

## Holmer& Shelwick Parish Council Comments on representations received under regulation 16 consultation.

The PC Response is below in blue

### Comment by:

#### 1) Andrew Turner:

General comments noted

#### 2) Comment From Andre Botha , representing Ashley Health Care

The neighbourhood plan has been widely publicised throughout the parish since June 2017. (See The Consultation statement). During that time there have been parish wide leaflet drops advertising open days and consultation events together with parish newsletters. Holmer House care home has had multiple copies of these newsletter and leaflets delivered by the parish councillors.

Although Ashley Care where not directly consulted The PC find it surprising that Holmer House care home ( Ashely Care Homes ) were not aware of the NDP had numerous opportunities to attend public events of review the plan on the parish website.

Green space areas 9 and 10 have been designated from the early stage of the plan. However on investigation it is apparent that site 9 and 10 have been incorrectly labelled, they are back to front (revised green space Map enclosed.)

### Corrected Green Space Numbering



#### 3) Collins

The planning applications 18/4662/F and 18/4699/L referred to are valid proposals not yet approved. As such they are not shown as committed sited within the Holmer Settlement Boundary. The PC raised strong Objections the building of new dwellings on this site but was supportive of the conversion of the existing listed farm buildings.

#### **4) CR Planning Solutions**

Comments noted

#### **5) Gladman**

Gladman made similar representations under the PC Reg 14 and Reg 16 consultations. The NDP Steering committee took these comments on board in finalising its Reg 16 plan.

#### **6) Historic England**

Comments noted.

#### **7) Hook Mason Consulting**

The land in question currently has no planning application lodged. It is open countryside adjacent to a committed development of 7 houses within the Shelwick settlement boundary. This site represents a further, 7 dwelling development in open countryside. No change to the current settlement boundary.

#### **8) Patrick and Richard Jenkins**

Mr Jenkins suggests a lack of transparency by the PC in the content of the NDP and the involvement of the parishioners.

The Parish Council strongly refutes this claim. The NDP steering group consisting of parish councillors and parishioners has met regularly on Wednesdays at Holmer Court and has been open for anyone to attend. Numerous open days have been well attended with generally supportive feedback on the plan. Mr. Jenkins attended the NDP meeting on Wednesday 19<sup>th</sup> Dec 2018 where he requested the land known as Bull Orchard be included in the Shelwick settlement boundary. (see meeting note minutes on the PC website). We explained that the Shelwick settlement boundary was drawn around the current Built form and that Bull orchard was open countryside outside this settlement boundary. We advised Mr. Jenkins that he would have the opportunity to make representation under the Reg 14 and Reg 16 consultations. NDP progress reports and next steps have been an agenda item at every PC meeting during the process.

#### **9) HC Comments**

HC comments noted.

It is accepted that the HAP and the new Relief Road will have a significant effect on the Parish. The new Relief Road protected corridor is shown in the Neighbourhood Plan and is supported. Any significant new housing Development would need to be supported with corresponding improvements in infrastructure. The PC look forward to the HAP draft plan to be finalised.

#### **10) Tompkins Thomas Planning**

Comments noted.

No Change to Shelwick and Munstone settlement boundaries.