

# Environmental Report

Report for:

Linton Neighbourhood Area

November 2020



# **Linton Neighbourhood Plan**

## **Post Examination**

### **Environmental Report**

#### Contents

##### Non-technical summary

- 1.0 Introduction
  - 2.0 Methodology
  - 3.0 The SEA Framework
  - 4.0 Appraisal of Objectives
  - 5.0 Appraisal of Options
  - 6.0 Appraisal of Policies
  - 7.0 Implementation and monitoring
  - 8.0 Next steps
- Appendix 1: Initial SEA Screening Report
  - Appendix 2: SEA Scoping Report incorporating Tasks A1, A2, A3 and A
  - Appendix 3: Consultation responses from Natural England and English Heritage
  - Appendix 4: SEA Stage B incorporating Tasks B1, B2, B3 and B4
  - Appendix 5: Options considered
  - Appendix 6: Consultation responses to Reg14 SEA
  - Appendix 7: SEA Stage D – Table D2 and D3
  - Appendix 8: Consultation responses to Reg 16
  - Appendix 9: Modifications
  - Appendix 10: SEA Stage D – D2 and D3
  - Appendix 11 Environmental Checklist

## Non-technical summary

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is an important part of the evidence base which underpins Neighbourhood Development Plans (NDP), as it is a systematic decision support process, aiming to ensure that environmental assets, including those whose importance transcends local, regional and national interests, are considered effectively in plan making.

Linton Parish Council have undertaken to prepare an NDP and this process has been subject to environmental appraisal pursuant to the SEA Directive. The neighbourhood area comprises the civil parish of Linton and includes the settlements of Bromsash and Gorsley and lies approximately 15 miles south of Hereford.

The submission Linton NDP includes five objectives which are intended to address a number of issues highlighted and it is intended that these objectives will be delivered by eleven criteria based planning policies. There are no site allocations. Alternative strategic options have been tested assessed.

The environmental appraisal of the Linton NDP has been undertaken in line with the Environmental Assessment of Plan and Programmes Regulations 2004. Stage A of the SEA process involved Scoping and Stage B provides a review and analysis of the NDP. Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report and Stage D comprises a formal consultation on both this and the Draft Plan itself.

Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) screening showed that a full HRA assessment was not required. A separate report has been produced outlining this to accompany the draft NDP.

On the whole, it is considered that the Linton NDP is in general conformity with both national planning policy contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and strategic policies set within the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy). The plan proposes a level of growth which reflects the proportionate growth that is prescribed by strategic policies. There are some revised wording changes suggested to strengthen or clarify some policies areas as a result of the SEA.

Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the effects of the policies within the Linton NDP will be monitored annually via the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

## 1.0 Introduction

1.1 This report forms the draft Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) of the Linton Neighbourhood Plan.

1.2 Linton Neighbourhood Plan area consists of the civil parish of Linton which from the administrative area of Linton Parish Council. The proposed plan is for the period of twenty years, 2011-2031 and has been drafted by a steering group of Linton Parish Council, which includes Parish Council members and residents.

### Purpose of the SEA

1.3 SEA is a requirement of EC Directive 2001/42/EC (the SEA Directive) which requires the assessment of the effects of certain plans and programmes on the environment to ensure that the proposals in that plan or programme contribute to the achievement of sustainable development.

1.4 The Directive was transposed into domestic legislation through the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 which applies to plans with significant environmental effects.

1.5 The main objective of a SEA is *“to provide for a high level of protection of the environment and to contribute to the integration of environmental considerations into the preparation and adoption of plans and programmes with a view to promoting sustainable development”*. The Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations (2004). The assessment is looking at the positive and negative environmental issues that your plan might have on your local environmental assets.

1.6 A screening opinion was carried out on the Linton NDP and it concluded that due to the range of environmental designations in and around the parish, there may be significant environmental effects and consequently an SEA and HRA would be required.

### Linton Neighbourhood Plan Context

1.7 Linton Parish comprises of the civil parish of Linton and is within the Linton (Penyard) Ward. The village of Linton is the main settlement in the parish and includes the settlements of Gorsley and Bromash.

1.8 Linton Parish has: 1 SSSI, 8 LWS, 4 Ancient Woodlands, 3 LGS, 1 Geopark, 1 Nature Trail, 1 Unregistered Park and Garden. The East of Linton Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC and is within 10 km of the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC (2.8km) and is within 10km of the Wye Valley Woodlands (9.8km). There are no NNRs or SINC's within the parish. There is one conservation area in the parish.

1.9 There are numerous built heritage assets including listed buildings. There are no Scheduled Ancient Monuments.

1.10 The submission Linton Parish NDP includes 5 main objectives, which are designed to deliver the overarching vision on the ground, and it is intended that these objectives will be supported by 11 criteria based planning policies. There are no site allocations. Alternative options were considered prior to reaching a decision over the format of the draft plan.

1.11 The overall vision is contained within the draft neighbourhood plan;

*‘Our community will continue to be a place where people can enjoy living and working in a rural setting. The rural character of our parish will be protected and, where possible enhanced, whilst accommodating managed growth which meets the needs of the community and helps to retain a high quality of life for all residents.’*

1.12 The Linton NDP has five objective covering different themes within the plan.

1.13 The Linton Neighbourhood Plan objectives are as follows:

- Objective 1: environment and heritage

To protect and maintain the natural and historic environment of the parish, in particular its designated areas, sites and buildings; and its landscape character, especially views and vistas, ensuring access to these through the public footpath network.

- Objective 2: housing

To provide a range and choice of new homes, sensitively located and to meet the needs of all sections of the community. These homes should be built at a scale and density designed to respect and enhance the character of the parish.

- Objective 3: infrastructure and roads<sup>4</sup>

To support the provision of improved infrastructure in line with new development, including roads/pavements; public transport; the sewerage system; surface water drainage; mobile telephony and broadband. Infrastructure to reduce carbon dependence will also be encouraged through seeking appropriate alternative energy methods. To address traffic issues including the speed of vehicles through the villages; the effect of traffic noise on amenity; and the need for safer environments for all road users.

- Objective 4: community services and facilities

To ensure that community services and facilities are retained and enhanced as much as possible, and that community spirit and involvement is actively encouraged.

- Objective 5: economic development and employment

To support and encourage the development or expansion of small and home-based businesses and farming

## Context of Neighbourhood Plans

1.14 NDPs are a planning document that form a key part of the Government's localism agenda. They enable local communities to develop plans that reflect local aspirations, in accordance with strategic policies.

1.15 The Linton NDP must therefore conform to national planning policy set within the NPPF and strategic level local policy including the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy).

1.16 The Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy) was adopted on 16 October 2015.

1.17 Paragraph 46 of the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance set out the weight that may be given to relevant policies in emerging plans, including NDPs, and indicated that weight may be given to relevant policies in emerging NDPs according to:

- The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
- The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
- The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in this Framework (the closer the policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the Framework, the greater the weight that may be given).

1.18 Table 4.14 of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) lists Linton, Gorsley and Bromsash among the settlements which it considers to be sustainable locations for growth, which is the main focus of proportionate growth, in line with the Policy RA1 and RA2. Linton is part of the Ross HMA.

1.19 Once made (adopted) by Herefordshire Council, the Linton NDP will have a role in guiding future development proposals within the Parish, by setting out policies against which planning applications will be determined.

## Structure of SEA

1.20 The structure of the document is as follows:

- Section 2 – Explains the SEA methodology and summarises the comments received in respect of the SEA Scoping Report
- Section 3 - Introduces the Neighbourhood Plan objectives and the SEA framework
- Section 4 - Appraises the objectives contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 5 - Appraises the options considered within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 6 – Appraises the policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan against the SEA framework
- Section 7 – Discusses the implementation and monitoring of the Neighbourhood Plan
- Section 8 - Concludes the SEA report by outlining next steps

## 2.0 Methodology

2.1 The SEA process comprised several stages which are summarised, in some detail, below.

2.2 Stage A involved 4 tasks and culminated in a Scoping Report:

- *Task A1:* Identified and reviewed relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources.
- *Task A2:* Collected baseline information to provide a picture of past, present and likely future conditions within the area. This helped to establish indicators which will be used to monitor the effects and performance of the NDP.
- *Task A3:* Focused on the environmental issues identified from the baseline, highlighting key issues and problems within the neighbourhood area.
- *Task A4:* Used the information gathered from Tasks A1- A3 to develop a set of SEA objectives, sometimes referred to as the 'sustainability framework'.
- *Task A5:* Collated the results of Tasks A1-A4 within a Scoping Report, a document which was subject to a statutory 5 week consultation.

2.3 Stage B involved 4 tasks and assessed the effects of the NDP.

- *Task B1:* Tested NDP Objectives against the SEA Objectives
- *Task B2:* Developed and refined the NDP policies and reasonable alternatives
- *Task B3 / B4:* Predicted and evaluated the significant effects of the NDP

2.4 Stage C involved preparing an Environmental Report. This report presents information compiled during Stage B of the SEA process and constitutes the Draft Environmental Appraisal of the NDP. It accompanies the Draft Plan during its formal Regulation 14 consultation with people who live, work and carry out businesses in the neighbourhood area, as well as statutory bodies listed in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

2.5 Producing an Environmental Report was therefore a legal requirement and the submission of this report to Herefordshire Council forms Stage D of the SEA process.

## Scoping Report Consultation

2.6 With regard to the SEA scoping assessments, documents A1 to A4 were completed by a Herefordshire Council Planning Officer and sent to the Parish Council for comment, in readiness for a 5 week consultation with statutory bodies, pursuant to the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004.

2.7 After the document was approved by the Parish Council, the Linton SEA Scoping Report was available to four<sup>1</sup> statutory bodies for consultation in 2018.

## Consultation outcomes from Statutory Consultees

2.9 The consultation resulted in no responses, see Appendix 3.

2.10 Both responses were collated and incorporated within this document where relevant.

Natural England: No comments received

Historic England: No comments received

Environment Agency: No comments received

Natural Resources Wales: No comments received

## 3.0 The SEA Framework

3.1 As mentioned previously, Stage A of the SEA identifies and reviews relevant policies, plans and programmes and environmental protection objectives from European, National and Local sources (refer to Table A1 in Appendix 2 for details of those documents that were reviewed in completing Stage A of SEA on the Linton NDP).

3.2 The requirement to undertake this 'context review' is contained in Annexes 1(a) and (e) of the SEA Directive which states that an Environmental Report should include:

*"...an outline of the contents, main objectives of the plan or programme and relationship with other relevant plans and programmes" and*

*"...the environmental protection objectives, established at international, Community or Member State level, which are relevant to the plan or programme and the way those objectives and any environmental considerations have been taken into account during its preparation"*

### Policy context

3.3 The Linton NDP will deliver the Local Plan (Core Strategy) at parish level by adding locally specific detail to strategic policies. As a consequence, the Scoping Report for the NDP was based on the context review Herefordshire Council undertook for its Local Plan (Core Strategy).

3.4 The results of this assessment (context review) provide the source of the local baseline data and have been incorporated into the SEA framework. It should be noted that no list of policies, plans and programmes can ever be exhaustive and that Herefordshire Council has selected those considered to be of particular relevance to the planning system. New or revised plans and policies can emerge during the SEA process

---

<sup>1</sup> Statutory consultation bodies: Natural England; English Heritage; Environment Agency, Natural Resources Wales

3.5 The strategies and plans have been reviewed and, where appropriate, incorporated within the SA Framework objectives. These can be seen in Appendix 1 of the Linton Plan Scoping Report together with the implications for the SEA and NDP highlighted.

## SEA Objectives and baseline characteristics

3.7 The SEA objectives that were used at Stages A and B of the process are listed in the following table.

| SEA Objective |  |
|---------------|--|
| 1             | To maintain or enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)             |
| 2             | To maintain or enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                        |
| 3             | To improve the quality of surroundings   |
| 4             | To conserve or where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage |
| 5             | To improve air quality   |
| 6             | To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                     |
| 7             | To reduce contributions to climate change  |
| 8             | To reduce vulnerability to climate change  |
| 9             | To improve water quality   |
| 10            | To provide for sustainable sources of water supply                                     |
| 11            | To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk   |
| 12            | To conserve soil resources and quality   |
| 13            | To minimise the production of waste  |
| 14            | To improve the health of the population  |
| 15            | To reduce crime and nuisance   |
| 16            | To conserve natural and manmade resources  |

3.8 The SEA objectives detailed above conform to the SEA Directive, and are derived from the Sustainability Appraisal undertaken for the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy 2011-2031.

3.9 Baseline information gathered during Stage A of the SEA process provided details of the current environmental characteristics of the neighbourhood area and the status of its natural assets and features (refer to Appendix 2). This information was analysed as part of Task B2 of SEA, which looked at the extent to which the emerging NDP policies will help or obstruct these characteristics.

3.10 Following the completion of Task B2 of SEA it was apparent that the largest environmental issue within the Parish which has an impact landscape character. The policies and proposals of the plan have been drafted within this as the fundamental element.

3.11 Baseline characteristics within the SEA detail the current environmental status of environmental characteristics in the neighbourhood plan area from different sources. The source of Baseline Information used in Table A2 in Appendix 2, and analysed in Table B2 can be found in Appendix 4 Baseline characteristics in relation to the Linton Plan SEA objectives are listed below.

3.12 There are three objectives for which there is no local baseline data available and therefore this provides a limitation on the baseline data and whether the NDP policies are able to move towards or away from this data, this also means that there are no future trends to link the SEA objective too.



## 4.0 Assessing the NDP Objectives / aims

4.1 The aims listed in the NDP seek to achieve the vision of the Linton NDP. There are a total of five objectives; these are listed in paragraph 1.13 above

4.2 The table in appendix 4 tests these NDP objectives against the SEA objectives, providing a summary of the results of Task B1 of SEA.

4.3 The majority of those NDP objectives which have a relationship with the SEA framework are positively compatible with it or have an unknown effect, requiring more detail at planning application stage or in policy detail.

4.4 Task B1 of the SEA identifies that the five NDP objectives were compatible with the SEA framework. Task B1 also requires objectives to be SMART assessed. The NDP objectives had a mainly positive or neutral impact on some of the key SEA Objectives relating to the environment aspects of water and sustainability. This is because at this stage given the lack of detail over the exact details of a development that may come to fruition as a result of the implementation of these objectives; however, it is accepted that further development of the planning policies that relate to these objectives would move them towards a compatible outcome.

4.5 Overall within Stage B1 there were no major potential conflicts between the NDP objectives and the SEA framework identified.

## 5.0 Assessing the NDP Neighbourhood Plan Options

5.1 The options that were considered during the development of the Linton Neighbourhood Plan were supplied by the Parish Council and have been assessed as part of the SEA. These options were predominately concerning the method of managing the proportional growth of Linton, Gorsley and Bromsash. The summary matrix of the assessment can be found in Table B2 options these tables are in Appendix 4.

5.2 The options covered were are follow;

Option 1: not to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan, relying instead on the Core Strategy and the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD

Option 2: use a criteria-based Plan incorporating a general development strategy

Option 3: allocate sites to address the minimum housing requirement

Option 4: define settlement boundaries for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton without allocating sites

Option 5: define settlement boundary for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton and allocate sites in or adjacent to these villages

5.3 A 'do nothing' option (Option 1) was considered by Linton Parish Council, i.e. not undertaking a Neighbourhood Development Plan, however this was disregarded at an early stage by the Parish Council as it was considered the majority of the community would support the work undertaken for a Neighbourhood Plan.

5.4 The choice of preferred option was Option 4. This option was accepted because the consultation process demonstrated that this option should be adopted. The other options had a mainly positive effect on the SEA objectives and baseline where relevant. If the policies that are

developed incorporated the elements of the options that are ensuring mitigation of new development and additional details are provided for the location and design of any proposed site then the assessment of the policies should result in a positive result. As these options were generally moving towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed.

5.5 As option 4 generally moved towards the SEA objectives any further alternatives would probably be moving away and therefore no further options are required to be assessed. Option 1, to do nothing was not deemed to be relevant against the baseline as it was not directly contributing to any locally specific environmental issues.

## 6.0 Appraisal of the policies

6.1 A key part of developing a plan such as the Linton NDP is developing a range of options and testing these, so that a preferred way forward can be selected. The policies selected below have followed from the options process.

6.2 The plan has been organised to group policies under an appropriate objective. The emerging set of policies within the plan is as follows;

- Policy BGL 1: Sustainable Development
- Policy BGL2: Natural environment
- Policy BGL3: Historic Environment
- Policy BGL4: Building design
- Policy BGL5: Renewable and low carbon energy
- Policy BGL6: Settlement boundaries
- Policy BGL7: Housing mix
- Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities
- Policy BGL9: Local Green Space
- Policy BGL10: Small-scale employment development
- Policy BGL11: Agricultural development

### Stage B of SEA

6.3 In the context of Task B1 of SEA, the previous section of this report identified that many of the NDP objectives are compatible with the SEA framework, while others had either a neutral impact, no relationship with the SEA objectives or needed further information such as location of development. Additional policy safeguards within the NDP and Local Plan (Core Strategy) would help mitigate any possible conflicts.

6.4 With regards to Task B2 of the SEA, the NDP policies were measured against both the SEA framework and the baseline characteristics identified during Stage A of the process; here, there was no baseline data available in respect of SEA Objectives 3 and 9. Full details of this appraisal are attached at Appendix 4.

6.5 The policies largely score as positive or neutral or are not relevant against the SEA objectives and will not, therefore, have an adverse impact on the baseline characteristics or immediate environmental impacts. This is because they are criteria based policies which only consider schemes on their own merits, as and when planning applications are submitted to the local planning authority. There are some unknown factors, particularly where the location of specific piece of development is not yet known.

6.6 Impact on water quality, water supply and flood risk can be fully assessed further when more details are known, such as location, scale and type of development. This could be determined on an individual basis at planning application stage. Mitigation measures have been included within the Core Strategy and criteria policies within the NDP.

6.7 The results of Task B3, as shown at Appendix 4, demonstrate that the cumulative impact of the NDP policies over the course of the plan period is generally positive. Although some policies may have a neutral or uncertain impact during the first 5 years of the plan period, there is no reason why they cannot have a positive effect in the medium to long-term due to policy safeguards included in the Local Plan (Core Strategy); these safeguards should avoid or mitigate against unacceptable adverse impacts.

6.8 Task B4 of SEA brings together the results of earlier tasks and thus identifies the cumulative impact of the entire of the NDP. This task, which is also attached at Appendix 4, reveals that the objectives and policies contained in the NDP are by and large in general conformity with the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which means that the cumulative effect of the plan will contribute to the achievement of the SEA objectives.

6.9 Mitigation measures have been included within the SEA assessment. Many of the policies already include mitigation criteria with regards to landscape character and the historic environment. None of the NDP policies are considered to be in direct conflict with or propose greater levels of growth and development than strategic policies contained in the Local Plan (Core Strategy), which themselves have undergone a full Sustainability Appraisal.

## **Stage D of the SEA (Reg16)**

6.10 The submission Linton NDP has been revised following the consultation. 5 policies have been amended. This has included clarification of wording rather than any fundamental changes in direction or stance. One Local Green Space has been deleted.

6.11 The following policies have been amended:

- Policy BGL1
- Policy BGL3
- Policy BGL4
- Policy BGL8
- Policy BGL9

6.12 These minor amendments have not specifically changed the outcomes of the SEA.

## **Stage D of the SEA (Post Examination)**

6.13 Following the draft plan consultation at Regulation 14 and the submission consultation at Regulation 16, the plan has now been subject to an independent examination during summer 2020 by Rosemary Kidd. She has reported that the NDP has met the Basic Conditions subject to a number of modifications to the policies. These can be seen in Appendix 9.

6.14 The policies which have been subject to minor modifications during the examination are:

- Policy BGL1 (deleted)
- Policy BGL3
- Policy BGL4
- Policy BGL6

6.15 The policies which have received more substantial changes during the examination and therefore require rescreening are:

- Policy BGL2 (now BGL1)
- Policy BGL6 (now BGL5)

6.15 Wording changes were made to the objectives however these did not alter the outcome of the objective and do not require rescreening. Wording changes were also made to Section 8 and Section 9, again these did not alter the meaning of the paragraphs and also did not require rescreening

6.15 It has been concluded that the modifications made will not have a significant adverse impact on the SEA objectives and therefore the conclusions of the SEA remain the same or have improved from the previous report.

## **7.0 Implementation and monitoring**

7.1 Herefordshire Council as the Local Planning Authority should make arrangements to monitor the significant effects of implementing a neighbourhood plan.

7.2 Indeed, Regulation 17 of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004 requires the Local Planning Authority to monitor the significant environmental effects of the implementation of any NDP that was subject to SEA, in order to identify unforeseen adverse effects at an early stage and to enable appropriate remedial actions.

7.3 Accordingly, Herefordshire Council will monitor outcomes from the NDP policies and the results of these will be reported in the Council's Annual Monitoring Report (AMR).

7.4 The AMR runs from 1 April to 31 March each year and the topics covered therein include the following:

- Housing delivery
- Previously developed land
- Housing completions
- Affordable housing conditions
- Employment land delivery

## **8.0 Next steps**

8.1 This report will accompany the post examination version of the Linton NDP. The plan will move to referendum and, subject to a successful outcome, final adoption.

# Appendix 1

---

## Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment Screening Notification

### The Neighbourhood Planning (General) (Amendment) Regulations 2015 (Reg. 32)

#### Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (d)

---

|   |                           |
|---|---------------------------|
| <b>Neighbourhood Area:</b>                  | Linton Neighbourhood Area |
| <b>Parish Council:</b>                      | Linton Parish Council     |
| <b>Neighbourhood Area Designation Date:</b> | 2 January 2018            |


#### **Introduction**

This Initial Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA) and Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Screening has been undertaken to assess whether any European sites exist within or in proximity to the Neighbourhood Area which could be affected by any future proposals or policies.

Through continual engagement the outcomes of any required assessments will help to ensure that proposed developments will not lead to Likely Significant Effects upon a European site or cause adverse impacts upon other environmental assets, such as the built historic or local natural environment.

## HRA Initial Screening

Map showing relationship of Neighbourhood Area with European Sites (not to scale)



### River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC):

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Does the Neighbourhood Area have the River Wye (including the River Lugg) in or next to its boundary?    | N | The River Wye SAC is 4.7km away from the neighbourhood area.                                    |
| Is the Neighbourhood Area in the hydrological catchment of the River Wye (including the River Lugg) SAC? | Y | The western part of the neighbourhood area is within the River Wye hydrological catchment area. |
| If yes above, does the Neighbourhood Area have mains drainage to deal with foul sewage?                  | N | There is no mains drainage within the neighbourhood area.                                       |

### Downton Gorge SAC:

|   |   |   |
|---|---|---|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of Downton Gorge SAC? | N | Downton Gorge SAC is 51km away from the neighbourhood area. |
|---|---|---|

**River Clun SAC:**

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| Does the River Clun border the Neighbourhood Area | N | The River Clun SAC does not border the neighbourhood area. |
|---|---|--|

**Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC:**

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites? | Y | The Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC is 2.8km away from the neighbourhood area. |
|--|---|--|

**Wye Valley Woodlands SAC:**

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Is the Neighbourhood Area within 10km of any of the individual sites that make up the Wye Valley Woodlands Site? | Y | The Wye Valley Woodlands SAC is 9.8km away from the neighbourhood area. |
|--|---|---|

**HRA Conclusion:**

The assessment above highlights that European Sites will need to be taken into account in the future Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Linton Neighbourhood Area and a Full HRA Screening will be required.

**European Site**

*(List only those which are relevant)*

River Wye (including the River Lugg) Special Area of Conservation (SAC)  
Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC  
Wye Valley Woodlands SAC



## Strategic Environmental Assessment Initial Screening for nature conservation landscape and heritage features

The following environmental features are within or in general proximity to the Linton Neighbourhood Area and would need to be taken into account within a Strategic Environmental Assessment. In addition, the NDP will also need to consider the other SEA topics set out in Guidance Note 9a to ensure that the plan does not cause adverse impacts.

| SEA features                               | Within Neighbourhood Area  |   | Bordering Neighbourhood Area |  |
|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|
|  | Total number   | Name(s)   | Total number                 | Name(s)  |
| Ancient Woodland                           | 4  | Park Wood;<br>Pound Farm Wood;<br>Lynders Wood;<br>Withymoor Wood.  | 5                            | Reslaw Wood;<br>Moate Wood;<br>Newhouse Wood;<br>Penyard Park;<br>Hopkins Wood.  |
| Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) | 0  | -   | 1                            | Wye Valley AONB.   |
| Conservation Areas                         | 1  | Linton  | 0                            | -  |
| Flood Areas                                | Flood Zones 2 and 3 run along the Rudhall Brook.                       |   |                              |  |
| Geoparks                                   | 1  | Malvern Hills Geopark.  | 0                            | -  |
| Listed Buildings                           | There are numerous listed buildings throughout the neighbourhood area. |   |                              |  |
| Local Geological Sites (LGS)               | 3  | M50 Section 2;<br>Motorway Quarry (Linton Wood Quarry);<br>Greens Quarry.   |                              |  |
| Local Wildlife Sites (LWS)                 | 8  | Lyders Wood;<br>The Fording Lake;<br>Marsh near Pinfold Farm;<br>Linton Church;<br>Linton Quarry;<br>Lilly Hall Orchard;<br>Withymoor & Baldwins Wood;<br>Marsh near Haygrove Farm. | 6                            | Fields near Gayton;<br>Fields near Norton Farm;<br>Howley Grove;<br>Aston Ingham Meadows;<br>Reslaw & Newhouse Woods and adjoining woodlands;<br>Meadow near Coldwell Cottage. |

|   |   |                       |                        |   |                      |            |
|---|---|-----------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------|------------|
| Mineral Reserves                                  | 0 | -                     | 0                      | -   |                      |            |
| National Nature Reserve (NNR)                     | 0 | -                     | 0                      | -   |                      |            |
| Nature Trails                                     | 1 | Ross Round.           | 1                      | Herefordshire Trail.  |                      |            |
| Registered Parks and Gardens                      | 0 | -                     | 0                      | -   |                      |            |
| Scheduled Ancient Monuments (SAM)                 | 0 | -                     | 1                      | Roman town of Ariconium.  |                      |            |
| Sites of Importance in Nature Conservation (SINC) | 0 | -                     | 0                      | -   |                      |            |
| Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)               | 0 | -                     | 0                      | -   |                      |            |
| Unregistered parks and gardens                    | 1 | Burton Court, Linton. | 5                      | Bolitree Castle;<br>Weston Hall;<br>Penyard Park;<br>Rudhall House;<br>Grendon Court. |                      |            |
|   |   |                       | <b>SSSI Status</b>     |   | <b>SSSI Status</b>   |            |
| Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI)       | 1 | Linton Quarry         | Unfavourable Declining | 1   | Aston Ingham Meadows | Favourable |

**Decision Notification:**

The initial screening highlights that the Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Linton Neighbourhood Area:

- a) Will require further environmental assessment for Habitats Regulations Assessment and Strategic Environmental Assessment.

**Assessment date: 28 December 2017**

**Assessed by: James Latham**

## Appendix 1: European Sites

The table below provides the name of each European Site, which has been screened in for the purposes of neighbourhood planning in Herefordshire. This is based on the sites individual features of integrity and their vulnerabilities, which could include distance criteria. This has been used in identifying which parishes are likely to require a full HRA Screening of their future Neighbourhood Development Plan, to establish if their plan might have Likely Significant Effects on a European Site.

|  |
|--|
| <b>Downton Gorge</b>   |
| <b>Site Features:</b> <i>Tilio-Acerion</i> forests of slopes, screes and ravines   |
| <b>Vulnerability data:</b> 10km for air quality associated with poultry units or other intensive agricultural practices.   |
| <b>River Clun</b>  |
| <b>Site Features:</b> Freshwater pearl mussel <i>Margaritifera margaritifera</i>   |
| <b>Vulnerability data:</b> Water quality is important to maintain the site feature. Parishes either side of the River Clun will be affected.   |
| <b>River Wye</b>   |
| <b>Site Features:</b> Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <i>Ranunculion fluitantis</i> and <i>Callitricho-Batrachion</i> vegetation. Transition mires and quaking bogs. White-clawed (or Atlantic Stream) crayfish <i>Austropotamobius pallipes</i> . Sea lamprey <i>Petromyzon marinus</i> . Brook lamprey <i>Lampetra planeri</i> . River lamprey <i>Lampetra fluviatilis</i> . Twaite shad <i>Alosa fallax</i> . Atlantic salmon <i>Salmo salar</i> . Bullhead <i>Cottus gobio</i> . Otter <i>Lutra lutra</i> . Allis shad <i>Alosa alosa</i>  |
| <b>Vulnerability data:</b> Proximity: Developments should not be within 100m of the designated bank. Some developments beyond 100m may also have impacts based on proximity and these issues should be addressed where possible when developing NDP policy and choosing site allocations.<br>Water Quality: Within the whole catchment of the River Wye, which includes the River Lugg, mains drainage issues with regards to water quality are being resolved through the Core Strategy / Local Plan and development of a Nutrient Management Plan. Welsh Water should be consulted to ensure that the proposed growth will be within the limit of their consents.<br>Otters: "An otter will occupy a 'home range', which on fresh waters usually includes a stretch of river as well as associated tributary streams, ditches, ponds, lakes and woodland. The size of a home range depends largely on the availability of food and shelter, and the presence of neighbouring otters. On rivers, a male's home range may be up to 40km or more of watercourse and associated areas; females have smaller ranges (roughly half the size) and favour quieter locations for breeding, such as tributary streams.<br>Otters without an established home range are known as 'transients'. They are mostly juveniles looking for a territory of their own, or adults that have been pushed out of their territories. Transient otters may use an area for a short while, but they will move on if conditions are not suitable or if they are driven away by resident otters. Transients will have been important in extending the range of otters, but they are very difficult to identify from field signs.<br>Within a home range an otter may use many resting sites. These include above-ground shelters, such as stands of scrub or areas of rank grass, and underground 'holts' – for example, cavities under tree roots and dry drainage pipes." |

### Wye Valley and Forest of Dean Bat Sites

**Site Features:** Annex II species that are a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*. Greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*

**Vulnerability data:** Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues.

Greater Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 20-30km between their summer and winter roosts.

NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider:

Woodland habitat buffer.

Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

Greater Horseshoe Bat: Large buildings, pasture, edge of mixed deciduous woodland and hedgerows. Mixed land-use especially south-facing slopes, favours beetles, moths and insects they feed on. During the winter they depend on caves, abandoned mines and other underground sites for undisturbed hibernation. A system/series of sites required. Vulnerable to loss of insect food supply, due to insecticide use, changing farming practices and loss of broad-leaved tree-cover and loss / disturbance of underground roosts sites.

### Wye Valley Woodlands

**Site Features:** Annex I habitats that are a primary reason for site selection: Beech forests *Asperulo-Fagetum*, *Tilio-Acerion* forests of slopes, screes and ravines, *Taxus baccata* woods of the British Isles. Annex II species present as a qualifying feature, but not a primary reason for site selection: Lesser horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus hipposideros*, 51-100 residents


**Vulnerability data:** Lesser Horseshoe bats are known to migrate between 5km and 10km between their summer and winter roosts. The Lesser Horseshoe Bat is vulnerable to disturbance; light pollution; and habitat loss. Check with the planning ecologist for other issues. NDPs closest to the European Site will need to consider: Woodland habitat buffer. Lesser Horseshoe Bat: Old buildings; woodland locations; sheltered valleys, extensive deciduous woods or dense scrub, close to roost sites. In areas of fragmented habitats, linear habitats such as hedgerows are important corridors. Vulnerable to loss or disturbance of both summer and winter roosts and removal of linear habitat.

# Linton SEA Map 1

Scale: 1:25,000



Contains, or is based upon, Historic England National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.  
© Crown copyright and database rights [2017] Ordnance Survey 100024168  
© Natural England [2017], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.  
© Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2017. All rights reserved.



**Key:**

- Conservation Areas
- Scheduled Ancient Monument
- Ancient Woodland
- Unregistered Parks & Gardens
- Ross Round
- Herefordshire Trail
- Listed Buildings
- Parish Boundaries




# Linton SEA Map 2

Scale: 1:20,000



Contains, or is based upon, English Heritage's National Heritage List for England data © Historic England.  
© Crown copyright and database rights [2016] Ordnance Survey 100024168  
© Natural England [2016], reproduced with the permission of Natural England.  
© Environment Agency copyright and/or database right 2016. All rights reserved.

Upton Bishop CP



**Key:**

- Geopark boundary
- Local Wildlife Sites
- Sites of Special Scientific Interest
- Local Geological Sites
- Flood Zone 2
- Flood Zone 3
- Parish Boundaries



Lea CP

Aston Ingham CP

# Appendix 2

# Strategic Environmental Assessment

Scoping Report for:

**Linton Neighbourhood Area**

**March 2018**





## Consultation on the Scoping Report


The aim of the consultation process is to involve and engage with statutory consultees and other relevant bodies on the scope of the appraisal. In particular, it seeks to:

- Ensure the SEA is both comprehensive and sufficiently robust to support the Neighbourhood Development Plan during the later stages of full public consultation;
- Seek advice on the completeness of the plan review and baseline data and gain further information where appropriate;
- Seek advice on the suitability of key sustainability issues;
- Seek advice on the suitability of the sustainability objectives.

Comments on this Scoping Report have been invited from the three consultation bodies as required by the SEA regulations, together with Natural Resources Wales.

The three consultation bodies are as follows:

1. Natural England;
2. Historic England;
3. Environment Agency.



**Template A1: Identification and review of local Neighbourhood Area relevant plans, policies and programmes****Parish Council Name: Linton Parish Council****Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Linton Neighbourhood Plan****Date completed: January 2018**

| <b>Plans and Programmes</b>                             | <b>Type of document</b>    | <b>Date</b> | <b>Overview</b>   | <b>Key message, target/objective/indicator</b>   | <b>SEA topic(s)<sup>1</sup></b>   | <b>Implications for the NDP and SEA</b>   |
|---|----------------------------|-------------|---|--|---|---|
| The EC Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations | European Union Legislation | 2010        | These regulations transpose the Habitats Directive in England, Wales and to a limited extent Scotland by ensuring that activities are carried out in accordance with the Habitats Directive, which is to protect biodiversity through the conservation of natural habitats and species of wild flora and fauna. | The Regulations make it an offence (subject to exceptions) to deliberately capture, kill, disturb, or trade in the animals listed in Schedule 2, or pick, collect, cut, uproot, destroy, or trade in the plants listed in Schedule 4. However, these actions can be made lawful through the granting of licenses by the appropriate authorities. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

---

<sup>1</sup> Derived from the LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and Programmes                        | Type of document     | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| The EC Water Framework Directive            | European Union       | 2000 | Commits all EU member states to achieve good qualitative and quantitative status of all water courses by 2015   | Aims for 'good status' for all ground and surface waters (rivers, lakes, transitional waters, and coastal waters) in the EU   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Water</li> </ul>   | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Wildlife and Countryside Act (1981)     | Domestic Legislation | 1981 | The major legal instrument for wildlife protection in Britain, although other significant acts have been passed since. It has numerous parts and supplementary lists and schedules many of which have been amended since publication. | The principle mechanism for the legislative protection of wildlife in Great Britain.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |
| The Countryside and Right of Way Act (2000) | Domestic Legislation | 2000 | Creates a statutory right of access on foot to certain types of open land, to modernise the public rights of way system, to strengthen nature conservation  | The Act provides for a new right of access on foot to areas of open land comprising: Mountain (land over 600 metres); Moorland; Heath; Downland; Registered common land.<br><br>There are provisions to | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations. |

| Plans and Programmes                                     | Type of document        | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|-------------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
|  |                         |      | legislation, and to facilitate better management of AONBs.  | consider extending the right in the future to coastal land, but not woodland despite some early publicity suggesting this.  |   |   |
| The Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act (2006) | Domestic Legislation    | 2006 | Designed to help achieve a rich and diverse natural environment and thriving rural communities through modernised and simplified arrangements for delivering Government policy. | Provides that any public body or statutory undertaker in England and Wales must have regard to the purpose of conservation of biological diversity in the exercise of their functions.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Soil</li> </ul> | The Neighbourhood Plan should be compliant with all the relevant legislation and regulations.   |
| Revised EU Sustainable Development strategy              | European Union Strategy | 2009 | Sets out a single strategy on how the EU will more effectively meet its long-standing commitment to meet the challenges of sustainable development.                             | Recognises the need to gradually change current unsustainable consumption and production patterns and move towards a better integrated approach to policy making. The Strategy sets overall objectives, targets and concrete actions for seven key priority challenges, predominantly environmental: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Climate change</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul>              | The Neighbourhood Plans should take into account the objectives of the strategy. The aim of sustainable development should be implicit in its approach. |

| Plans and Programmes                      | Type of document          | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|---------------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
|   |                           |      |   | and clean energy; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sustainable transport</li> <li>• Sustainable production and consumption;</li> <li>• Public health threats;</li> <li>• Better management of natural resources;</li> <li>• Social inclusion, demography and migration;</li> <li>• Fighting global poverty.</li> </ul> |   |   |
| National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) | National planning policy. | 2012 | Consolidates the suite of PPG/PPS into one succinct planning policy document. |  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | The NDP should take into account the relevant policies set within the NPPF.             |
| Planning Practice Guidance                | Government Guidance       | 2014 | Provides guidance to local planning authorities and others on the             |  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> </ul>   | The NDP should take into account the planning guidance provided within these documents. |

| Plans and Programmes                             | Type of document                | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|---------------------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
|  |                                 |      | operation of the planning system.   |  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul>  |   |
| Herefordshire Core Strategy, (adopted) 2011-2031 | Development Plan Document (DPD) | 2015 | Sets out the vision, objectives and policies for the Herefordshire Local Plan (Core Strategy), which will guide development across the county up to 2031. | <p>Outlines the emerging suite of countywide planning policies relating to housing, economic development and the environment, which the NDP will need to be in conformity with where relevant.</p> <p>The Core Strategy includes a range of objectives, five of which directly relate to rural areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To meet the housing needs of all sections of the community</li> <li>• To improve access to services in rural areas</li> <li>• To strengthen the economic viability of the villages and their rural hinterlands</li> <li>• To achieve sustainable communities and protect the environment</li> <li>• To conserve, promote, utilise and enjoy our natural, built, historic and</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | <p>The NDP should take account of relevant policies set within in the Core Strategy.</p> <p>Where necessary, the NDP should provide services, facilities and employment opportunities that are accessible to both local and neighbouring communities.</p> <p><b>Linton, Gorsely and Bromsash is within the Ross on Wye HMA. The three settlements within the Parish are identified as 4.14 settlements and should take into consideration the criteria set within policy RA1 and RA2.</b></p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup> | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|----------------------|------------------|------|----------|---|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                      |                  |      |          | <p>cultural assets for the fullest benefit of the whole community.</p> <p>To achieve a thriving rural Herefordshire, the Core Strategy seeks to enhance the role the villages have traditionally played in as accessible, sustainable centres for their rural catchments.</p> <p>Linton is in the Ross –on-Wye Housing Market Area (HMA). The target for proportionate growth across identified areas is 14%.</p> |                           |                                  |

| Plans and Programmes                                 | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Transport Plan 3 (LTP) 2013-2015 | Corporate        | 2013 | Sets out the Council's strategy for supporting economic growth, social inclusion and reducing the environmental impacts of transport, as well as the program of investment for the period April 2013 to April 2015. | The document includes three key objectives, one which seeks to maintain access for rural residents and people without access to a car. Intrinsic to this is the retention of a 'core network' of bus services which focus on journeys between Hereford and the market towns, along with main transport corridors close to larger rural settlements. To this end, the strategy aims to increase the number of bus users by 1.3% (4,700 journeys) by 2015. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul> | The LTP does not explore current transport issues in the <b>Linton</b> NDP neighbourhood area, but any new development proposed through the NDP should seek to reduce the environmental impacts of transport. |



| <b>Plans and Programmes</b>        | <b>Type of document</b>       | <b>Date</b> | <b>Overview</b>   | <b>Key message, target/objective/indicator</b>   | <b>SEA topic(s)<sup>1</sup></b>  | <b>Implications for the NDP and SEA</b> |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---|--|--|---|
| Understanding Herefordshire Report | Built and natural environment | 2014        | <p>Important to understand the place such as the local economy natural and built environment in which people live, learn and work as part of understanding their quality of life</p> <p>Enable development for economy and housing to required levels.</p> <p>Growth should be supported by sustainable transport measures.</p> | An air quality management plan is in place to tackle this. Destination Hereford project is in place to give locals more sustainable transport options. | <p>Improve air quality.</p> <p>Have a more diverse range of transport options.</p> | None identified.                        |

| Plans and Programmes                         | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan 2009-2014 | Corporate        | 2009 | Identifies the issues and challenges facing the special features of the area and contains 24 guiding principles and 46 strategic objectives which will help address them. | N/A                                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | The <b>Linton</b> Neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Malvern Hills AONB. |
| Wye Valley AONB management Plan 2009-2014    | Corporate        | 2009 | The Management Plan is the prime document which sets out the vision for the area and the priorities for its management.   | N/A                                     | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | The <b>Linton</b> Neighbourhood Area is not within or adjacent to the Wye Valley AONB.    |

| Plans and Programmes                                  | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA |
|---|------------------|------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Herefordshire Economic Development Strategy 2011-2016 | Corporate        | 2011 | Aims to increase the economic wealth of Herefordshire by setting out proposals and to support business growth up to 2016. | <p>The document outlines the path and direction to foster economic vitality within Herefordshire. Key objectives therefore include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sustaining business survival and growth</li> <li>• Increasing wage levels, range and quality of jobs</li> <li>• Having a skilled population to meet future work needs</li> <li>• Developing the county's built infrastructure so enterprise can flourish.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul> | None of merit.                   |

| Plans and Programmes                | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|------|---|--|--|--|
| Herefordshire Employment Land Study | Evidence         | 2012 | Includes employment land assessments for the plan period 2011-2031. The study includes Quantitative and Qualitative assessments of employment land, assessment of market demand and need, as well as providing forecasts and recommendations for future employment need over the plan period. | <p>This study covers existing employment sites in Hereford, the five market towns and their rural hinterlands.</p> <p>There are no employment land allocations within St Weonards parish at present and so the study does not identify sites which are worthy of continued protection from alternative uses. Nor does it make any recommendations in respect of employment need within the neighbourhood area.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul> | None of merit; however the NDP process may have to explore whether there is any employment need locally and if so whether there is any scope for providing employment land and premises. |

| Plans and Programmes   | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|--|--|--|---|
| Herefordshire Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) | Evidence         | 2009 | <p>The SHLAA aims to justify site allocations in plans by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Identifying sites which are capable of delivering housing development</li> <li>Assessing sites for their housing potential; and</li> <li>Predicting when a site could be developed for housing.</li> </ul> | <p><b>Bromsash and Gorsley were assessed in the SHLAA, the settlements had developable sites identified within the SHLAA (2015)</b></p> <p>Bromsash - HLAA/159/001<br/>Land to the south of Bromsash.<br/>Site Area (ha) 1.80<br/>Potential Housing Capacity 20</p> <p>Gorsley - HLAA/318/001<br/>Land at Royal Cottage<br/>Site Area (ha) 1.06<br/>Potential Housing Capacity<br/>N/A</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Air</li> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Population and human health</li> <li>Soil</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | <p>The SHLAA assesses the potential availability of land for housing.</p> <p><b>Linton was not included in the 2015 SHLAA and no sites were identified.</b></p> |

| Plans and Programmes                                 | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|---|---|--|---|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA) | Evidence         | 2013 | Builds on an earlier Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) developed for Herefordshire and Shropshire. Its purpose is to inform the Local Plan's policies regarding housing need and demand (for market and affordable housing) within each of the 7 Housing Market Areas (HMAs) in Herefordshire between 2011 and 2031. | <p><b>Linton</b> parish falls within the Ross on Wye HMA. Here, the study reveals that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 55% of households are unable to afford market housing.</li> <li>• There is an annual requirement for 58 affordable dwellings between 2012 and 2017.</li> </ul> <p>The study identifies that, in Ross-on-Wye HMA, there is a need for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 690 market houses</li> <li>• 490 affordable houses.</li> </ul> <p>The study highlights that within the Ross-on-Wye HMA the overall estimated housing need by size 2012-2017 is as follows:</p> <p>1 bed - 54.4%<br/> 2 bed – 18.4%<br/> 3 bed – 28.2%<br/> 4 bed – minus 1.1%</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | <p>The LHMA provides an indication of housing needs and affordability within the Ross on Wye HMA.</p> <p>It provides evidence that could be used to inform policies or market and affordable housing requirements in the NDP.</p> |

This document is copyright of Herefordshire Council, please contact the Neighbourhood Planning team if you wish to reuse it in whole or part.

| Plans and Programmes                           | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Housing Requirements Study | Evidence         | 2012 | Technical assessment of the housing market and potential future local housing requirements which supports planning policy regarding the amount of growth, housing tenure and housing type needed within Herefordshire up to 2031. | <p>The delivery of 5,300 homes in the rural areas would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Support growth in the rural population by 6%</li> <li>• Increase the number of households by 14.5%</li> </ul> <p>Forecasts also predict that growth in the population of the rural areas is likely to be primarily through an increase in those aged over 75.</p> <p>Moderate growth is expected in the 30-44 and 60-74 age brackets.</p> <p>The Local Housing Requirements Study therefore anticipates continuing improvements in life expectancy; significant growth is expected of those in their 80s, with the existing population in their 40s and 50s moving into retirement. As a consequence, the rural areas will face an increasing urgency to</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | <p>This study provides an indication of housing requirements in the rural areas and the Ross on Wye HMA.</p> <p>This evidence can be used to inform the content of the <b>Linton</b> NDP, which could include policies to facilitate the provision of the right types of homes in the right places.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes                          | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|------------------|------|--|--|---|---|
|   |                  |      |  | provide more 3 bedroom homes, with more 1 and 2 bed homes required in the affordable sector.   |   |   |
| Herefordshire Rural Housing Background Report | Evidence         | 2013 | Provides the justification for the proportional housing growth targets outlined in the Core Strategy | The village of <b>Linton Parish</b> is listed a 4.14 settlement, which means it is considered a sustainable location for proportional growth of up to 14%. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | Linton NDP will need to be in conformity with the provisions of Policy RA1. |



| Plans and Programmes                                  | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|---|------------------|------|--|--|---|--|
| Herefordshire Draft Gypsies and Travellers Assessment | Evidence         | 2013 | Assesses the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers across Herefordshire. | <p>Key findings from the survey of Gypsy and Traveller households in 2012 found that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 31% of households surveyed have some sort of accommodation need</li> <li>• Of the 17 households with an accommodation need, 7 had a requirement for at least one additional pitch</li> <li>• 10 households had a requirement for bricks and mortar housing</li> <li>• There is an additional requirement for 7 pitches and 9 units of Registered Social Landlord accommodation within Herefordshire.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | <p>A countywide Gypsy and Traveller DPD is being prepared to address this issue. However, the <b>Linton</b> NDP could establish whether any of the need identified in this assessment falls within the neighbourhood area and make appropriate provisions for it if necessary.</p> |

| Plans and Programmes                         | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|---|--|---|---|
| Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan | Evidence         | 2007 | Focuses conservation efforts on the areas within Herefordshire that will result in the greatest benefit for ecological networks, habitats and species.                            | Integrating biodiversity objectives with other environmental, social and economic needs can provide a sustainable living and working environment that benefits both people and nature. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> </ul>  | The NDP can help to achieve the LBAP priorities.  |
| Building Biodiversity into the LDF           | Evidence         | 2009 | Provides the Council's Local Plan (Core Strategy) with evidence in respect of biodiversity and geodiversity, identifying both opportunities and constraints across Herefordshire. | This document provides useful information in respect of Hereford and the market towns only.  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | There is a lack of information about rural areas which means it will be necessary to gather and assess existing biodiversity and geodiversity data, in order to ensure that the <b>Linton</b> NDP can overcome any existing constraints and capitalise on opportunities to enhance habitats and their networks. |

| Plans and Programmes                        | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|---|------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy | Evidence         | 2010 | Develops a framework of natural and culturally important features and functions so that planning for a sustainable future is at the heart of planning within Herefordshire. | Establishes policies and principles for the protection and enhancement of those features and functions that contributes to the environment of Herefordshire across a range of scales.   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the <b>Linton</b> NDP. |
| Renewable Energy Study                      | Evidence         | 2010 | Assesses the energy demand within Herefordshire and the ability for the county to accommodate renewable and low carbon energy technologies.                                 | <p>The total energy demand excluding transport for Herefordshire, at that point in time, was calculated as being:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Electrical: 731 GWh/yr</li> <li>• Heat: 1,810 GWh/yr</li> <li>• Total: 2,541 GWh/yr</li> </ul> <p>There is scope for all types of renewable energy production.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Air</li> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> <li>• Soil</li> <li>• Water</li> </ul> | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the <b>Linton</b> NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes                   | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator  | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|--|------------------|------|--|--|--|---|
| Herefordshire Playing Pitch Assessment | Evidence         | 2012 | Produces a strategic framework, audit and assessment and needs analysis of outdoor sports pitches and facilities for Herefordshire. The document arises as a result of a recommendation in the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework to develop local standards for playing fields and sports pitches throughout Herefordshire. | <p>The study updates components of the Herefordshire and Worcestershire Sports Facilities Framework 2010 such as updating population forecasts, setting local standards for synthetic turf pitches and grass playing fields within Herefordshire. It identifies any current gaps in provision, and looks forward to 2031 to assess what facilities are likely to be required by that date.</p> <p><b>In terms of Linton parish itself, the study reveals that there is:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>1.6ha at Linton primary school which is a secured community assess areas.</b></li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>Flora and fauna</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and human health</li> </ul> | <p>The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the <b>Linton NDP</b>.</p> <p><b>Additional local evidence may be required to identify if there is a need for any secured playing pitch facilities within the parish.</b></p> |

| Plans and Programmes | Type of document | Date | Overview  | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|----------------------|------------------|------|---|---|---|---|
| Open Spaces Study    | Evidence         | 2006 | The 2006 space audit and assessment of need is a snapshot of the quality, quantity and distribution of open space across Herefordshire. | <p>The study reveals that within the Ross-on-Wye Area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Extensive under provision of parks and gardens</li> <li>• Extensive over provision of natural and semi-natural green space</li> <li>• Under provision of amenity green space and average provision for outdoor sport</li> <li>• Average provision for children and young people.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul> | The open space audit and assessment does not give a specific indication of open space shortfalls and surpluses in <b>Linton</b> Parish. |

| Plans and Programmes  | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator                   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>   | Implications for the NDP and SEA  |
|-----------------------|------------------|------|--|---|---|---|
| Play Facilities Study | Evidence         | 2012 | The Play Facilities Study 2012 updates the previous play facilities analysis under the Open Spaces Study 2006 and provides guidance and a framework for the development, delivery and continued sustainability of providing new and improved play facilities for children and young people in Herefordshire to 2031. | The study did not include any details for <b>Linton</b> . | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biodiversity</li> <li>• Climate factors</li> <li>• Cultural heritage and the landscape</li> <li>• Flora and fauna</li> <li>• Material assets</li> <li>• Population and human health</li> </ul> | The study provides evidence that could be taken into account when preparing policies for the <b>Linton</b> NDP. |

| Plans and Programmes   | Type of document | Date | Overview   | Key message, target/objective/indicator   | SEA topic(s) <sup>1</sup>  | Implications for the NDP and SEA   |
|--|------------------|------|--|---|--|--|
| Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) and Water Cycle Study | Evidence         | 2009 | <p>The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) provides a summary of flood risk in Herefordshire to inform the location of future development.</p> <p>The Water Cycle Study examines how water resources and water supply infrastructure, wastewater treatment, water quality, sewerage and flood risk could constrain growth across Herefordshire.</p> | <p>Linton parish is situated in the Rudhall Brook catchment, there is a high chance of fluvial flooding in the county. Therefore requires a holistic integrated approach to flood risk management.</p> <p>UH Tp 6 – 7 hours = Moderately rapid response catchment</p> <p>SPR 10 – 20% - Potentially highly suitable for infiltration source control</p> <p>The WCS identifies 30 sites in the River Wye catchment where current discharge consent licences cannot be shown to have no adverse effect. Abstraction of water from the River Wye may have impacted the habitats directive ecological river flow.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Biodiversity</li> <li>Climate factors</li> <li>Material assets</li> <li>Population and human health</li> <li>Water</li> </ul> | <p>New development proposed through the Linton NDP should be assessed against the capacity of local infrastructure.</p> <p>Up-to-date flood risk information should be gathered from the Environment Agency, in order to ensure that any flood risks are considered when preparing the Linton NDP.</p> |

**Appendix A2 – Baseline information for Linton**

*N.B. This is based on countywide baseline information with some additions relevant to Border Group (in red). Where no locally specific data is available for current status, trends and targets, only countywide data is reported. Any gaps in data may be filled following additional research.*

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator                                     | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source  |
|--|---------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Biodiversity, flora and fauna</i> |                     |  |   |   |  |  |  |
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity.         | Natural environment | Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire. | The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.<br><br>2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire's SSSI land was in favourable condition.<br><br><b>There is 1 SSSI in Linton and 1 bordering the parish.</b> | 2006: 22%<br>2007: 22%<br>2008: 22%<br>2010: 24 %<br><br>Proportion of SSSI land that was in unfavourable condition but recovering increased between 2010 and 2012 going from 41% to 65%.<br>Proportion in unfavourable and declining condition had also decreased from 4% to 1%. | % of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)<br><br>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)<br><br>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease) | Herefordshire's SSSIs are in extremely poor condition relative to England as whole, where 96.1% of all SSSI land was in favourable condition in April 2014.<br><br>The proportion of SSSI in unfavourable condition but recovering is greater than England as a whole, where the figure currently stands at 58.6%. | Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013). |

<sup>1</sup> Derived from the Pre Submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014)



| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>                                    | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator  | Current status   | Trends  | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source   |
|--|---------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation   | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i>   | -   | No specific targets identified.   | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations.  | -                               |
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth. | Countywide data is available.<br><br><b>Linton parish is situated in the Wye River catchment for the purposes of the nutrient management plan.</b><br><br><b>The assumption is that if the targets are achievable upstream of the confluence, then the targets downstream would also be achieved, based on current growth projections.</b> | The River Wye SAC was subject to a review of consents in 2010, as a number of sections of the river were found to have greater phosphate levels than those identified in Natural England's favourable condition tables. | The roll out of the Nutrient Management Plan will determine future targets. | Low levels of new development within the area is unlikely to lead to the water quality failing the phosphate levels and conservation objectives within the sub-catchment area. | Nutrient Management Plan (2014) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>                                    | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets                         | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source       |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan. | <p>The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire.</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i></p> | <p>There are no formal records of any unacceptable adverse impacts on habitats or protected species.</p> <p>Originally 156 Priority Species were identified for inclusion in Herefordshire's LBAP.</p> <p>Similarly Herefordshire's LBAP covered 23 habitats with Action Plans.</p> | No specific targets identified. | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>                                    | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source   |
|--|---------------------|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment | Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission. | <p>Linton has:</p> <p>SSSI: 1<br/>LWS: 8</p> <p>Ancient woodlands: 4</p> <p>Registered parks and gardens: 0</p> <p>Unregister parks and gardens: 1</p> <p>Geopark: 1</p> <p>There are no SACs, NNRs, SINC and NNRs within the parish.</p> | As of 2012, there had been no change in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of the planning permissions granted. | To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible. | Herefordshire Biological Records Centre (HBRC) holds limited data on some individual sites. | Herefordshire Council Initial Screening Report for the Neighbourhood Plan 2 January 2018. |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>                                    | SA Theme                                | Proposed indicator  | Current status   | Trends | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source   |
|--|---|---|--|--------|--|---|---|
| 13. Value, maintain, restore and expand county biodiversity. | Natural environment                     | Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented. | The Parish Area has the following landscape types:<br><br><b>Forest smallholdings to the north east, with an adjacent area of plateau estate farmland, then wooded hill and farm lands with principal settled farmlands to the south west.</b> | -      | No specific targets identified.  | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment (2004 updated 2009)            |
| SEA Topic covered by objectives: <i>Material assets</i>      |   |   |  |        |  |   |   |
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently.       | Resource consumption and climate change | Maintaining Herefordshire Council's County Site and Monuments Register.                       | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template.<br><br><b>Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, there are numerous listed buildings and 144 Monuments in</b>                       | -      | No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Herefordshire Environmental Records Register (search <b>January 2018</b> ). |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme                                | Proposed indicator   | Current status   | Trends | Targets                         | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source   |
|--|---|--|--|--------|---------------------------------|---|---|
|  |   |  | Linton parish, according to the latest version of the register.  |        |                                 |   |   |
| 14. Use natural resources and energy more efficiently.   | Resource consumption and climate change | Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.                               | Historic Landscape Character assessments have only been undertaken for Hereford City.<br><br>Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) were only undertaken for Hereford, Ledbury and Ross. | -      | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | Historic Landscape Character Assessment for Hereford.<br><br>Rapid townscape Assessments (2010) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Population, Biodiversity, Flora and Fauna</i>   |   |  |  |        |                                 |   |   |
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment                     | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i>   | -      | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | -   |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator   | Current status   | Trends  | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source                   |
|--|---------------------|--|--|---|---|--|---|
| 15. Value, protect, enhance and restore the landscape quality of Herefordshire, including its rural areas and open spaces. | Natural environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template.<br><br>There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important local loss of heritage assets and locally important buildings within Linton parish at present. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings.<br><br>Linton parish is within a conservation area. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | Current status must be verified by Development Management and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched January 2018) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Climatic Factors</i>  |                     |  |  |   |   |  |   |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme                                 | Proposed indicator          | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Transport patronage by mode | <p>% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by:</p> <p>Car: 70.1%<br/> Foot: 14.7%<br/> Bicycle: 4.3%<br/> Bus: 2%<br/> Train: 0.8%<br/> Motorbike: 0.8%<br/> Taxi: 0.3%<br/> Other: 7%</p> <p><i>There is no locally specific data available at present</i></p> | The number of people cycling or travelling by bus as the main form transport to get to work declined between 2001 and 2011 – across England and Wales there was little change in either. Walking or driving a car or van on the other hand increased. | To increase the take up of alternative modes to the private car. | There are a lack of transport options for many rural communities and therefore high car ownership and dependency – the last decade has seen a 15 per cent increase in household car ownership, although this is not reflected in traffic flows of recent years with volumes in Hereford City and wider county having decreased. The proportion of people working from home increased over the decade from 15 per cent in 2001 to 17 per cent in 2011. | 2011 Census                   |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme                                 | Proposed indicator   | Current status   | Trends | Targets                                    | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source |
|---|--|--|--|--------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate change. | Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i> | -      | To contribute towards the national target. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | -                             |



| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme                         | Proposed indicator             | Current status   | Trends  | Targets                                 | Issues and constraints                             | Baseline (information) source  |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| 16. Reduce Herefordshire's vulnerability to the impacts of climate change as well as its contribution to the problem. | Resource consumption and climate | Total CO2 emissions per capita | <p>Latest figure dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO<sup>2</sup>)</p> <p>There is no locally specific data available at present.</p> | <p>Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK's total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This trend hides an increase in emissions between 2009 and 2010 when total emissions in the county increased by 5% the same as across the UK (+5%).</p> | To reduce the overall carbon emissions. | CO <sup>2</sup> emissions produced are decreasing. | Understanding Herefordshire: An integrated needs assessment (June 2013). |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator   | Current status   | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source                 |
|---|---------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water</i>  |                     |  |  |   |  |   |   |
| 17. Reduce the risk of flooding and the resulting detriment to public wellbeing, the economy and the environment. | Natural environment | Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.  | 2010/11: None<br>2011/13: Not specified<br>2013/14: None<br><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i>   | There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004. | To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice. | None identified.  | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11)           |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Water, air, soil, material assets</i>  |                     |  |  |   |  |   |   |
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources.                           | Natural environment | Agricultural land usage by quality LA to monitor the number of hectares of the best and most versatile agricultural land (grades 3a and higher) lost to development. | <b>The agricultural land classification around Linton parish is mainly Grade 3 (Good to moderate), with Grade 2 (Good) to the south west and an area to the west which has no agricultural classification.</b> | -   | No specific targets identified.                          | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | DEFRA 'Magic' website for land classification |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>   | SA Theme            | Proposed indicator  | Current status  | Trends  | Targets  | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source  |
|---|---------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| 18. Minimise local and global pollution and protect or enhance environmental resources. | Natural environment | Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive | Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%<br><br><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i> | Figure steadily improved before going into decline:<br><br>Herefordshire 1999 85.9%, 2000 89.5%, 2001 92.2%, 2002 91.8% | To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality. | None identified.   | The State of Herefordshire Report (2007)<br><br>Water Framework Directive (2000) |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Soil</i>   |                     |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.                                 | Built environment   | Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.   | 2010/11: 67%<br>2011-13: 57%<br><br><i>There is no locally specific data available at present.</i>          | Completions on PDL had risen to 71% by 2005.  | To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy.            | The number of brownfield completions has fallen slightly in recent years, though this is probably the offshoot of tough market conditions. | Herefordshire Council AMR (2010/11) and (2011-2013)                              |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>                                | SA Theme          | Proposed indicator                                     | Current status  | Trends | Targets                         | Issues and constraints  | Baseline (information) source |
|--|-------------------|--|---|--------|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.  | Built environment | Housing densities in urban and rural areas             | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i>  | -      | No specific targets identified. | Should be monitored through AMR following the adoption of the Core Strategy, in line with SA recommendations. | -                             |
| 19. Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.  | Built environment | Level of development in urban areas compared to rural. | <i>There is no countywide or locally specific data available at present.</i><br><br>This indicator would not be applicable to rural NDPs. | N/A    | N/A                             | N/A   | N/A                           |
| SEA Topic covered by objective: <i>Cultural heritage</i> |                   |  |   |        |                                 |   |                               |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme          | Proposed indicator  | Current status  | Trends   | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source                                      |
|--|-------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage). | Up-to-date countywide information will be presented in the next AMR and which is due to be published in 2014. However, this data would be too large to incorporate into this template.<br><br>There are numerous listed buildings within the parish. None are currently recorded in the Buildings at Risk Register. | There were 70 heritage assets in Herefordshire that were considered to be at high risk and included in the Heritage at Risk Register 2014. | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | None of Linton's parish's listed buildings are considered to be at risk at present. There are no SAMs. | Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage; search January 2018) |

| SA Objective <sup>1</sup>  | SA Theme          | Proposed indicator  | Current status   | Trends  | Targets   | Issues and constraints   | Baseline (information) source                   |
|--|-------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|
| 20. Value, protect and enhance the character and built quality of settlements and neighbourhoods and the county's heritage assets, including conservation areas, historic environment and cultural heritage. | Built environment | The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of heritage assets, locally important buildings within the parish and particularly within a conservation area. | Countywide data would be too large to incorporate into this template.<br><br>There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning local loss of heritage assets locally important buildings within Linton parish at present. | No historic records of any planning enforcement action or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Linton parish | To wherever possible improve upon or otherwise maintain current status. | Current status must be verified by Development Management and Enforcement Officers, but the NDP can help to avoid enforcement action and appeals during the plan period. | Council Dvt Mgt records (searched January 2018) |

**Appendix A3** – Environmental issues identified from the St Weonards baseline

These environmental issues are the same as most of those identified for the Herefordshire Core Strategy<sup>1</sup>

| SEA Topic |                   | Environmental issue  | SA objectives      |
|-----------|-------------------|--|--------------------|
| 1         | Air               | High reliance upon the private car causing high levels of air pollution and in Hereford in particular  | Objective 16       |
|           |                   | Need to reduce carbon emissions by encouraging alternative modes of travel.  |                    |
| 2         | Biodiversity      | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from the adaptation and diversification of farming and forestry employment.             | Objectives 13 &15  |
|           |                   | Habitats and species of national, regional and local importance are under pressure from development  |                    |
|           |                   | Minimise loss of biodiversity and expand opportunities for wildlife everywhere.  |                    |
| 3         | Climatic factors  | Reduce greenhouse gas emissions through planning, design and build.  | Objective 16       |
| 4         | Cultural heritage | Linton has no Scheduled Ancient Monuments but numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing protection and maintenance.  | Objective 20       |
| 5         | Flora and fauna   | Conserve and enhance the character and quality of historic landscapes, including all types of natural flora and fauna.   | Objective 15       |
| 6         | Material assets   | How the countryside can continue to be managed in an economically, socially and environmentally beneficial way in the face of continuing pressures on traditional farming. | Objectives 14 & 18 |
| 7         | Population        | Minimise energy waste through good designs, which help to reduce energy consumption and maximise efficiency.   | Objective 15       |
|           |                   | Need to avoid enforcement investigations/action concerning locally important buildings and those within conservation areas in particular.                                  |                    |
| 8         | Soil              | Promoting development of previously developed land and buildings as opposed to greenfield sites or agricultural land of the highest quality.                               | Objectives 18 & 19 |

<sup>1</sup> Derived from the Pre-submission Core Strategy Sustainability Appraisal Assessment (May 2014) and LDF General Scoping Report (June 2007)

---

|   |       |   |                    |
|---|-------|---|--------------------|
| 9 | Water | Issues relating to availability of resources, foul drainage, pollution, and abstraction in a county which supports water dependent biodiversity of international and national importance, given the predicted climate change consequences for water availability and demanding projections for new housing. | Objectives 17 & 18 |
|   |       | Steady decline in the chemical quality of rivers over the last 10 years.  |                    |



## Template A4: SEA Framework

### SEA Scoping Stage A, Task A4 - SEA Framework SEA Objectives, Indicators, Targets

**Parish Council Name: Linton Parish Council**

**Neighbourhood Development Plan Name: Linton Neighbourhood Plan**

**Date completed: January 2018**

| <b>SEA Topics</b>                                   | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | <b>Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...)</b> (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2)  | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2)   |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Nature Conservation (Biodiversity, flora and fauna) | To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Conserve and enhance natural / semi-natural habitats</li> <li>• Conserve and enhance species diversity</li> <li>• Avoid harm to protected species</li> <li>• Avoid damage to wildlife and geological sites designated for their conservation interest</li> <li>• Maintain and enhance woodland cover and management</li> <li>• Maintain biodiversity, avoiding irreversible losses</li> <li>• Restore the full range of characteristic habitats and species to viable levels</li> <li>• Reverse the long term decline in farmland birds</li> <li>• Ensure the sustainable management of key wildlife sites and the ecological processes on which they depend</li> <li>• Provide opportunities for people to come into contact with and appreciate wildlife and wild place</li> </ul> | <p>Net change in condition of SSSIs across Herefordshire.</p> <p>After use of mineral sites especially wildlife habitat creation</p> <p>Changes to protected habitats and impacts of species within the Herefordshire Local Biodiversity Action Plan.</p> | <p>% of SSSI land in favourable condition (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition but recovering (Increase)</p> <p>% of SSSI land in unfavourable condition and declining (Decrease)</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> |

| <b>SEA Topics</b> | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | <b>Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...)</b> (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)  | <b>Indicators</b> ( <i>Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2</i> )   | <b>Targets</b> ( <i>Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2</i> )                      |
|-------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Landscape         | <p>To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>To improve quality of surroundings</p>   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect and enhance the landscape everywhere and particularly in designated areas</li> <li>• Value and protect diversity and local distinctiveness</li> <li>• Improve landscape and ecological quality and character of the countryside</li> <li>• Improve the quantity and quality of publicly accessible open space</li> <li>• Improve satisfaction of people with their neighbourhoods as places to live</li> <li>• Decrease litter and graffiti in towns and countryside</li> <li>• Result in the loss of open space</li> </ul> | <p>Changes in the areas of designated nature conservation sites as a consequence of planning permission.</p> <p>Proportion of local sites where positive conservation management has or is being implemented.</p> <p>Monitoring changes to historic landscapes.</p> <p>Area resulting in a loss of open space as a result of planning permission</p>  | <p>To capitalise on opportunities to enhance the areas of value to nature conservation as much as possible.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified</p> |
| Heritage          | <p>To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment, heritage assets and culture heritage.</p>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preserve, protect and enhance heritage assets including conservation areas, historic buildings, archaeological sites and other culturally important features in both urban and rural settings.</li> <li>• Create places, spaces and buildings that work well, wear well and look well</li> </ul>  | <p>The need for, frequency and outcomes of planning enforcement investigations/ planning appeals concerning aspects of local loss of locally important buildings within a conservation area.</p> <p>Number and percentage of listed buildings and Scheduled Ancient Monuments on Buildings at Risk Register (English Heritage).</p> <p>Ensure that Herefordshire Council's Sites and Monuments Register is kept up to date.</p> | <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>To improve upon or otherwise maintain current status.</p> <p>No specific targets identified, but need to ensure that the register is kept up to date.</p>           |

| <b>SEA Topics</b> | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)                   | <b>Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...)</b> (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)  | <b>Indicators</b> ( <i>Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2</i> ) | <b>Targets</b> ( <i>Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2</i> ) |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Air and Climate   | <p>To improve air quality</p> <p>To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Limit air pollution, including greenhouse gas emissions and ozone depleting substances</li> <li>• Improve air quality</li> <li>• Reduce the need to travel</li> <li>• Reduce traffic volumes and congestion</li> <li>• Reduce road traffic accidents</li> <li>• Reduce commuting and improve accessibility by public transport walking and cycling</li> <li>• Increase proportion of journeys using modes other than the car</li> <li>• Reduce the effects of heavy goods traffic (freight) on people and the environment</li> <li>• Reduce respiratory illnesses</li> <li>• Reduce energy consumption and improve energy efficiency</li> <li>• Increase proportion of energy needs being met from renewable sources</li> <li>• Reduce vulnerability to the effects of climate change e.g. flooding, disruption to travel by extreme weather</li> </ul> | <p>Transport patronage by mode</p> <p>Number of decentralised energy schemes granted permission.</p> <p>Total CO2 emissions per capita</p>  | <p>To encourage the take up of lesser polluting modes of transport</p> <p>To contribute towards the national target.</p> <p>To reduce the overall carbon emissions.</p>  |

| <b>SEA Topics</b> | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | <b>Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...)</b> (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> (Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2)  | <b>Targets</b> (Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2)   |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Water             | <p>To improve water quality</p> <p>To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p>                                 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Improve the quality of inland water: rivers, lakes and ponds</li> <li>• Limit water pollution</li> <li>• Maintain water abstraction, run-off and recharge within carrying capacity (including future capacity)</li> <li>• Reduce water consumption and improve water efficiency</li> <li>• Minimise the risk of flooding from rivers and watercourses to people and property</li> <li>• Minimise risk of subsidence</li> <li>• Reduce risk of damage to property from storm events</li> </ul>  | <p>Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds.</p> <p>Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality</p> <p>Phosphate levels within the River Wye SAC and adjoining tributaries that receive increased phosphates from proportional growth.</p> | <p>To have no applications permitted contrary to EA advice.</p> <p>To ensure that rivers meet their conservation objectives and do not fall below the required standard of quality as set out in the Water Framework Directive.</p> <p>To meet the targets set out in the Nutrient Management Plan (2014)</p> |
| Soil              | <p>To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>Ensure integrated, efficient and balanced land use.</p>  | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reduce contamination, and safeguard soil quality and quantity</li> <li>• Minimise loss of greenfield land / maximise development on brownfield land</li> <li>• Reduce the amount of derelict and underused land</li> <li>• Minimise waste generation and increase re-use or recovery through recycling, composting or energy recovery</li> <li>• Maximise the amount of waste diverted from landfill through recovery and recycling</li> <li>• Reduce household waste going to landfill</li> <li>• Reduce hazardous waste</li> <li>• Reduce waste in the construction of developments</li> </ul> | <p>Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.</p> <p>Amount of land identified as best and most versatile agricultural land lost to development.</p>   | <p>To increase the number of homes built on PDL in line with the provisions of national planning policy.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p>   |

| <b>SEA Topics</b>           | <b>SEA Objective</b> (These objectives are strategic overall SEA objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant) | <b>Sub-objectives / Appraisal Questions (Will the option/proposal/site...)</b> (These sub-objectives are strategic overall SEA sub-objectives, which you may need to refine, based on your local evidence, to make them locally relevant)   | <b>Indicators</b> ( <i>Insert indicators, based on evidence, to test and monitor whether your NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives and be taken from Template A2</i> ) | <b>Targets</b> ( <i>Insert targets, based on evidence, to monitor whether the NDP proposals and policies are working. These should relate to the sub-objectives indicators and be taken from Template A2</i> ) |
|-----------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Population and Human Health | To improve health of the population<br><br>To reduce crime and nuisance   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Create conditions to improve health and reduce health inequalities in those areas most affected</li> <li>• Promote healthy living and lifestyles</li> <li>• Reduce death rates</li> <li>• Protect and enhance human health</li> <li>• Reduce and prevent crime, reduce fear of crime</li> <li>• Decrease noise and vibration</li> <li>• Increase opportunities for indoor recreation and exercise</li> </ul> | Number of developments meeting and surpassing national design standards.  | No specific targets identified.  |
| Material Assets             | To conserve natural and manmade resources   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Protect built assets, property, infrastructure and services</li> <li>• Increase proportion of building materials from sustainable sources</li> <li>• Promote the development of a sustainable settlement pattern and physical infrastructure</li> <li>• Promote the efficient use of land and resources</li> <li>• Reduce consumption of materials and resources</li> </ul>                                  | <p>Agricultural land usage by quality</p> <p>Housing densities in urban and rural areas</p> <p>Level of development in urban areas compared to rural.</p>   | <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>No specific targets identified.</p> <p>N/A</p>   |

# Appendix 3

No comments received

# Appendix 4



| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) |                                    |                                   |  |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| SEA Stage B1  | Key:                               | SMART criteria:                   |  |
| + =/++  | Compatible/ Very comp              | <b>S – Specific:</b>              | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   |
| - =   | Possible conflict                  | <b>M – Measurable:</b>            | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.                             |
| 0 =   | Neutral                            | <b>A – Attainable/achievable:</b> | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   |
| X =   | No relationship between objectives | <b>R – Realistic:</b>             | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  |
| ? =   | Unclear, more information needed   | <b>T – Time-Bound:</b>            | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. |

The following matrix appraises the emerging Linton Parish (Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton) NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues.

- SEA Objectives**
- 1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)
  - 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes
  - 3- To improve quality of surroundings
  - 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage
  - 5- To improve air quality
  - 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment
  - 7- To reduce contributions to climate change
  - 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change
  - 9- To improve water quality
  - 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply
  - 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk
  - 12- To conserve soil resources and quality
  - 13- To minimise the production of waste
  - 14- To improve health of the population
  - 15- To reduce crime and nuisance
  - 16- To conserve natural and manmade resources

| NDP objectives   | SEA Objectives |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          | Conclusions  | Recommendations   | SMART Test of NDP objective   | After SMART objectives  |
|--|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|---|---|-------------------------|
|  | 1              | 2        | 3        | 4        | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9        | 10       | 11       | 12       | 13       | 14       | 15       | 16       |  |   |   |                         |
| <b>Objective 1 – Environment and Heritage</b>            | <b>+</b>       | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant by protecting and enhancing the environment and heritage. | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria relating to the impact of development on the character and environment and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria. | No changes recommended. |
| <b>Objective 2 - Housing</b>                             | <b>0</b>       | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>?</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria.   | No changes recommended. |
| <b>Objective 3 – Infrastructure and Roads</b>            | <b>0</b>       | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives, with positive steps being taken towards key environmental aspects.      | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria.   | No changes recommended. |
| <b>Objective 4 – Community Services and Facilities</b>   | <b>0</b>       | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy sustainability criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria.   | No changes recommended. |
| <b>Objective 5 – Economic Development and Employment</b> | <b>X</b>       | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>?</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this objective has a positive outcome over the SEA objectives where relevant.  | Ensure that detail of the aims and of the objective are thoroughly explained in any emerging policy and that further details are given at each stage. | This objective is achievable and deliverable. It could be monitored against policy criteria and is in line with the overall vision for the plan and it is considered that this objective meets the SMART criteria.  | No changes recommended. |

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) |                                    |                                   |  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| <b>SEA Stage B1</b>   | <b>Key:</b>                        | <b>SMART criteria:</b>            |  | The following matrix appraises the emerging <b>Linton Parish (Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton) NDP Objectives</b> in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| + =/++  | Compatible/very comp               | <b>S – Specific:</b>              | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   |  |
| - =   | Possible conflict                  | <b>M – Measurable:</b>            | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.                             |  |
| 0 =   | Neutral                            | <b>A – Attainable/achievable:</b> | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   |  |
| X =   | No relationship between objectives | <b>R – Realistic:</b>             | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  |  |
| ? =   | Unclear, more information needed   | <b>T – Time-Bound:</b>            | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. |  |

| SEA Objectives  | Baseline carried over from Stage A   |
|---|--|
| <p>1- To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)</p> <p>2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes</p> <p>3- To improve quality of surroundings</p> <p>4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage</p> <p>5- To improve air quality</p> <p>6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment</p> <p>7- To reduce contributions to climate change</p> <p>8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change</p> <p>9- To improve water quality</p> <p>10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply</p> <p>11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk</p> <p>12- To conserve soil resources and quality</p> <p>13- To minimise the production of waste</p> <p>14- To improve health of the population</p> <p>15- To reduce crime and nuisance</p> <p>16- To conserve natural and manmade resources</p> | <p>1-The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.2010/11: 27% of Herefordshire’s SSSI land was in favourable condition.– August 2014 status of the SSSIs are: River Wye – unfavourable recovering. Moseley Common (Unfavourable but recovering). The existing status of the Wye SAC between Hay and the Lugg confluence is currently meeting its phosphate target and is meeting the conservation targets. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data. 2010/11: 17 Habitat Action Plans and 14 Species Action Plans are currently in operation across Herefordshire. Linton Parish has: 1 SSSI, 8 LWS, 4 Ancient Woodlands, 3 LGS, 1 Geopark, 1 Nature Trail, 1 Unregistered Park and Garden. The East of Linton Parish falls inside the hydrological catchment area for the River Wye SAC and is within 10 km of the Wye Valley &amp; Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC (2.8km) and is within 10km of the Wye Valley Woodlands (9.8km). There are no NNRs or SINC’s within the parish.</p> <p>2-There are no outstanding enforcement actions or appeals concerning locally important buildings within Linton Parish at present.</p> <p>3- In terms of Linton, the Parish has 1.6ha at Linton Primary School which is a secured community access area.</p> <p>4-Whilst there is no qualitative, locally specific data available at present, Linton Parish has 0 Scheduled Ancient Monument and numerous listed buildings, all of which require ongoing maintenance.</p> <p>5-Between 2005 and 2010 Herefordshire’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 7% and 8% respectively; while UK’s total and per capita carbon emission reduced by 8% and 12% respectively within the same period. This suggests that air quality is improving.</p> <p>6-% of Herefordshire residents who travel to work by: Car: 70.1%, Foot: 14.7: Bicycle: 4.3%,Bus: 2%, Train: 0.8%,Motorbike: 0.8%,Taxi: 0.3%,Other: 7%.</p> <p>7-Herefordshire latest figure of CO2 emissions per capita-dates back to 2010: 1.61 million tonnes (mtCO<sub>2</sub>)</p> <p>8-Reduce the risk of flooding-There have been no approvals contrary to EA advice since reporting began in 2004.The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>9-Percentage of river length assessed as good or very good chemical quality and ecological quality as required by the Water Framework Directive. Latest figure dates back to 2005: 84%.</p> <p>10-The parish falls into the Herefordshire Conjunctive Use Water Resource Zones (WRZs), one of 6 zones covering Herefordshire. 39% of demand is from non-household use.</p> <p>11-Number of planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood defence grounds. The 2011-2013 AMR does not contain updated conservation data.</p> <p>12- Majority of land within the agricultural land classification around Linton is predominantly Grades 2 to 3 (Very Good to Very good). Percentage of all new development completed on previously developed land.2010/11: 67%2011-13: 57%.</p> <p>16- There are numerous listed buildings within the parish and 0 SAM.</p> |

| NDP Options and Policies  | SEA objectives |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | Summary in relation to baseline   | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations   | Conformity with Core Strategy  |
|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|--|--|
|   | 1              | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |   |  |  |
| <b>Baseline</b>   | 1              | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | X  | X  | X  | 16 |   |  |  |
| Options   |                |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |   |  |  |
| Option 1: not to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan, relying instead on the Core Strategy and the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD | X              | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X | X  | X  | X  |    |    |    | X  | N/A   | N/A  | N/A  |
| Option 2: use a criteria-based Plan incorporating a general development strategy  | +              | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant and in terms of providing a general development strategy. A Criteria based plan does not give the same level of certainty as the other options as it will be more reactionary than proactive in terms of growth proposals, therefore some environmental factors are neutral. However provided criteria is added to the policy to safeguard or mitigate against any harm, the option will have a positive effect on the baseline.   | Overall this option meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and the environmental baseline statistics can be suitably addressed through supporting policies. Criteria would need to be included within the policy to safeguard against effects on any SEA objectives. Further determination of environmental impact can be assessed at planning application stage.                           | This meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| Option 3: allocate sites to address the minimum housing requirement   | +              | + | + | + | 0 | ? | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant and in terms of providing a general development strategy and allocating sites to address the minimum housing requirement across the Parish. Allocation of sites for housing or other uses would give certainty to future development. Uncertainty will come from traffic increase from proposed development. Specific environmental issues could be investigated during the site search and be positively addressed within the policy wording. This option could have a positive effect on the baseline. | Overall this option meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and the environmental baseline statistics. Pursuing this option would give greater certainty over future development within the area particularly within the Parish, where proportional growth is expected. If required mitigation criteria can be added to site allocations policies to ensure all SEA objectives are achieved. | This meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| <b>Option 4: define settlement boundaries for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton without allocating sites</b>                                   | +              | + | + | + | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  | 0  |    |    |    | +  | Overall this option has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant and in terms of providing a plan with a settlement boundary, however the plan does not give the same level of certainty as an option with site allocations, as it will be more reactionary than proactive in terms of growth proposals, therefore some environmental factors are neutral. The designation of a settlement boundary will give additional certainty and help define those areas considered as the built form and open  | Overall this option meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development and the environmental baseline statistics. Pursuing this option would give greater certainty over future development within the area particularly within the Parish, where proportional growth is expected. If required mitigation criteria can be added to site allocations policies to ensure all SEA objectives are achieved. | This meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |







## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

**Key:**

|                                      |                                  |                                    |                               |                  |                    |                            |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>++</b> Move towards significantly | <b>+</b> Move towards Marginally | <b>- -</b> Move away significantly | <b>-</b> Move away marginally | <b>0</b> Neutral | <b>?</b> Uncertain | <b>N/A</b> No relationship |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|

**Policy BGL1: Sustainable development**

| <b>SEA Objective</b>  | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                                   |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b>  | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
|   | <b>Short term</b> (1 – 5 years)  | <b>Medium term</b> (6 – 10 years) | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |   |   |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <b>++</b>  | <b>++</b>                         | <b>++</b>                     | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that sustainability measures are included in new development. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration. | N/A   |



## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |    |    |    |   |     |
|--|----|----|----|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to development in line with the proportional growth within the Core   | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
|  |   |   |   | Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time.   |     |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable development and measures to mitigate against impacts.                                     | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the  | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   |          |          |          |  |     |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
|   |          |          |          | proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from any development is working towards reducing any impacts and supplying sustainable water sources.           |     |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk    | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality    | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste       |          |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population       |          |          |          |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance              |          |          |          |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2   | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |  |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
|  |   |  |  | and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. |  |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings through sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable measures to mitigate against impacts as with the impact on flooding and enhancement of the rural character of the area. |  |  |   |  |

**Policy BGL2: Natural environment**

| <b>SEA Objective</b>  | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                                   |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b>   | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
|   | <b>Short term</b> (1 – 5 years)  | <b>Medium term</b> (6 – 10 years) | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |  |   |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | +  | ++                                | ++                            | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that the natural environment is protected and any new development respects this. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and | N/A   |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |           |           |  |     |
|--|----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----|
|  |          |           |           | diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration.  |     |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration.   | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   | consideration.   |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve air quality                             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in   | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   |   |   |   |  |     |
|---|---|---|---|--|-----|
|   |   |   |   | contributions to climate change the implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts.  |     |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change the implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts.     | N/A |
| To improve water quality                  | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but the implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts. | N/A |



## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |   |     |
|--|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. The implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts and supply of sustainable water sources. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. The implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts mitigating against surface water runoff. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |  |     |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To minimise the production of waste                  |  |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |  |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. This policy helps to shape and protect the landscape and natural environment. A positive overall cumulative will be the impact of this policy. Environmental effects can be mitigated with core strategy and specific environmental protection policies but are also detailed in this policy. |   |   |  |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

**Policy BGL3: Historic Environment**

| <b>SEA Objective</b>  | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                                   |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b>   | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
|   | <b>Short term</b> (1 – 5 years)  | <b>Medium term</b> (6 – 10 years) | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |  |   |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <b>+</b>   | <b>+</b>                          | <b>+</b>                      | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that the natural environment is protected and any new development respects this. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration. | N/A   |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes            | <b>+</b>   | <b>++</b>                         | <b>++</b>                     | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features   | N/A   |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |           |           |           |   |     |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----|
|  |           |           |           | are taken into consideration.   |     |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | N/A   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | N/A   | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | N/A   | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>  | N/A   | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |          |          |     |     |
|--|--|----------|----------|-----|-----|
| to climate change                                    |  |          |          |     |     |
| To improve water quality                             | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply   | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk               | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality               | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                  |  |          |          |     |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |  |          |          |     |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |          |          |     |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to the protection and enhancement of the historic environment. |          |          |     |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

**Policy BGL4: Building design**

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |   |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b>                  | <b>++</b>              | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Building design will incorporate sustainable measures to ensure the least impact on the natural environment.   | N/A                                      |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes            | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b>                  | <b>++</b>              | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plans are in place to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment and landscape setting. | N/A                                      |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |           |           |           |   |     |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----|
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plans are in place to avoid or improve the quality of surroundings.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the historic environment and or cultural heritage directly and to help to improve the quality of the surroundings. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>  | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |  |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                |          |          |          |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                       |          |          |          |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards are in place to mitigate against impacts on the | N/A |



B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |  |  |                       |  |
|--|---|--|--|-----------------------|--|
|  |   |  |  | historic environment. |  |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to the development of sustainable measures and environmental factors through development. |  |  |                       |  |

**Policy BGL5: Renewable and low carbon energy**

| <b>SEA Objective</b>  | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                                   |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b>   | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
|   | <b>Short term</b> (1 – 5 years)  | <b>Medium term</b> (6 – 10 years) | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |  |   |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <b>+</b>   | <b>++</b>                         | <b>++</b>                     | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that the natural environment is protected and any new development and energy production respects this. Policy | N/A   |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |    |    |  |     |
|--|---|----|----|--|-----|
|  |   |    |    | safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration.  |     |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration when energy production is concerned. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the   | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |    |    |  |     |
|--|---|----|----|--|-----|
|  |   |    |    | policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration.   |     |
| To improve air quality                             | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | + | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change the implementation of measures of renewable energy will help to protect the natural environment | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |   |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---|-----|
|  |          |          |          | mitigate against impacts.   |     |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change the implementation of measures to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts of energy production. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the   | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |  |     |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
|  |  |   |   | Core Strategy.   |     |
| To minimise the production of waste                  |  |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |  |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change the implementation of measures of renewable energy will help to protect the natural environment mitigate against impacts. |   |   |  |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

## Policy BGL6 Settlement boundaries

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |   |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++  | ++                         | ++                     | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining a settlement boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A                                      |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes            | ++  | ++                         | ++                     | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining a settlement boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A                                      |
| To improve quality of surroundings  | ++  | ++                         | ++                     | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development   | N/A                                      |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |     |     |     |   |     |
|--|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|
|  |     |     |     | in the parish by defining a settlement boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data.   |     |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | +++ | +++ | +++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining a settlement boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +   | +   | +   | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | +   | +   | +   | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change  | +   | +   | +   | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change  | +   | +   | +   | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve water quality                           | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                       |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources          | + | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |



## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy seeks to guide the location of development by defining a clear settlement boundary for the parish by defining settlement boundaries for identified villages in the parish. This will protect the integrity of the rural environment but protecting the built form retaining open countryside. Development proposals will be supported when they do not give rise to significant adverse impacts on resources and fall within the designated boundary. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. |
|--|--|

## Policy BGL7 Housing mix

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |   |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | +   | +                          | +                      | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to development in line with proportional growth requirements and housing mix. | N/A                                      |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |           |  |     |
|--|----------|----------|-----------|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to development in line with proportional growth requirements and housing mix.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to development in line with proportional growth requirements and housing mix.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to development in line with proportional growth requirements and housing mix.  | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b>  | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | <b>?</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b>  | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data in the long run as supporting policies will help to manage traffic however uncertain in | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          | the shorter term until development is underway |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                |          |          |          |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                       |          |          |          |  |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |  |     |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the development of housing and the mix of housing required. |   |   |  |     |

## Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | +   | +                          | ++                     | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities. | N/A                                      |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |    |  |     |
|--|---|---|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities.   | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | + | ++ | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities.   | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | + | + | +  | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | + | + | +  | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Protecting existing community facilities could help to reduce vehicle use in travelling to other places to find suitable facilities. | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |   |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |   |     |
| To improve health of the population                |          |          |          |   |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                       |          |          |          |   |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |   |     |
|--|--|---|---|---|-----|
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities, and the impact of traffic on the parish, there will be a presumption in favour community type uses. |   |   |   |     |

## BGL9 Local Green Space

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation  | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |  |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++  | ++                         | ++                     | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A                                      |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |    |    |    |  |     |
|--|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | 0  | +  | +  | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data as   | N/A |



## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |  |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
|  |          |          |          | retaining local green spaces over time could reduce traffic as people will utilise local spaces more.                          |     |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                |          |          |          |  |     |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |  |     |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy seeks to guide the location of development by defining local green spaces. This will protect the integrity of the rural environment and protecting the countryside. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. |   |   |  |     |

**Policy BGL10: Small-scale employment development**

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |                     |  |
|               |   |                            |                        |                     |  |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |    |     |   |     |
|--|---|----|-----|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)              | + | +  | +   | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | + | +  | +   | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | + | +  | +   | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | + | +  | +   | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | + | +  | +   | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data.        | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment                                       | + | ++ | +++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data through | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |  |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
|  |          |          |          | the promotion of any development not having any adverse impact on the residential amenity, in addition car journeys may be reduced but car parking should also be accounted for. |     |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |  |     |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |   |   |  |     |
|--|--|---|---|--|-----|
| To improve health of the population                  |  |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +  | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact towards the SEA baseline data through the promotion of any development not having any adverse impact on the residential amenity, in addition car journeys may be reduced but car parking should also be accounted for and possible reduced commuter traffic and the use of local work force. |   |   |  |     |

**Policy BGL11 Agricultural development**

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |                     |  |
|               |   |                            |                        |                     |  |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   |    |    |    |  |     |
|---|----|----|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that sustainability measures are included in agricultural development. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes            | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration.   | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings  | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic                      | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance  | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| environment and cultural heritage                  |   |   |   | surroundings with agricultural development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration.   |     |
| To improve air quality                             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable development and measures to mitigate against impacts. | N/A |

B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   |   |   |   |   |     |
|---|---|---|---|---|-----|
| To reduce contributions to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts.                  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts from agriculture. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                  | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with  | N/A |



B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
|  |   |   |   | development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts.  |     |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from any development is working towards reducing any impacts and supplying sustainable water sources. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from surface water.   | N/A |

## B3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the policies and sites within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality               | +   | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                  |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +   | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings through sustainable agricultural development in line with the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable measures to mitigate against impacts as with the impact on flooding and enhancement of the rural character of the area. |   |   |  |     |

**Key:**

|                                      |                                  |                                   |                               |                  |                    |                          |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>++</b> Move towards significantly | <b>+</b> Move towards marginally | <b>--</b> Move away Significantly | <b>-</b> Move away marginally | <b>0</b> Neutral | <b>?</b> Uncertain | <b>X</b> No relationship |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|

| <b>SEA Objective / Objective / Policy</b>                | 1. To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | 2. To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes | 3. To improve quality of surroundings | 4. To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage | 5. To improve air quality | 6. To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | 7. To reduce contributions to climate change | 8. To reduce vulnerability to climate change | 9. To improve water quality | 10. To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | 11. To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk | 12. To conserve soil resources and quality | 13. To minimise the production of waste | 14. To improve health of the population | 15. To reduce crime and nuisance | 16. To conserve natural and manmade resources |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|----------------------------------|---|
| <b>Objective 1 – Environment and Heritage</b>            | <b>++</b>  | <b>++</b>   | <b>++</b>                             | <b>++</b>  | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>0</b>                                     | <b>0</b>                    | <b>X</b>   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>X</b>                                | <b>++</b>                               | <b>0</b>                         | <b>++</b>                                     |
| <b>Objective 2 - Housing</b>                             | <b>0</b>   | <b>++</b>   | <b>+</b>                              | <b>+</b>   | <b>X</b>                  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                    | <b>0</b>   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>?</b>                                | <b>+</b>                                | <b>0</b>                         | <b>+</b>                                      |
| <b>Objective 3 – Infrastructure and Roads</b>            | <b>0</b>   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b>                             | <b>0</b>   | <b>+</b>                  | <b>++</b>   | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>0</b>                                     | <b>+</b>                    | <b>0</b>   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>0</b>                                | <b>+</b>                                | <b>+</b>                         | <b>+</b>                                      |
| <b>Objective 4 – Community Services and Facilities</b>   | <b>0</b>   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b>                             | <b>++</b>  | <b>X</b>                  | <b>+</b>  | <b>0</b>                                     | <b>0</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                    | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>0</b>                                | <b>++</b>                               | <b>++</b>                        | <b>+</b>                                      |
| <b>Objective 5 – Economic Development and Employment</b> | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b>  | <b>+</b>                              | <b>++</b>  | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>  | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                    | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>?</b>                                | <b>X</b>                                | <b>X</b>                         | <b>+</b>                                      |
|  |  |   |                                       |  |                           |   |  |  |                             |  |  |  |   |   |                                  |   |
| <b>Policy BGL1: Sustainable development</b>              | <b>++</b>  | <b>++</b>   | <b>++</b>                             | <b>++</b>  | <b>+</b>                  | <b>++</b>   | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>+</b>                    | <b>+</b>   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>+</b>                                   |   |   |                                  | <b>+</b>                                      |
| <b>Policy BGL2: Natural environment</b>                  | <b>++</b>  | <b>++</b>   | <b>++</b>                             | <b>++</b>  | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>  | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>+</b>                                     | <b>+</b>                    | <b>+</b>   | <b>+</b>                                   | <b>++</b>                                  |   |   |                                  | <b>++</b>                                     |
| <b>Policy BGL3: Historic Environment</b>                 | <b>+</b>   | <b>++</b>   | <b>++</b>                             | <b>++</b>  | <b>X</b>                  | <b>X</b>  | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                                     | <b>X</b>                    | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>X</b>                                   |   |   |                                  | <b>X</b>                                      |
| <b>Policy BGL4: Building design</b>                      | <b>++</b>  | <b>++</b>   | <b>++</b>                             | <b>+</b>   | <b>++</b>                 | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b>                                    | <b>++</b>                                    | <b>++</b>                   | <b>++</b>  | <b>X</b>                                   | <b>+</b>                                   |   |   |                                  | <b>++</b>                                     |

|  |  |    |    |    |    |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |    |
|--|--|----|----|----|----|----|---|----|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|----|
| Policy BGL5:<br>Renewable and low<br>carbon energy           | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | + | ++ | X | X | X | +  |  |  |  |  | ++ |
| Policy BGL6<br>Settlement<br>boundaries                      | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | + | +  | + | + | + | ++ |  |  |  |  | ++ |
| Policy BGL7<br>Housing mix                                   | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | X  | ?  | X | X  | X | X | X | X  |  |  |  |  | +  |
| Policy BGL8:<br>Community<br>services and<br>facilities      | +  | +  | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | + | +  | X | X | X | X  |  |  |  |  | +  |
| BGL9 Local Green<br>Space                                    | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | 0  | + | +  | 0 | 0 | X | ++ |  |  |  |  | ++ |
| Policy BGL10:<br>Small-scale<br>employment<br>development    | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | X | X  | X | X | X | +  |  |  |  |  | ++ |
| Policy BGL11<br>Agricultural<br>development                  | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | + | +  | + | + | + | +  |  |  |  |  | ++ |
|  |  |    |    |    |    |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |    |
| Summary of effects<br>of whole plan on<br>each SEA Objective | ++   | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | +  | + | +  | + | + | + | +  |  |  |  |  | +  |
| Cumulative effects of<br>whole plan (1 + 2 +<br>3...)        | Taking into consideration the cumulative effects of policies and options, the plan will have an overall positive impact on environmental assets. The plan is in general conformity with the Core Strategy overall and all of the policies and objectives meet the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. Other issues with a currently neutral outcome have the opportunity to be mitigated by further detail in policies or at planning stage. |    |    |    |    |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |    |
| Commentary for<br>significant<br>cumulative effects          | Overall the plan is positive and would have a positive impact upon the SEA baseline data. Key recommendations would be to ensure that the environmental mitigation impacts are clear and robust, this may require some enhancement of existing policies in regard to air quality and water resources. However the plan as a whole is robust and meets key targets. No significant negative cumulative effects have been identified.                              |    |    |    |    |    |   |    |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |    |

# Appendix 5

Appendix 5 – Linton (Reg 14)  
Options Considered  
October 2019

Option 1: not to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan, relying instead on the Core Strategy and the Rural Areas Sites Allocations DPD

Option 2: use a criteria-based Plan incorporating a general development strategy

Option 3: allocate sites to address the minimum housing requirement

**Option 4: define settlement boundaries for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton without allocating sites**

Option 5: define settlement boundary for Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton and allocate sites in or adjacent to these villages

# Appendix 6

**Consultation date: 11 November to 6 January 2020**

**Consultation title:** Linton Parish Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 14

*N.B. This consultation feedback is **only** for comments received on the SEA of the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan*

| Consultee               | Summary of Comments                           | Response to Comments |
|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| Natural England         | No comments received                          |                      |
| Historic England        | Comments received but not specific to the SEA |                      |
| Environment Agency      | No comments received                          |                      |
| Natural Resources Wales | No comments received                          |                      |



# Appendix 7

| Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test) |                                    |                                   |  |   |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>SEA Stage B1</b>   | <b>Key:</b>                        | <b>SMART criteria:</b>            |  | The following matrix appraises the submission Linton Parish (Bromsash, Gorsley and Linton) NDP Objectives in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA Objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues. |
| + =/++  | Compatible/very comp               | <b>S – Specific:</b>              | NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations   |   |
| - =   | Possible conflict                  | <b>M – Measurable:</b>            | It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.                             |   |
| 0 =   | Neutral                            | <b>A – Attainable/achievable:</b> | NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed   |   |
| X =   | No relationship between objectives | <b>R – Realistic:</b>             | NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.  |   |
| ? =   | Unclear, more information needed   | <b>T – Time-Bound:</b>            | Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved. |   |

| NDP Policies                                 | SEA objectives |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | Summary in relation to baseline | Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations  | Conformity with Core Strategy  |   |
|--|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
|  | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |                                 |   |  |   |
| <b>Baseline</b>                              | 1              | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | X  | X  | X  | 16 |                                 |   |  |   |
| Policies                                     |                |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |                                 |   |  |   |
| <b>Policy BGL 1: Sustainable Development</b> | ++             | ++ | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | +  | +  | +  | X  | +  |    |    |    |    | +                               | Overall this policy has mainly a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant.                                 | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide development. It will not lead directly to development but indicated criteria proposals for sustainable development and any further environmental baseline statistics can be suitably addressed through supporting policies.  | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| <b>Policy BGL3: Historic Environment</b>     | +              | ++ | ++ | ++ | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  | X  |    |    |    |    | X                               | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide conservations of the historic environment in the parish. Additional safeguards existing both within the Core Strategy and the NDP to address other SEA objectives  | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |
| <b>Policy BGL4: Building design</b>          | ++             | ++ | ++ | +  | ++ | +  | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | X  | +  |    |    |    |    | ++                              | Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data where relevant. | Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide building design and addresses environmental issues through design guidance. It will not lead directly to development but indicated criteria proposals for sustainable development and any further baseline statistics can be suitably addressed through supporting policies. | This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA. |

|  |    |    |    |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |    |   |  |  |
|--|----|----|----|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|----|---|--|--|
| <p><b>Policy BGL8:<br/>Community services and facilities</b></p> | +  | +  | ++ | ++ | + | + | + | + | x | x | x | x  |  |  |  | +  | <p>Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data.</p> | <p>Overall the policy is positive as it will encourage the continuing use and enhancement of existing facilities which will help to retain the village character, heritage and environment. It will also reduce the impact of climate change by reducing the need to travel by car to other facilities.</p>  | <p>This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA.</p> |
| <p><b>BGL9 Local Green Space</b></p>                             | ++ | ++ | ++ | ++ | + | 0 | + | + | 0 | 0 | x | ++ |  |  |  | ++ | <p>Overall the policy is compatible with the SEA baseline and has a positive impact on the baseline data.</p> | <p>Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to guide location of development in the parish. This policy is concerned within the safeguarding of features of the natural environment, mainly open spaces in the parish. Additional safeguards existing both within the Core Strategy and the NDP to address other SEA objectives.</p> | <p>This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA.</p> |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

**Key:**

|                               |                           |                             |                        |           |             |                     |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|
| ++ Move towards significantly | + Move towards Marginally | - - Move away significantly | - Move away marginally | 0 Neutral | ? Uncertain | N/A No relationship |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|

**Policy BGL1: Sustainable development**

| SEA Objective   | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation   | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---|--|
|   | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |   |  |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++  | ++                         | ++                     | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that sustainability measures are included in new development. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration. | N/A                                      |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |    |    |    |   |     |
|--|----|----|----|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration.  | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | ++ | ++ | ++ | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into consideration. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | +  | +  | +  | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to development in line with the proportional growth within the Core   | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
|  |   |   |   | Strategy. This could have a positive effect on air quality if implemented correctly over time.   |     |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable development and measures to mitigate against impacts.                                     | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in contributions to climate change but sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from the start of any development is working towards reducing any impacts. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the  | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   |          |          |          |  |     |
|---|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
|   |          |          |          | proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Sustainable development will help to ensure the impact from any development is working towards reducing any impacts and supplying sustainable water sources.           |     |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk    | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality    | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2 and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste       |          |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population       |          |          |          |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance              |          |          |          |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with policy RA2   | N/A |



## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |  |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|
|  |   |  |  | and proportional growth within the Core Strategy. |  |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings through sustainable development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. With development there will be some margin increase in traffic however this would be balanced by the implementation of sustainable measures to mitigate against impacts as with the impact on flooding and enhancement of the rural character of the area. |  |  |   |  |

**Policy BGL3: Historic Environment**

| <b>SEA Objective</b>  | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                                   |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b>  | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
|   | <b>Short term</b> (1 – 5 years)  | <b>Medium term</b> (6 – 10 years) | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |   |   |
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | <b>+</b>   | <b>+</b>                          | <b>+</b>                      | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would work towards ensuring that the natural environment is protected and any new development respects this. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure that any development does not impact | N/A   |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |           |           |           |  |     |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|-----|
|  |           |           |           | detrimentally on the character and diversification and nature conservation are taken into consideration.   |     |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would lead to the enhancement of landscapes and townscapes. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure landscape and townscape features are taken into consideration.   | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would only see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy.  | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and see to enhance surroundings with development in line with the proportional growth within the Core Strategy. Policy safeguards are included within the policy to ensure historic and cultural heritage features are taken into | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          | consideration. |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------------|-----|
| To improve air quality                             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A            | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                |          |          |          |                |     |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |          |          |     |     |
|--|--|----------|----------|-----|-----|
| To improve health of the population                  |  |          |          |     |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |          |          |     |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to the protection and enhancement of the historic environment. |          |          |     |     |

**Policy BGL4: Building design**

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                            |                        | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short term (1 – 5 years)  | Medium term (6 – 10 years) | Long term (11 years +) |                     |  |
|               |   |                            |                        |                     |  |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |           |           |           |   |     |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|---|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)              | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Building design will incorporate sustainable measures to ensure the least impact on the natural environment.   | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plans are in place to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the environment and landscape setting.           | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b>  | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plans are in place to avoid or improve the quality of surroundings.   | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | <b>++</b> | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards within the Core Strategy and the Neighbourhood Plan to avoid or mitigate against impacts on the historic environment and or cultural heritage directly and | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
|  |   |   |   | to help to improve the quality of the surroundings.  |     |
| To improve air quality                             | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | X | X | X | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality             | + | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |

D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |   |   |   |  |     |
|--|---|---|---|--|-----|
| To minimise the production of waste                  |   |   |   |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |   |   |   |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |   |   |   |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | +   | + | + | This policy is not above the Core Strategy and will have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Policy safeguards are in place to mitigate against impacts on the historic environment. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. This policy will have particular positive impacts the area in regard to the development of sustainable measures and environmental factors through development. |   |   |  |     |

**Policy BGL8: Community services and facilities**

| SEA Objective | Assessment of effect (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |        |           | Summary Explanation | Enhancement and mitigation opportunities |
|---------------|---|--------|-----------|---------------------|--|
|               | Short   | Medium | Long term |                     |  |
|               |   |        |           |                     |  |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  | <b>term (1 – 5 years)</b> | <b>term (6 – 10 years)</b> | <b>(11 years +)</b> |  |     |
|--|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)              | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>                   | <b>++</b>           | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes                         | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>                   | <b>++</b>           | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings   | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>                   | <b>++</b>           | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and cultural heritage | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>                   | <b>++</b>           | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities. | N/A |
| To improve air quality   | <b>+</b>                  | <b>+</b>                   | <b>+</b>            | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA   | N/A |



## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          | baseline data  |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. Protecting existing community facilities could help to reduce vehicle use in travelling to other places to find suitable facilities. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.  | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk             | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |          |          |   |     |
|--|--|----------|----------|---|-----|
| To conserve soil resources and quality               | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A   | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                  |  |          |          |   |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |  |          |          |   |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |          |          |   |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | <b>+</b>   | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy is not over and above the Core Strategy and has a positive impact on the SEA baseline data relating to the protection of community facilities, and the impact of traffic on the parish, there will be a presumption in favour community type uses. |          |          |   |     |

**BGL9 Local Green Space**

| <b>SEA Objective</b> | <b>Assessment of effect</b> (consider cumulative effects, significance of the effect and magnitude of the effect in terms of the three time periods) |                    |                               | <b>Summary Explanation</b> | <b>Enhancement and mitigation opportunities</b> |
|----------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
|                      | <b>Short term</b> (1 –   | <b>Medium term</b> | <b>Long term</b> (11 years +) |                            |   |
|                      |  |                    |                               |                            |   |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|   | 5 years) | (6 – 10 years) |    |  |     |
|---|----------|----------------|----|--|-----|
| To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna) | ++       | ++             | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes            | ++       | ++             | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To improve quality of surroundings  | ++       | ++             | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and      | ++       | ++             | ++ | This policy seeks to protect integrity of the rural environment and help to guide the location of development in the parish by defining local green spaces. The policy will have a                                       | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |          |          |          |  |     |
|--|----------|----------|----------|--|-----|
| cultural heritage                                  |          |          |          | positive impact on SEA baseline data.  |     |
| To improve air quality                             | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment | <b>0</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data as retaining local green spaces over time could reduce traffic as people will utilise local spaces more. | N/A |
| To reduce contributions to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To reduce vulnerability to climate change          | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data.   | N/A |
| To improve water quality                           | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To provide for sustainable sources of water supply | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |

## D3: Predict and evaluate the effects of the submission policies within the Neighbourhood Development Plan

|  |  |          |          |  |     |
|--|--|----------|----------|--|-----|
| To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk               | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To conserve soil resources and quality               | <b>X</b>   | <b>X</b> | <b>X</b> | N/A  | N/A |
| To minimise the production of waste                  |  |          |          |  |     |
| To improve health of the population                  |  |          |          |  |     |
| To reduce crime and nuisance                         |  |          |          |  |     |
| To conserve natural and manmade resources            | <b>+</b>   | <b>+</b> | <b>+</b> | Overall this Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy. The policy would have a positive impact on the SEA baseline data. | N/A |
| <b>Overall commentary and any cumulative effects</b> | This policy seeks to guide the location of development by defining local green spaces. This will protect the integrity of the rural environment and protecting the countryside. The policy will have a positive impact on SEA baseline data. |          |          |  |     |

# Appendix 8

# Summary of consultation responses to Linton Reg 16 SEA

Consultation date: 27 May 2020 to 8 July 2020

Consultation title: Linton Neighbourhood Plan Regulation 16

*N.B. This consultation feedback is only for comments received on the SEA of the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan*

## **Consultee and any comments received:**

- Natural England – Natural England does not have any specific comments on the Linton Neighbourhood Plan.
- Historic England – No comments received specific to SEA
- Environment Agency – No comments received specific to SEA
- Natural Resources Wales – No comments received specific to SEA

## **Responses to comments:**

- Natural England – No comments to make
- Historic England – No comments to make
- Environment Agency – No comments to make
- Natural Resources Wales – No comments to make

# Appendix 9



## Appendix 9: Modifications following Linton NDP examination (November 2020)

| Policy        | Modification recommended  | Justification |
|---------------|---|---------------|
| Paragraph 1.1 | Recommendation 1:<br><br>Revise the date of the Plan and paragraph 1.11 from the date the plan is made to 2031 on the front cover.  | For clarity.  |
| Objectives    | Recommendation 2:<br><br>Revise the objectives as follows:<br><br>Objective 1: environment and heritage<br><br>To conserve and enhance the distinctive natural and historic environment of the parish, in particular its designated areas, sites and buildings; and its landscape character, especially views and vistas, ensuring access to these through the public footpath network; and to ensure that development avoids undue loss of visual amenity.<br><br>Objective 2: housing<br><br>To provide new housing which is proportionate to the strategic requirements of the Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy, sensitively located and with a range and choice to meet the needs of all sections of the community. These homes should be built at a scale and density designed to respect and enhance the character of the parish.<br><br>Objective 3: infrastructure and roads<br><br>No change recommended<br><br>Objective 4: social and community facilities<br><br>To ensure that social and community facilities are retained and enhanced as much as possible, promoting and enabling new provision, and that | For clarity   |

| Policy      | Modification recommended   | Justification  |
|-------------|--|--|
|             | <p>community spirit and involvement is actively encouraged.</p> <p>Objective 5: economic development and employment</p> <p>To support and encourage the development or expansion of small and home-based businesses and farming which are compatible and in scale with the rural nature of the Neighbourhood Area.”</p>  |  |
| Policy BGL1 | Recommendation 3: Delete Policy BGL1.  | For clarity, as required by the NPPF and to comply with the Basic Conditions. It is considered that Policy BGL1 is unnecessary and provides no locally specific guidance on the application of the presumption in favour of sustainable development. |
| Policy BGL3 | <p>Recommendation 4:</p> <p>Revise Policy BGL 2 as follows:</p> <p>Revise point 4 to read: “carrying out a Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment or similar study where a development proposal within the Plan area lies within sight of one of the following public views, or could affect it; the assessment should be carried out to demonstrate that levels of effects are acceptable, and that the scheme has been sited and designed sensitively and appropriately reflecting, respecting, and where possible, enhancing the landscape context within which it is situated.” List of sites A – F.</p> <p>Show the location of the viewpoints on the Policies Map.</p> | For clarity and understanding of the context of views in the plan.   |

| Policy      | Modification recommended  | Justification                                   |
|-------------|---|---|
| Policy BGL3 | <p>Recommendation 5:</p> <p>Revise Policy BGL3 as follows:</p> <p>Revise line 1 of the policy: “....possible enhance the historic environment....”</p> <p>Insert a comma after assets in point 3 of the policy.</p> <p>Revise the second sentence in paragraph 4.11 to read: “.....unregistered park and garden....”</p>  | For clarity and to correct typographical errors |
| Policy BGL4 | <p>Recommendation 6:</p> <p>Revise Policy BGL4 as follows:</p> <p>Revise criterion 5 to read: “5. Being capable of being safely accessed from the local road network without undue local environmental impacts.</p> <p>Proposals which cannot satisfactorily mitigate such impacts will not be supported. The arrangements for access ...”.</p> <p>Revise the final sentence of paragraph 4.19 to read: “Proposals should seek to mitigate such adverse impacts.”</p> | In order for clarity and avoiding repetition.   |
| Policy BGL6 | <p>Recommendation 7:</p> <p>Revise Policy BGL6 as follows:</p> <p>“Settlement boundaries are defined for Bromsash (Plan 5), Gorsley (Plan 6) and Linton (Plan 7) to help</p>  | In order to comply with NPPF.                   |

| Policy      | Modification recommended   | Justification  |
|-------------|--|--|
|             | <p>ensure that new housing represents sustainable development and is proportionate to the strategic requirements of the Local Plan Core Strategy. Proposals for housing inside the settlement boundaries will be supported where they are in accord with other development plan policies (including policy BGL2 in respect of landscape character and views of the village and policy BGL4 on design) and can be shown to be of a size and type to meet local requirements in accordance with policy BGL9 on housing mix.”</p> <p>Revise the settlement boundaries for Bromsash (Plan 5), Gorsley (Plan 6) and Linton (Plan 7) in accordance with the revised maps submitted on 27 October 2020.</p> |  |
| Policy BGL8 | <p>Recommendation 8:</p> <p>Revise Policy BGL8 as follows:</p> <p>Delete “services and” from the title and line 1 of the policy.</p>   | For clarity  |
| Section 8   | <p>Recommendation 9:</p> <p>Revise section 8 as follows:</p> <p>Revise paragraph 8.1 to read: “...up to 2031 with the aim of delivering the sustainable development of the Neighbourhood Area.”</p> <p>Place paragraph 8.4 after paragraph 8.2 and revise it to read: “...and the local planning authority to support proposals for development that improves....”</p> <p>Revise paragraph 8.3 to read: “When responding to consultations on planning applications, the Parish Council will support proposals that meet the policies of the NDP and oppose proposals which do not.”</p>  | For clarity and to better reflect the means of delivery for the plan and accordance with NPPF. |

| Policy    | Modification recommended   | Justification   |
|-----------|--|---|
| Section 9 | <p>Recommendation 10:</p> <p>Set out the Community Actions as a new section 9 and renumber paragraph 8.5 as 9.1.</p> <p>Add a new paragraph 9.2: “The Community Actions do not form part of the LNDP and do not constitute planning policy.”</p> | <p>For clarity and to reflect accordance with NPPF.</p> |

# Appendix 10

## Objectives verses SEA Objectives (SMART and Compatibility Test)

The matrix in this document appraises the final Linton NDP policies in terms of their SMART criteria and their compatibility with the SEA objectives. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues.

|  |
|--|
| <b>Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Assessment outcome key</b> |
| <b>Very compatible-Very positive effect</b>                            |
| <b>Compatible –Positive effect</b>                                     |
| <b>Conflict- Negative effect</b>                                       |
| <b>Neutral-Neutral effect</b>  |
| <b>No relationship between objectives- No link</b>                     |
| <b>Uncertain- more information needed</b>                              |

### SMART criteria:

**S – Specific:** NDP objectives should specify what is intended to be done in detail and should not be open to a wide range of misinterpretations

**M – Measurable:** It should be possible to monitor NDP objectives in a quantifiable way, by the use of indicators. Indicators should be measurable with limited resource implications.

**A – Attainable/achievable:** NDP objectives should be achievable and deliverable, related to the scale of growth proposed

**R – Realistic:** NDP objectives should relate to the overall vision of the plan. Likewise, chosen indicators should relate to objectives and their outcomes.

**T – Time-Bound:** Objectives should be specific to the NDP period or another specified time-frame. Objectives should be associated with a target and indicators should specify when the target should be achieved.

### SEA Objectives:

1-To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)

2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes

3- To improve quality of surroundings

Appendix 10a D2: Develop and Refinement of the examination modified NDP policies (Linton NDP) (November 2020)

4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage

5- To improve air quality

6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment

7- To reduce contributions to climate change

8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change

9- To improve water quality

10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply

11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk

12- To conserve soil resources and quality

13- To minimise the production of waste

14- To improve health of the population

15- To reduce crime and nuisance

16- To conserve natural and manmade resources

### Assessment of Neighbourhood Plan Policies against the SEA Objectives and SEA Baseline

- **Policy BGL2 (Now BGL1)**

| SEA Objectives |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     |     |     |    |
|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| 1              | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16 |
| SEA Baseline   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |    |    |     |     |     |    |
| 1              | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 16 |



## Appendix 10a D2: Develop and Refinement of the examination modified NDP policies (Linton NDP) (November 2020)

|               |               |               |               |          |               |          |          |          |          |          |          |     |     |     |          |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| Very Positive | Very Positive | Very Positive | Very Positive | Positive | Very Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | N/A | N/A | N/A | Positive |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|

## Summary in relation to baseline:

Overall this policy has a positive impact on the baseline data and successfully addresses the SEA and baseline objectives where relevant.

## Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations:

Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to manage and direct the design of new development and impact on the environment in the parish. The modifications made to the policy regarding the additional criteria with regard to assessments does not have a negative impact on the overall outcome.

## Conformity with Core Strategy:

This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA.

- **Policy BGL6 (Now BGL5)**

| SEA Objectives |          |               |               |          |          |          |          |         |         |         |         |     |     |     |          |
|----------------|----------|---------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| 1              | 2        | 3             | 4             | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9       | 10      | 11      | 12      | 13  | 14  | 15  | 16       |
| SEA Baseline   |          |               |               |          |          |          |          |         |         |         |         |     |     |     |          |
| 1              | 2        | 3             | 4             | 5        | 6        | 7        | 8        | 9       | 10      | 11      | 12      | N/A | N/A | N/A | 16       |
| Positive       | Positive | Very Positive | Very Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | Positive | No link | No link | No link | No link | N/A | N/A | N/A | Positive |

## Summary in relation to baseline:

Overall this policy has a positive impact on the baseline data and successfully addresses the SEA and baseline objectives where relevant.

## Overall commentary and any initial cumulative effects/ Recommendations:

Overall this policy meets the SEA baseline as it will help to manage and direct the design of new development and impact on the environment in the parish. The modifications made to the policy regarding the amendments of settlement boundaries to include commitments does not change the outcome of the assessment.

## Conformity with Core Strategy:

This policy meets the Core Strategy requirements for the purposes of the SEA.

Appendix 10b D3: Predict and evaluate the short to long term effects of the examination modified NDP policies (Linton NDP) (November 2020)

### **Assessment of the short, medium and long term effects of the NDP policies/sites on the SEA Objectives**

The matrix in this document appraises the final Linton NDP policies in terms of their effect on the SEA objectives over the short, medium and long term. These have been developed from Government guidance on SEA and from the local evidence base gathered for identifying the NDP issues.

|  |
|--|
| <b>Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Assessment outcome key</b> |
| <b>Very compatible-Very positive effect</b>                            |
| <b>Compatible –Positive effect</b>                                     |
| <b>Conflict- Negative effect</b>                                       |
| <b>Neutral-Neutral effect</b>  |
| <b>No relationship between objectives- No link</b>                     |
| <b>Uncertain- more information needed</b>                              |

**SEA Objectives:**

- 1-To maintain and enhance nature conservation (biodiversity, flora and fauna)
- 2- To maintain and enhance the quality of landscapes and townscapes
- 3- To improve quality of surroundings
- 4- To conserve and where appropriate enhance the historic environment and culture heritage
- 5- To improve air quality
- 6- To reduce the effect of traffic on the environment
- 7- To reduce contributions to climate change
- 8- To reduce vulnerability to climate change
- 9- To improve water quality
- 10- To provide for sustainable sources of water supply
- 11- To avoid, reduce and manage flood risk







Appendix 10b D3: Predict and evaluate the short to long term effects of the examination modified NDP policies (Linton NDP) (November 2020)

**Summary Explanation:**

This Policy is not over and above the Core Strategy in terms of SEA objectives and would help to protect the environment by ensuring certainly with a settlement boundary and would be in conformity with the Core Strategy over the longer term.

**Enhancement and mitigation opportunities:**

Mitigation measures are covered in the NDP and Core Strategy.

**Overall commentary and any cumulative effects:**

Overall this policy has a positive effect on the baseline objectives and is in conformity with the Core Strategy. Short, medium and long term will have a positive impact on the baseline as this policy promotes the protection of the environment by designating a settlement boundary and helping to create certainty about future development in the area. The modifications looked to incorporate commitment within the settlement boundary and will not significantly change the outcome of the assessment.

# Appendix 11

| <b>Objectives and context</b>   | <b>Where are the points covered in Neighbourhood Development Plan SEA</b>  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Neighbourhood Development Plan's purpose and objectives are made clear.</li> <li>• The Neighbourhood Area's environmental issues and constraints, including acknowledgement of those in the Local Plan (Core Strategy) SA, where relevant, and local environmental protection objectives, are considered in developing objectives and targets.</li> <li>• SEA objectives are clearly set out and linked to indicators and targets where appropriate.</li> <li>• Links with other locally related plans, programmes and policies are identified, explained and acknowledgement for those set out in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant.</li> <li>• Conflicts that exist between SEA objectives; between SEA and Neighbourhood Development Plan objectives; and between SEA objectives and other local plan objectives are identified and described.</li> </ul> | <p>Chapter 1.6 – 1.10</p> <p>Chapter 2 methodology, chapter 3 and tables A2 and A3</p> <p>Chapter 3 paragraph 3.5 and 3.7</p> <p>Chapter 3, paragraph 3.1</p> <p>Chapter 3</p> |
| <p><b>Scoping</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Statutory Consultees are consulted in appropriate ways and at appropriate times on the content and scope of the Environmental Report.</li> <li>• The assessment focuses on significant issues.</li> <li>• Technical, procedural and other difficulties encountered are discussed; assumptions and uncertainties are made explicit.</li> </ul>  | <p>Chapter 2, paragraphs 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 2 and chapter 4</p> <p>Chapter 2</p>   |



|   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reasons are given for eliminating issues from further consideration.</li> </ul>  | Chapter 2, chapter 4 and chapter 5   |
| <p><b>Alternatives</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Realistic alternatives are considered for key issues, and the reasons for choosing them are documented.</li> <li>• Alternatives include 'do minimum' and/or 'business as usual' scenarios wherever relevant.</li> <li>• The environmental effects (both adverse and beneficial) of each alternative are identified and compared.</li> <li>• Inconsistencies between the alternatives and other relevant local plans, programmes or policies are identified and explained.</li> <li>• Reasons are given for selection or elimination of alternatives.</li> </ul>   | <p>Chapter 4 and chapter 5</p> <p>Paragraph 5.4</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> <p>Chapter 5</p> |
| <p><b>Baseline information</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Relevant aspects of the current state of the local, neighbourhood area environment and their likely evolution without the Neighbourhood Development Plan are described. Acknowledgement to the information in the SA of the Local Plan (Core Strategy) is given, where relevant.</li> <li>• Environmental characteristics of the local, neighbourhood area, likely to be significantly affected are described, including areas wider than the physical boundary of the designated neighbourhood area, where it is likely to be affected by the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</li> <li>• Difficulties such as deficiencies in information or methods are explained.</li> </ul> | <p>Chapter 1, Chapter 3</p> <p>Initial screening report and Chapter 1</p> <p>Chapter 2</p>             |
| <p><b>Prediction and evaluation of likely significant environmental effects</b></p>   |  |

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effects identified include the types listed in the Directive (biodiversity, population, human health, fauna, flora, soil, water, air, climate factors, material assets, cultural heritage and landscape), as relevant; and other local likely environmental effects are also covered, as appropriate.</li> <li>• Both positive and negative effects are considered, and the duration of effects (short, medium or long-term) is addressed.</li> <li>• Likely secondary, cumulative (growing in quantity and strength) and synergistic (acting together) effects are identified, where practicable.</li> <li>• Inter-relationships between effects are considered, where practicable.</li> <li>• The prediction and evaluation of effects makes use of relevant accepted standards, regulations, and thresholds (i.e. data gathered for the evidence base).</li> <li>• Methods used to evaluate the effects are described.</li> </ul> | <p>Tables A2, A3 and A4</p> <p>Tables B2 and B3, Table D2 and D3</p> <p>Table B4</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 5, chapter 6</p> <p>Chapter 2</p> |
| <p><b>Mitigation measures</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measures envisaged to prevent, reduce and offset any significant adverse effects of implementing the Neighbourhood Development Plan are indicated.</li> <li>• Issues to be taken into account when determining planning applications or other projects, for example funding bids, are identified.</li> </ul>   | <p>Chapter 6, paragraph 6.6 – 6.9</p> <p>Chapter 6</p>  |
| <p><b>The Environmental Report</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Is clear and concise in its layout and presentation.</li> <li>• Uses simple, clear language and avoids or explains technical terms.</li> </ul>  | <p>-</p> <p>-</p> <p>-</p>  |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uses maps and other illustrations, where appropriate.</li> <li>• Explains the methodology used.</li> <li>• Explains who was consulted and what methods of consultation were used.</li> <li>• Identifies sources of information, including expert judgement and matters of opinion.</li> <li>• Contains a non-technical summary covering the overall approach to the SEA, the objectives of the Neighbourhood Development Plan, the main options considered, and any changes to the Neighbourhood Development Plan resulting from the SEA.</li> </ul> | <p>Chapter 2</p> <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Paragraph 2.8-2.9</p> <p>Page 1 / Paragraph 1.8 / Chapter 6</p> |
| <p><b>Consultation</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The SEA is consulted on as an integral part of the plan-making process of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</li> <li>• Consultation Bodies and the public likely to be affected by, or having an interest in, the Neighbourhood Development Plan are consulted in ways and at times, which give them an early and effective opportunity within appropriate time frames to express their opinions on the draft Neighbourhood Development Plan and Environmental Report.</li> </ul>                                       | <p>Paragraph 2.6-2.9</p> <p>Chapter 8</p>  |
| <p><b>Decision-making and information on the decision</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The environmental report and the opinions of those consulted are taken into account in finalising and adopting the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</li> <li>• An explanation is given of how they have been taken into account.</li> <li>• Reasons are given for choosing the Neighbourhood Development Plan as adopted, in the light of other reasonable alternatives considered.</li> </ul>  | <p>Chapter 8</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p> <p>Following draft consultation</p>                     |

|  |   |
|--|---|
|  |   |
| <p><b>Monitoring measures</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measures proposed for monitoring the Neighbourhood Development Plan are clear, practicable and linked to the indicators and objectives used in the SEA.</li> <li>• Monitoring is used, where appropriate, during implementation of the Neighbourhood Development Plan to make good deficiencies in baseline information in the SEA.</li> <li>• Acknowledgement that monitoring enables unforeseen adverse effects to be identified at an early stage. (These effects may include predictions which prove to be incorrect.) And that</li> <li>• Proposals are made for action in response to significant adverse effects arising from the monitoring of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.</li> </ul> | <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> <p>Chapter 7</p> |