶ <http://www.landis.org.uk/index.cfm>

⁷ <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-planning-policy-framework--2>

⁸ <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/natural-environment/>

Landscape

Your plans or orders may present opportunities to protect and enhance locally valued landscapes. You may want to consider identifying distinctive local landscape features or characteristics such as ponds, woodland or dry stone walls and think about how any new development proposals can respect and enhance local landscape character and distinctiveness.

If you are proposing development within or close to a protected landscape (National Park or Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty) or other sensitive location, we recommend that you carry out a landscape assessment of the proposal. Landscape assessments can help you to choose the most appropriate sites for development and help to avoid or minimise impacts of development on the landscape through careful siting, design and landscaping.

Wildlife habitats

Some proposals can have adverse impacts on designated wildlife sites or other priority habitats (listed [here](#)⁹), such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest or [Ancient woodland](#)¹⁰. If there are likely to be any adverse impacts you'll need to think about how such impacts can be avoided, mitigated or, as a last resort, compensated for.

Priority and protected species

You'll also want to consider whether any proposals might affect priority species (listed [here](#)¹¹) or protected species. To help you do this, Natural England has produced advice [here](#)¹² to help understand the impact of particular developments on protected species.

Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land

Soil is a finite resource that fulfils many important functions and services for society. It is a growing medium for food, timber and other crops, a store for carbon and water, a reservoir of biodiversity and a buffer against pollution. If you are proposing development, you should seek to use areas of poorer quality agricultural land in preference to that of a higher quality in line with National Planning Policy Framework para 112. For more information, see our publication [Agricultural Land Classification: protecting the best and most versatile agricultural land](#)¹³.

Improving your natural environment

Your plan or order can offer exciting opportunities to enhance your local environment. If you are setting out policies on new development or proposing sites for development, you may wish to consider identifying what environmental features you want to be retained or enhanced or new features you would like to see created as part of any new development. Examples might include:

- Providing a new footpath through the new development to link into existing rights of way.
- Restoring a neglected hedgerow.
- Creating a new pond as an attractive feature on the site.
- Planting trees characteristic to the local area to make a positive contribution to the local landscape.
- Using native plants in landscaping schemes for better nectar and seed sources for bees and birds.
- Incorporating swift boxes or bat boxes into the design of new buildings.
- Think about how lighting can be best managed to encourage wildlife.
- Adding a green roof to new buildings.

⁹<http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx>

¹⁰ <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/ancient-woodland-and-veteran-trees-protection-surveys-licences>

¹¹ <http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20140711133551/http://www.naturalengland.org.uk/ourwork/conservation/biodiversity/protectandmanage/habsandspeciesimportance.aspx>

¹² <https://www.gov.uk/protected-species-and-sites-how-to-review-planning-proposals>

¹³ <http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/35012>

You may also want to consider enhancing your local area in other ways, for example by:

- Setting out in your plan how you would like to implement elements of a wider Green Infrastructure Strategy (if one exists) in your community.
- Assessing needs for accessible greenspace and setting out proposals to address any deficiencies or enhance provision.
- Identifying green areas of particular importance for special protection through Local Green Space designation (see [Planning Practice Guidance on this](#) ¹⁴).
- Managing existing (and new) public spaces to be more wildlife friendly (e.g. by sowing wild flower strips in less used parts of parks, changing hedge cutting timings and frequency).
- Planting additional street trees.
- Identifying any improvements to the existing public right of way network, e.g. cutting back hedges, improving the surface, clearing litter or installing kissing gates) or extending the network to create missing links.
- Restoring neglected environmental features (e.g. coppicing a prominent hedge that is in poor condition, or clearing away an eyesore).

¹⁴ <http://planningguidance.planningportal.gov.uk/blog/guidance/open-space-sports-and-recreation-facilities-public-rights-of-way-and-local-green-space/local-green-space-designation/>

Appendix 4

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/ Very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations | The following matrix appraises the emerging Allensmore NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. | |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed | |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. | |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | |

SEA Objectives

- 1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)
- 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes
- 3- To improve quality of surroundings
- 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage
- 5- To improve air quality
- 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment
- 7- To reduce contributions to climate change
- 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change
- 9- To improve water quality
- 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply
- 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk
- 12- To conserve soil resources and quality
- 13- To minimise the production of waste
- 14- To improve health of the population
- 15- To reduce crime and nuisance
- 16- To conserve natural and manmade resources

| NDP objectives | SEA Objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Conclusions | Recommendations | SMART Test of NDP objective | After SMART objectives |
|--|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--|---|---|-------------------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | | |
| Objective 1 – Housing | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | X | X | X | X | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant and a neutral outcome across some other key environmental aspects as details are not known at this stage. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. There will be an increase in traffic as a result of new development however this can be mitigated through policies in the plan. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability and infrastructure criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 2 – The Environment | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | X | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant and directly addresses the issue of conservation of the parish, water and energy conservation. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 3 – Farming and Other Business | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | X | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives and a neutral outcome across the other key environmental aspects and looks in detail at sustainable farming measures. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage, especially in terms of traffic management relating to development. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| Objective 4 – Community Facilities and Infrastructure | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | X | 0 | X | + | 0 | + | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant and a neutral outcome across the other key environmental aspects in regard to community facilities. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria relating to conservation of the historical environment and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations | The following matrix appraises the emerging Allensmore NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. | |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed | |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. | |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | |

| SEA Objectives | Baseline carried over from Stage A |
|---|--|
| <p>1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)</p> <p>2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>3- To improve quality of surroundings</p> <p>4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage</p> <p>5- To improve air quality</p> <p>6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>7- To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> <p>9- To improve water quality</p> <p>10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p> <p>12- To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>13- To minimise the production of waste</p> <p>14- To improve health of the population</p> <p>15- To reduce crime and nuisance</p> <p>16- To conserve natural and manmade resources</p> | <p>1-The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire’s SSSI land was in favourable condition.– August 2014 status of the SSSIs are: River Wye – unfavourable recovering. Moseley Common (Unfavourable but recovering). The existing status of the Wye SAC between Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. Allensmore Parish has: 0 SSSI, 1 LWS, 1 Ancient Woodland, 7 Mineral reserves and 1 Unregistered Park and Garden. Allensmore Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC. There are no NNRs or SINC’s within the parish.</p> <p>2-There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Allensmore Parish at present.</p> <p>3- In terms of Allensmore parish itself, the study reveals that there is no playing pitch provision.</p> <p>4-Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, Allensmore has 0 Scheduled Ancient Monuments but numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>5-Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This suggests that air quality is improving.</p> <p>6-% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1%, Foot: 14.7: Bicycle: 4.3%,Bus: 2%, Train: 0.8%,Motorbike: 0.8%,Taxi: 0.3%,Other: 7%.</p> <p>7-Herefordshire latest figure of C02 emissions per capita-dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO²)</p> <p>8-Reduce the risk of flooding-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004.The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>9-Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive. Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%.</p> <p>10-The parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39% of demand is from non-household use.</p> <p>11-Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>12- Majority of land within the agricultural land classification around Allensmore is predominantly Grades 2 and 3 (good to moderate), with areas of Grade 4 (poor) to the south. Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.2010/11: 67%2011-13: 57%.</p> <p>16- There are numerous listed buildings within the parish but no SAMs. The neighbourhood area comprises the following types of landscapes: Principal settled farmlands and Wet Pastured Meadows to the south east and wooded Estate Land to the north.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | |
|--|--|

| NDP Options and Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Summary in relation to baseline | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations | Conformity with Core Strategy | |
|--|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | | |
| Baseline | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X | X | X | 16 | | | | |
| Options | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Option 1 | + | + | + | + | 0 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | 0 | | | | | + | Overall this option has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data and successfully addresses all SEA and baseline objectives where relevant. Unknown or neutral factors will be addressed by emerging policies developed from this option. Allocations of sites and settlement boundaries helps to give certainty over impacts. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and although some of the environmental baseline statistics can be addressed through supporting policies. | This option meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and line with Core Strategy policies. |
| Option 2 | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | X | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Policies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy A1 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character | + | + | + | + | + | ? | 0 | 0 | + | X | X | X | | | | | + | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data and successfully addresses all SEA and baseline objectives where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and although some of the environmental baseline statistics can be addressed through supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and line with Core Strategy policy LD1, LD2, LD3, SS1 and SS6. |
| Policy A2 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Wildlife | + | + | + | + | + | X | + | 0 | + | 0 | X | + | | | | | + | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data regarding the protection and enhancement of the environment through any new development. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development in regard to biodiversity, with further environmental baseline statistics to be addressed through supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and line with Core Strategy policy LD2. |
| Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development within the settlement boundary and addresses many issues regarding drainage and sustainably, biodiversity etc are others are supported and addressed in supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|--|
| Policy A5 – Housing Mix | + | + | + | + | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant in regard to the housing mix proposed for the parish. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to deliver affordable homes, as identified by the community in line with proportional growth requirements. Traffic management issues will be addressed in supporting policies and further environmental issues are also addressed in supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy A6 - Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | X | X | X | X | + | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives and addresses many of the key objectives relating to the impact of conversions of agricultural buildings and the impacts of this. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and in lines with policy |
| Policy A7 – Drainage, Flooding and Sewage | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | + | + | + | + | + | | | | + | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives relating to drainage, flooding and sewage. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy A8 – Protecting the Church and Village Hall and Supporting Investment in Improved Facilities | + | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall the policy is positive as it will encourage the continuing use and enhancement of existing facilities which will help to retain the village character, heritage and environment. It will also reduce the impact of climate change by reducing the need to travel by car to other facilities. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and in line with policy SC1 of the Core Strategy. |
| SITES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy A3 – Proposed Site Allocations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site 1 – Cats Whiskers Boarding Cattery, Winnal (Map 2) | 0 | + | + | + | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant in regard to the specific site allocation. | Overall this policy and site meets the SEA baseline as it will help to deliver affordable homes, as identified by the community in line with proportional growth requirements. Traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies, and issues relating to drainage and sewage through development are also addressed in supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Site 4 – Willoughby Cottage Garden, Winnal (Map 2) | + | + | + | + | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant in regard to the specific site allocation. | Overall this policy and site meets the SEA baseline as it will help to deliver affordable homes, as identified by the community in line with proportional growth requirements. Traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies, further environmental | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| Site 16 – Court Plocks – Barn Conversion (Map 5) | + | + | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | 0 | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant in regard to the specific site allocation. | Overall this policy and site meets the SEA baseline where relevant as it will help to deliver homes through conversion in line with policy RA3 and as identified by the community in line with proportional growth requirements. Traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies, further environmental issues and issues relating to drainage and sewage through development are also addressed in supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards Marginally | - - Move away significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|

Policy A1 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that measures are included in new development to protect and enhance the local landscape. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that measures are included in new development to protect and enhance the local | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----|
| | | | | landscape. | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that measures are included in new development to improve the quality of surroundings. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the | ? | ? | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
| environment | | | | objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable development and measures to mitigate against impacts. | |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy but the outcome on actively reducing contributions is neutral. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy but the outcome on actively reducing contributions is neutral. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---|-----|
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with sustainable development in line with policy RA2 and proportional | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------------------------------|--|
| | | | | growth within the Core Strategy. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy compliments policy RA2, LD1, LD2, LD3, SS1 and SS6 of the Core Strategy and would see development work to enhance surroundings with sustainable development measures, mainly through the protections and enhancement of the local landscape. Policy safeguards exist to ensure that environmental considerations are taking into account. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy A2 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Wildlife

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | ++ | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| environment and cultural heritage | | | | on the SEA baseline data. | |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a neutral impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | 0 | 0 | 0 | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a neutral impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a generally positive impact on the SEA baseline data regarding the protection and enhancement of local wildlife. The policy is strongly focused on the retaining of the natural environment through landscaping building and retaining dark skies. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place to avoid or mitigate effects on nature conservation. | No, the policy already specifies policy criteria to ensure landscape protection. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only lead to development in line with | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | Core Strategy Policies. | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards) are in place to avoid or mitigate effect on the historic environment or cultural heritage. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. The policy directly addresses traffic management. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| | | | | only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at development within the settlement boundaries and guidance on this. Development brought forward through allocation for proportional growth is in line with RA2. | | | | |

Policy A5 – Housing Mix

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | 0 | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a neutral impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | |
|--|---|
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at development within the settlement boundaries and guidance on this. Development brought forward through allocation for proportional growth is in line with RA2. |
|--|---|

Policy A6 – Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Conversion of agricultural buildings could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a neutral impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this policy is not above and beyond the Core Strategy and will have an overall positive impact on the SEA baseline data where relevant. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and within the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy A7 – Drainage, Flooding and Sewage

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation and the subsequent effects on the environment can be mitigated. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| | | | | sewage regulation and the subsequent effects on the environment can be mitigated. | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation and the subsequent effects on the environment can be mitigated. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation and the subsequent effects on the environment can be mitigated. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----|
| to climate change | | | | | |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | ++ | ++ | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage regulation and the ongoing impact of flooding elsewhere. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data where relevant. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to sewage, mitigating the impact of flooding, environmental mitigation and the encouragement of developers to contribute to sustainable drainage systems (SUDS). | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy A8 – Protecting the Church and Village Hall and Supporting Investment in Improved Facilities

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall the aim of this policy is to protect the Church and Village Hall and support investment in improved facilities for community use and will have a positive effect on a number of SEA objectives and support Core Strategy Policies. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Policy A3 – Proposed Site Allocations

Site 1 – Cats Whiskers Boarding Cattery, Winnal (Map 2)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 0 | 0 | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity will be neutral in the shorter term and positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----|---|--|
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is neutral in the short term but with supporting policies traffic management can be mitigated and will be in terms of the SEA objective in the longer term. | Supporting policies could address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 1 dwelling. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Site 4 – Willoughby Cottage Garden, Winnal (Map 2)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | | proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is neutral in the short term but with supporting policies traffic management can be mitigated and will be in terms of the SEA objective in the longer term. | Supporting policies could address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 1 dwelling. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Site 6 – Church Road, Allensmore (Map 3)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| | | | | objective. | |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation with a direct management of traffic and a positive impact on the baseline. | Supporting policies will address the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 2 dwellings. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. Flooding issues are directly addressed on this site. | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Site 14 – South of Winnal Farm, Winnal (Map 2)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | objective. | |
|--|---|----|----|--|---|
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | ? | ? | ? | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate any traffic mitigation measures regarding the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. Therefore a neutral impact on the baseline. | Supporting policies will address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 13 dwellings. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. There is an unknown factor relating to the impact of traffic due to the increase in traffic from 13 proposed new dwellings, however traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies. Further environmental issues and issues relating to drainage and sewage through development are also addressed in supporting | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | |
|--|-----------|
| | policies. |
|--|-----------|

Site 15 – Cobhall Lane, Cobhall Common (Map 4)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----|--|---|
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | ? | ? | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation with a directly management of traffic some uncertainty over the shorter term and a positive impact on the baseline over the longer term through supporting policies. | Supporting policies will address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | |
|--|---|
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 5 dwellings. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. There is an unknown factor relating to the impact of traffic due to the increase in traffic from 5 proposed new dwellings, however traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies. Further environmental issues and issues relating to drainage and sewage through development are also addressed in supporting policies. |
|--|---|

Site 20 – Cobhall Common (Map 4)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|----|----|--|---|
| landscapes and townscapes | | | | indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation with a directly management of traffic and a positive impact on the baseline. | Supporting policies will address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 9 dwellings. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. There is an unknown factor relating to the impact of traffic due to the increase in traffic from 9 proposed new dwellings, however traffic management issues and further environmental protection and mitigation issues will be addressed in supporting policies. Further environmental issues and issues relating to drainage and sewage through development are also addressed in supporting policies. | | | | |

Site 16 – Court Plocks – Barn Conversion (Map 5)

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. The impact on biodiversity positive in terms of the SEA objectives over the longer term. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | ? | ? | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation with a directly management of traffic and a positive impact on the baseline. | Supporting policies will address at the impact of development on air quality and how to mitigate against impacts. |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy identifies a site and will lead to development, however does not indicate the number of houses proposed for the site allocation. This is positive in terms of the SEA objective. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy will directly lead to development of 1 dwellings, which is a barn conversion and would come under RA3. This site has an overall positive impact in terms of the SEA objectives and especially so over the longer term. This policy supports the relevant SEA baseline objectives and is in line with the Core Strategy. | | | | |

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards marginally | -- Move away Significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | X No relationship |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|

| SEA Objective / Policy | 1. To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 2. To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | 3. To improve quality of surroundings | 4. To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage | 5. To improve air quality | 6. To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 7. To reduce contributions to climate change | 8. To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 9. To improve water quality | 10. To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | 11. To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | 12. To conserve soil resources and quality | 13. To minimise the production of waste | 14. To improve health of the population | 15. To reduce crime and nuisance | 16. To conserve natural and manmade resources |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Objective 1 – Housing | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | + | + | X | X | X | X | + |
| Objective 2 – The Environment | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | X | ++ | X | X | X | ++ |
| Objective 3 – Farming and Other Business | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | + |
| Objective 4 – Community Facilities and Infrastructure | + | + | + | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | 0 | X | 0 | X | + | 0 | + |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy A1 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Landscape Character | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | ? | 0 | 0 | + | X | X | X | | | | + |
| Policy A2 – Protecting and Enhancing Local Wildlife | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | X | + | 0 | + | 0 | X | + | | | | + |
| Draft Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | | | | + |
| Draft Policy A5 – Housing Mix | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | + |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|--|--|----------|
| Draft Policy A6 - Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | X | X | X | X | ++ | | | | | ++ |
| Draft Policy A7 – Drainage, Flooding and Sewage | + | + | + | + | X | X | X | + | + | + | ++ | + | | | | | + |
| Draft Policy A8 – Protecting the Church and Village Hall and Supporting Investment in Improved Facilities | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | X | + | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | + |
| (SITES) Policy A3 – Proposed Site Allocations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Site 1 – Cats Whiskers Boarding Cattery, Winnal (Map 2) | 0 | ++ | + | + | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | + |
| Site 4 – Willoughby Cottage Garden, Winnal (Map 2) | ++ | + | + | + | X | 0 | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | + |
| Site 6 – Church Road, Alensmore (Map 3) | 0 | + | + | + | X | + | X | X | 0 | + | ++ | X | | | | | + |
| Site 14 – South of Winnal Farm, Winnal (Map 2) | + | ++ | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | X | | | | | + |
| Site 15 – Cobhall Lane, Cobhall Common (Map 4) | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | 0 | | | | | ++ |
| Site 20 – Cobhall Common (Map 4) | + | ++ | ++ | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | 0 | | | | | + |
| Site 16 – Court Plocks – Barn Conversion (Map 5) | + | + | + | + | X | ? | X | X | X | X | X | 0 | | | | | + |
| Summary of effects of whole plan on each SEA Objective | + | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | + | + | 0 | | | | | + |

| | |
|--|---|
| Cumulative effects of whole plan (1 + 2 + 3...) | Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies and options, the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall and all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a currently neutral outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in policies or at planning stage. |
| Commentary for significant cumulative effects | Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets. No significant negative cumulative effects have been identified. |

Appendix 5

Appendix 5 – Allensmore (Reg 14)

Options Considered

April 2019

| |
|---|
| Option 1 - Accommodating the level of housing growth required through settlement boundaries and allocations |
| Option 2 - Not to prepare an NDP |

Appendix 6

Consultation date: 27 May to 12 July 2019

Consultation title: Allensmore Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14

*N.B. This consultation feedback is **only** for comments received on the SEA of the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan*

| Consultee | Summary of Comments | Response to Comments |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Natural England | Note and concur with the screening outcomes | noted |
| Historic England | No comments received | |
| Environment Agency | No comments received | |
| Natural Resources Wales | No comments received | |

Appendix 7

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| SEA Stage B1 | Key: | SMART criteria: | | |
| + =/++ | Compatible/very comp | S – Specific: | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations | The following matrix appraises the submission Allensmore NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| - = | Possible conflict | M – Measurable: | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications. | |
| 0 = | Neutral | A – Attainable/achievable: | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed | |
| X = | No relationship between objectives | R – Realistic: | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes. | |
| ? = | Unclear, more information needed | T – Time-Bound: | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. | |

| NDP Options and Policies | SEA objectives | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Summary in relation to baseline | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations | Conformity with Core Strategy | |
|---|----------------|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | | | | |
| Policies | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | 0 | + | + | 0 | + | + | + | + | | | | | + | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development within the settlement boundary and addresses many issues regarding drainage and sustainably, biodiversity etc are others are supported and addressed in supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Policy A6 - Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings | + | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | 0 | X | X | X | X | ++ | | | | | ++ | This policy is compatible and will have a positive effect on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline objectives and addresses many of the key objectives relating to the impact of conversions of agricultural buildings and the impacts of this. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA and in lines with policy |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

Key:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards Marginally | - - Move away significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|

Policy A4 – Criteria for Development in Settlement Boundaries

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
| | Short term (1 – 5 years) | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards are in place to avoid or mitigate effects on nature conservation. | No, the policy already specifies policy criteria to ensure landscape protection. |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of | + | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----|
| landscapes and townscapes | | | | lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies criteria for the development of dwellings in the settlement boundaries. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy specifies the type of housing that should be provided through development. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. Policy safeguards) are in place to avoid or mitigate effect on the historic environment or cultural heritage. | N/A |
| To improve air quality | 0 | 0 | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. The policy directly addresses traffic management. | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To improve water quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| | | | | with Core Strategy Policies. | |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy specifies the sites available for development and allocation within the NDP. It will only lead to development in line with Core Strategy Policies. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | This policy looks at development within the settlement boundaries and guidance on this. Development brought forward through allocation for proportional growth is in line with RA2. | | | | |

Policy A6 – Conversion of Former Agricultural Buildings

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) | | | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| | Short term (1 – | Medium term | Long term (11 years +) | | |
| | | | | | |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | 5 years) | (6 – 10 years) | | | |
|---|----------|----------------|----|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Conversion of agricultural buildings could have an effect on the natural environment. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic | + | + | ++ | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| environment and cultural heritage | | | | Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | |
| To improve air quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 0 | 0 | 0 | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a neutral impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To improve water quality | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | X | X | X | N/A | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the amended policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste | | | | | |
| To improve health of the population | | | | | |
| To reduce crime and nuisance | | | | | |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| Overall commentary and any cumulative effects | Overall this policy is not above and beyond the Core Strategy and will have an overall positive impact on the SEA baseline data where relevant. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and within the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment. | | | | |

Appendix 8

SEA Quality Assurance Checklist

Parish Council Name: Allensmore Parish

Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Allensmore Parish Neighbourhood Plan

Date completed: September 2019

| Objectives and context | Where are the points covered in Neighbourhood Development Plan SEA |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Neighbourhood Development Plan's purpose and objectives are made clear. • The Neighbourhood Area's environmental issues and constraints, including acknowledgement of those in the Local Plan (Core Strategy) SA, where relevant, and local environmental protection objectives, are considered in developing objectives and targets. • SEA objectives are clearly set out and linked to indicators and targets where appropriate. • Links with other locally related plans, programmes and policies are identified, explained and acknowledgement for those set out in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant. • Conflicts that exist between SEA objectives; between SEA and Neighbourhood Development Plan objectives; and between SEA objectives and other local plan objectives are identified and described. | <p>Chapter 1.6 – 1.10</p> <p>Chapter 2 methodology, chapter 3 and tables A2 and A3</p> <p>Chapter 3 paragraph 3.5 and 3.7</p> <p>Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1</p> <p>Chapter 3</p> |
| <p>Scoping</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Statutory Consultees are consulted in appropriate ways and at appropriate times on the content and scope of the Environmental Report. • The assessment focuses on significant issues. • Technical, procedural and other difficulties encountered are discussed; | <p>Chapter 2, paragraphs 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 2 and chapter 4</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>assumptions and uncertainties are made explicit.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reasons are given for eliminating issues from further consideration. | <p>Chapter 2, chapter 4 and chapter 5</p> |
| <p>Alternatives</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Realistic alternatives are considered for key issues, and the reasons for choosing them are documented. • Alternatives include 'do minimum' and/or 'business as usual' scenarios wherever relevant. • The environmental effects (both adverse and beneficial) of each alternative are identified and compared. • Inconsistencies between the alternatives and other relevant local plans, programmes or policies are identified and explained. • Reasons are given for selection or elimination of alternatives. | <p>Chapter 4 and chapter 5</p> <p>Paragraph 5.4</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> |
| <p>Baseline information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevant aspects of the current state of the local, neighbourhood area environment and their likely evolution without the Neighbourhood Development Plan are described. Acknowledgement to the information in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant. • Environmental characteristics of the local, neighbourhood area, likely to be significantly affected are described, including areas wider than the physical boundary of the designated neighbourhood area, where it is likely to be affected by the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • Difficulties such as deficiencies in information or methods are explained. | <p>Chapter 1, Chapter 3</p> <p>Initial screening report and Chapter 1</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |
| <p>Prediction and evaluation of likely</p> | |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>significant environmental effects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effects identified include the types listed in the Directive (biodiversity, population, human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climate factors, material assets, cultural heritage and landscape), as relevant; and other local likely environmental effects are also covered, as appropriate. • Both positive and negative effects are considered, and the duration of effects (short, medium or long-term) is addressed. • Likely secondary, cumulative (growing in quantity and strength) and synergistic (acting together) effects are identified, where practicable. • Inter-relationships between effects are considered, where practicable. • The prediction and evaluation of effects makes use of relevant accepted standards, regulations, and thresholds (i.e. data gathered for the evidence base). • Methods used to evaluate the effects are described. | <p>Tables A2, A3 and A4</p> <p>Tables B2 and B3</p> <p>Table B4</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |
| <p>Mitigation measures</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and offset any significant adverse effects of implementing the Neighbourhood Development Plan are indicated. • Issues to be taken into account when determining planning applications or other projects, for example funding bids, are identified. | <p>Chapter 6, paragraph 6.6 – 6.9</p> <p>Chapter 6</p> |
| <p>The Environmental Report</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation. • Uses simple, clear language and avoids or explains technical terms. • Uses maps and other illustrations, where appropriate. | <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Explains the methodology used. • Explains who was consulted and what methods of consultation were used. • Identifies sources of information, including expert judgement and matters of opinion. • Contains a non-technical summary covering the overall approach to the SEA, the objectives of the Neighbourhood Development Plan, the main options considered, and any changes to the Neighbourhood Development Plan resulting from the SEA. | <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Paragraph 2.8-2.9</p> <p>Page 1 / Paragraph 1.8 / Chapter 6</p> |
| <p>Consultation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The SEA is consulted on as an integral part of the plan-making process of the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • Consultation Bodies and the public likely to be affected by, or having an interest in, the Neighbourhood Development Plan are consulted in ways and at times, which give them an early and effective opportunity within appropriate time frames to express their opinions on the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan and Environmental Report. | <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 8</p> |
| <p>Decision-making and information on the decision</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The environmental report and the opinions of those consulted are taken into account in finalising and adopting the Neighbourhood Development Plan. • An explanation is given of how they have been taken into account. • Reasons are given for choosing the Neighbourhood Development Plan as adopted, in the light of other reasonable alternatives considered. | <p>Chapter 8</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p> |
| <p>Monitoring measures</p> | |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Measures proposed for monitoring the Neighbourhood Development Plan are clear, practicable and linked to the indicators and objectives used in the SEA. | Chapter 7 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring is used, where appropriate, during implementation of the Neighbourhood Development Plan to make good deficiencies in baseline information in the SEA. | Chapter 7 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledgement that monitoring enables unforeseen adverse effects to be identified at an early stage. (These effects may include predictions which prove to be incorrect.) And that | Chapter 7 |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposals are made for action in response to significant adverse effects arising from the monitoring of the Neighbourhood Development Plan. | Chapter 7 |