Pyons Group Neighbourhood Development Plan Review 2021 - 2031

Statement of Modifications

Pyons Group Parish Council

With the assistance of



January 2021

Contents

1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)	4
3.0	Material Modifications to the Made NDP	5
4.0	Do the Material Modifications Change the Nature of the Plan?	40
Appe	endix 1: Note of Possible Areas for Consideration in NDP Review	41

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 The NDP Review updates the previous Pyons Group Neighbourhood Development Plan 2011 2031 which was prepared by a steering group on behalf of the Pyons Group Parish Council. The former NDP was examined and subjected to a local referendum and was made by Herefordshire Council on 16th June 2017.
- 1.2 In February 2020, the Group Parish Council set up a new Steering Group of parish councillors and local residents to review the NDP. The Review is being undertaken to update the policies and proposals in the previous NDP, and to address any new issues identified through discussions with Herefordshire Council, and responses to the informal public consultation held in March 2020.
- 1.3 The NDP Review has been informed by the following:
 - Informal advice and discussions with Herefordshire Council:
 - Pyons Group Neighbourhood Development Plan Review Public Consultation (14th -15th March 2020);
 - Technical Site Assessments for former NDP Housing and School Site Allocations undertaken by AECOM under the Locality Technical Support Programme;
 - Consideration of the updated NPPF (February 2019) and Planning Practice Guidance;
 - Technical background documents supporting Herefordshire's planning policies;
 - Climate change, including its potential impact on the local economy which is dominated by agriculture;
 - The COVID-19 pandemic, as well as animal diseases such as bovine tuberculosis, foot and mouth and avian flu;
 - Recent flooding events, both historic and recent (Winter 2019-2020);
 - Concerns over local infrastructure including, but not exclusive to the condition of local rural lanes, the village school, bus service and the capacity of the sewerage works and
 - The impact of development on the local ecology and environment.
- 1.4 The Draft NDP Review document was approved by the Group Parish Council on 10th November 2020 for Regulation 14 public consultation. The consultation period will run from Monday 1st February until Monday 15th March 2021.
- 1.5 This document is the Statement of Modifications. It sets out the extent of the modifications to the former NDP and summarises the changes to policies and proposals which have been incorporated into the Draft NDP Review document.

2.0 National Planning Practice Guidance (PPG)

2.1 Planning Practice Guidance for neighbourhood planning sets out when it is considered necessary to review and update a neighbourhood plan¹. This provides the following advice:

'Updating a neighbourhood plan

In what ways can a neighbourhood plan or order be changed?

There are 3 types of modification which can be made to a neighbourhood plan or order. The process will depend on the degree of change which the modification involves:

- Minor (non-material) modifications to a neighbourhood plan or order are those
 which would not materially affect the policies in the plan or permission granted
 by the order. These may include correcting errors, such as a reference to a
 supporting document, and would not require examination or a referendum.
- Material modifications which do not change the nature of the plan or order would require examination but not a referendum. This might, for example, entail the addition of a design code that builds on a pre-existing design policy, or the addition of a site or sites which, subject to the decision of the independent examiner, are not so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan.
- Material modifications which do change the nature of the plan or order would require examination and a referendum. This might, for example, involve allocating significant new sites for development.'

Paragraph: 106 Reference ID: 41-106-20190509 Revision date: 09 05 2019

- 2.2 The modifications to the Pyons Group NDP are considered to be material as they go much further than correcting errors.
- 2.3 PPG goes on to advise:

'How are more substantive neighbourhood plan updates made?

If a qualifying body wish to make updates (modifications) that do materially affect the policies in the plan, they should follow the process set out in guidance, with the following additional requirements:

 the qualifying body must (at the pre-submission publicity and consultation stage and when the modified plan is submitted to the local planning authority) state whether they believe that the modifications are so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan and give reasons

¹ https://www.gov.uk/guidance/neighbourhood-planning--2#updating-neighbourhood-plan

- the local planning authority must (when sending the modified plan to the independent examiner) state whether they believe that the modifications are so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan and give reasons. The local planning authority must also submit a copy of the original plan to the independent examiner
- the qualifying body must decide whether to proceed with the examination after the examiner has decided whether the modifications proposed change the nature of the plan.'

Paragraph: 085 Reference ID: 41-085-20180222

Revision date: 22 02 2018

- 2.4 This Statement of Modifications has been prepared at the pre-submission publicity and consultation stage (Regulation 14).
- 2.5 The Statement sets out the extent of the modifications and gives reasons why the Parish Council considers that the modifications are so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan.

3.0 Material Modifications to the Made NDP

- 3.1 The NDP Review includes significant amendments to former planning policies (which in effect comprise deletion of former policies and writing new policies) deletion of former site allocations, and a number of new planning policies to guide development in identified settlement boundaries, the rural economy, and to address climate change and resilience.
- 3.2 The modifications to the former Made NDP Policies are set out in Table 1.

Table 1 NDP Policy Modifications

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
Policies Maps for Canon Pyon,	NDP Review Policies Maps	Made Canon Pyon Policies Map	Yes.
Westhope, Kings Pyon and Bush Bank.	for Canon Pyon, Westhope, Bushbank, Kings Pyon and Ledgemoor.	The Made Canon Pyon Policies Map identifies a settlement boundary for Canon Pyon. The settlement boundary includes four site allocations (A, B, C, D) for new housing, a potential site for a new primary school and a Local Green Space.	NDP Review includes new settlement boundaries for all 5 settlements (including 4 settlements which previously did not have them) and does not include site allocations for housing in Canon Pyon.
		Figure 1 forms and the second	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		NDP Review Canon Pyon Policies Map	
		Two of the housing sites (A and B) have been developed; they are no longer shown as allocations but are included in the settlement boundary. Site C has planning consent for 10 new houses and is therefore shown as a commitment, and Site D been deleted from the NDP Review. Social and community facilities are shown. The settlement boundary has been amended to include the correct boundary of the Local Green Space and an area to the south east has been deleted.	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Made NDP Westhope Policies Map	
		The Made Westhope Policies Map identifies a Local Green Space and a Local Wildlife Site. There is no settlement boundary.	
		Local Widdle Eller (LWG) Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000 Scalin 15,000	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		NDP Review Westhope Policies Map	
		The NDP Review Westhope Policies Map includes the Local Green Space and identifies a new settlement boundary and community facilities.	
		Mestrope Settlement Boundary Local Green Space Social and CommUnity Facilities	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Made Bushbank Policies Map	
		The Made Bushbank Policies Map shows the layout of the settlement and there is no settlement boundary.	
		Bush Bank Bush Bank Bush Bank Bush Bank Bush Bank Corone occeptate and database rate 2015 Ordaner Server (3100/54536)	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		NDP Review Bushbank Policies Map	
		The NDP Review Bushbank Policies Map identifies a new settlement boundary and community facilities.	
		Settlement Boundary Parish Boundary Social and Community Facilities	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Made Kings Pyon Policies Map	
		The Made Kings Pyon Policies Map shows the layout of the settlement and has no settlement boundary.	
		General States Article Control of States and	
		Size part of colors on the colors have because Bide 1.4,000 at Al size at Al size	
1			

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		NDP Review Kings Pyon Policies Map	•
		The NDP Review Kings Pyon Policies Map identifies a new settlement boundary and community facilities.	
		King's Pyon Settlement Boundary Social and Community Facilities	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
<u> </u>		Made Ledgemoor Policies Map	•
		The Made Ledgemoor Policies Map shows the layout of the settlement and has no settlement boundary.	
		Ledgemoor Policies Map	
		a second registration of the contract contract of the contract	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		NDP Review Ledgemoor Policies Map	
		The NDP Review Ledgemoor Policies Map identifies a new settlement boundary and community facilities.	
		Ledgemoor Parish Boundary Settlement Boundary Social and Community Facilities	
Policy PG1: Development	Draft Policy PG1:	Policy PG1: Development Strategy	Yes.
Strategy	Development Strategy	The villages of Canon Pyon, Westhope, Kings Pyon and Ledgemoor will be the focus for housing development within the Group Parish. The main focus for community facilities and services will be Canon Pyon although some limited provision should be	The Policy has been deleted and replaced by a new Policy with the same criteria for new development within the settlement boundaries of all 5 settlements in the NDP area.
		retained and improved where they exist within the other villages. Limited small scale	Canon Pyon continues to be the main focus for new residential and community facilities

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
•		employment opportunities and other forms	development within the Group Parish but
		of essential community and infrastructure	the policy recognises that development will
		development will continue to be supported	be limited to the existing housing
		both inside and outside the parish's four	commitment and infilling in the future.
		villages where they have no adverse effect	
		upon amenity and the environment, especially	
		the landscape and its biodiversity.	
		The approach to accommodating residential	
		development will be based upon the	
		following:	
		i. The purpose, level and location of the	
		proposed residential development within	
		Canon Pyon is to support the creation	
		of a recognisable village core and	
		enable appropriate and safe community	
		infrastructure to be provided. Three	
		residential sites and the redevelopment	
		of a brown field site for housing are	
		proposed that will provide for market	
		and affordable housing and support a	
		range of necessary community facilities	
		during the first part of the plan period.	
		A further area of land is identified to be	
		safeguarded as a site for new primary	
		school;	
		ii. Opportunities for limited infilling within	
		existing frontages in Westhope will be	
		permitted provided that the road network	
		is suitable for such development.	
		Self-build and custom-build housing is	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		particularly encouraged; iii. The villages of Kings Pyon, Ledgemoor and Bush Bank (Part within Pyons Group Parish) shall provide housing for local needs in accordance with Policy RA2. Development away from the five villages will be limited to that covered by policies in Herefordshire Core Strategy. This Policy has been completely rewritten as:	
		Draft Policy PG1: Development Strategy	
		Proposals for development will be supported within the defined settlement boundaries of Canon Pyon, Westhope, Bush Bank (that part within the Group Parish), Kings Pyon and Ledgemoor as shown on the NDP Policies Maps where:	
		 Proposals are for small scale residential (1-2 units) or small scale employment related development (in line with NDP Policy PG7); Development is on infill sites, comprises the conversion of redundant / disused buildings, or reuses brownfield (previously developed) land; Suitable and safe access is achievable. The impact of additional traffic from development proposals on existing rural roadworks should be carefully considered and suitable measures should be 	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		proposed to encourage appropriate traffic speeds; and 4. Development of the site does not exacerbate flood risk, and where possible provides a betterment. Canon Pyon will continue to be the main focus for new residential and community facilities development within the Group Parish, taking into account the existing commitment for 10 new houses on the former Yeomans site adjacent to the Parish Hall, and the potential relocation of the primary school to the proposed new site to the north of the village (NDP Policy PG 10).	
Policy PG2: Integrated Community Facilities in Canon Pyon	N/A	Policy PG2: Integrated Community Facilities in Canon Pyon Development in Canon Pyon should take every available opportunity to provide and support community facilities and local services and to help create a core area that will consolidate a village centre. Developers will be expected to provide and support new and enhanced community facilities and local services through planning obligations and CIL provisions, by making land available, providing access or setting out appropriate infrastructure. Community facilities which are particularly encouraged include a café/youth club	Yes. The NDP Review does not carry forward the Policy in the Made NDP. There will be more limited development in the future and opportunities for using developer contributions to provide a core area in Canon Pyon with a range of new community facilities will be more limited.

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		building and other facilities for children and	
		younger people, family oriented facilities,	
		a new primary school, improvements to the	
		playing fields and a water catchment/wildlife	
		pond that will also act as a sustainable	
		drainage system close to Wellington Brook.	
Policy PG3: New Homes In	Draft Policy PG2: Housing	Policy PG3: New Homes In Canon Pyon	Yes.
Canon Pyon	. •2	An increase in housing will be accommodated within Canon Pyon over the plan period to 2031 in order to contribute towards the minimum 18% housing	Made NDP Policy PG3 identifies 4 site allocations for new housing in Canon Pyon with criteria for development.
		requirement set for the Group Parish in Herefordshire Local Plan Core Strategy through development in the settlement boundary shown on Map 2 and on the following allocated sites shown on the Canon Pyon Policies Map:	The NDP Review does not carry forward the former site allocations but sets out a new Policy (PG2) to guide development within the defined settlement boundaries. (See also NDP Review Policies related to
		 Site A – Land amounting to 1.4 hectares on the west of the main road adjacent to the playing fields brook. This site has planning permission and will be retained as a commitment provided it meets the criteria listed in this policy. Site B – Land amounting to 0.89 hectares adjacent to Valentines Court. This site has planning permission and will be retained as a commitment provided it meets the criteria listed in this policy. Site C – Redevelopment of brown field 	Made NDP Policies PG6 and PG7 below.)

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
-		land amounting to 0.61 hectares at land	
		adjacent to the Village Hall (old coach	
		park) provided it affords both vehicular	
		and pedestrian access to land to its	
		north sufficient for servicing a development	
		accommodating a new village	
		school. A number of live/work units	
		should be provided following an assessment	
		of the level of need and the potential	
		environmental impact such that the	
		amenity of neighbouring residents is not	
		impacted.	
		 Site D – Land amounting to 1.06 hectares 	
		adjacent to Brookside and to rear of The	
		Nags Head.	
		Development of these sites should comply	
		with the following criteria:	
		i. Buildings should be designed to avoid	
		repetition of form and detail and an	
		urban appearance, but should utilise a	
		mixture of materials, styles and aspects,	
		reflecting the existing village character;	
		ii. New housing development should provide	
		a mix of house types and tenures,	
		with an emphasis upon family accommodation	
		for young people together with a	
		number of bungalows for the elderly; iii. Advice should	
		be sought from Welsh	
		water/Dwr Cymru to determine whether	
		there is sufficient capacity within its	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Waste Water Treatment Works to accommodate	
		the development and if necessary	
		carry out a feasibility study of its	
		capabilities at the developer's expense.	
		Should insufficient capacity exist then	
		development will have to be deferred	
		until works are carried out to increase	
		the capacity sufficiently either through	
		the water company's investment programme	
		or developer contributions;	
		iv. A detailed flood risk assessment should	
		identify the extent of the developable	
		land, taking into account the potential	
		effects of climate change and meeting	
		the provisions of Herefordshire Local	
		Plan Core Strategy Policy SD3, and in	
		particular no development shall take	
		place on land that falls within Flood Risk	
		Zones 2 and 3 unless suitable mitigation	
		is provided and this does not result in	
		increased flood risk elsewhere;	
		v. In relation to Sites B and D, consideration	
		should be given to any implications	
		arising from their location in a Minerals	
		Safeguarding Area and account taken of	
		Draft Policy PG2: Housing	
		Proposals for new housing development should	
		contribute to a suitable mix of house sizes, types and	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		tenures in order to support a sustainable future for the local communities in each of the 5 settlements in the Group Parish.	
		Proposals should meet the defined local need as set out in the most up to date housing market assessment. In particular, schemes will be encouraged which provide smaller units for family accommodation, housing suitable for young people and first time buyers, and accommodation which meets the needs of older residents and those with mobility impairments, such as bungalows. Proposals for Self-Build housing projects in the Parish will be encouraged.	
Policy PG4:	Draft Policy	Policy PG4: Safeguarding Land For	No.
Safeguarding	PG10:	Potential Primary School	
Land For	Safeguarded	Broft Ballow BO40. Onforwarded Land for	The Policy is carried forward into the NDP
Potential	Land for	Draft Policy PG10: Safeguarded Land for	Review and is broadly similar.
Primary School	Proposed Relocation of	Proposed Relocation of Canon Pyon Church of England Academy Primary School	The NDP Review Policy also requires
	Canon Pyon	Lingiana Academy i minary ocnobi	mitigation of issues of flooding, utilities and
	Church of	Land amounting to 2.47 hectares to the	heritage which are noted as constraints in
	England	north of the village and adjacent to the brown	the AECOM technical site assessment
	Academy	field site and the village hall as shown on Map 2	report.
	Primary School	Canon Pyon Policies Map, is identified as the	
		preferred site for the relocation of Canon Pyon	
		Primary School and will be is safeguarded	
		from development in order to	
		retain this as the preferred site for the	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		relocation of Canon Pyon Primary School for future educational use. To support the viability of a new school, the site could also accommodate a small scale housing development (up to 2 houses). Development would be subject to resolving or mitigating constraints in relation to flooding, utilities and heritage. Planning permission will not be granted for any permanent development upon this site	
		which would prejudice the potential of this land to accommodate a new Primary School at a future date unless an alternative site has been identified that meets this need or the need is no longer considered necessary.	
Policy PG5: Local Green	Draft Policy PG11: Local	Policy PG5: Local Green Space In Canon Pyon	No.
Space In Canon Pyon	Green Space	Land comprising recreational playing fields at Canon Pyon and as shown on the Canon Pyon Policies Map serving both the local community and village primary	The 2 areas of Local Green Space have been carried forward into the NDP Review.
And Policy PG6A Local Green Space in Westhope		school is designated Local Green Space. Development that would result in the loss of this site as recreational land will not be permitted. Proposals that will enable it to be used more effectively for this use will be permitted provided there is no significant adverse effect on residential amenity or biodiversity. Opportunities to incorporate measures to enhance the	Made NDP Policy PG5 protects an area of Local Green Space in Canon Pyon - the recreational playing fields which are shown on the Policies Map. This was carried forward into NDP Review Policy PG11, although the boundary has been amended to show the correct area. Policy PG6A identifies an area of Parish Council land at

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		biodiversity value of the site should be taken where	Westhope as Local Green Space and this is
		possible, in particular along Wellington Brook.	shown on the Westhope Policies Map.
		Policy PG6A Local Green Space in Westhope	The NDP Review carries forward these 2 areas as Local Green Space in Policy
		Land known as Parish Council land at	PG11and the wording of the Policy has
		Westhope and shown on the Westhope	been updated.
		Policies Map is designated Local Green	
		Space. Development that would result in the	
		loss of the area's biodiversity value will not	
		be permitted. Proposals appropriate to the	
		area's designation that enhance the area's	
		biodiversity or improve public access will be encouraged.	
		Draft Policy PG11: Local Green Space	
		The following are designated as Local Green Spaces:	
		 Recreational Playing Field, Canon Pyon Parish Council Land at Westhope. 	
		These are shown on Policy Map 1 Canon Pyon and Policy Map 2 Westhope.	
		The NPPF advises that proposals to develop local green space will be considered in relation to green belt policy. This establishes a presumption against development in order to protect the landscape	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		character and openness of these areas. Development is only allowed in very special circumstances. This means that these areas will be retained as local green	
		space unless there are special circumstances to allow development or it is considered as appropriate ancillary development, which does not reduce the openness or character of the green space.	
		Proposals for the Playing Field at Canon Pyon which enable it to be used more effectively for recreational use will be supported, provided there is no significant adverse effect on residential amenity or biodiversity. Opportunities to enhance the biodiversity value of the site should be taken where possible, in particular	
		along Wellington Brook. Development of the Parish Council Land at Westhope	
		which would result in the loss of the area's biodiversity value will not be permitted. Proposals	
		which enhance the area's biodiversity or improve public access will be encouraged.	
Policy PG6: New Homes in	Draft Policy PG2: Housing	Policy PG6: New Homes in Westhope	Yes.
Westhope		New homes within Westhope will be accommodated	The NDP Review builds on the Made NDP
	Draft Policy	through allowing development to	Policies which set out various criteria,
Policy PG7:	PG3:	be built within the village subject to the following	including broad design criteria for housing
Housing in	Improving	criteria:	development within the settlements.
Ledgemoor,	Accessibility for	i. Development proposals must be accompanied	Henry was the many NDD Deview Delicity
Kings Pyon and	All	by appropriate evidence to show	However the new NDP Review Policies are
Bush Bank		that the proposal will have a satisfactory	far more detailed and go much further under

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
Policy		impact on the road network in the area, including capacity, and on the living conditions of residents particularly arising from noise generated by any traffic movement; ii. Development shall complement the village character, in particular maintaining its loose-knit composition and with no development extending unreasonably into the plot beyond the depth of neighbouring buildings; iii. Dwellings should be in scale with the general density and massing of existing properties in the vicinity and not adversely affect the amenity of adjacent properties; iv. Development should not result in the loss of important features such as trees, hedgerows, or small frontage gaps or green spaces that contribute to the unique character of the village; v. Development should not adversely affect the significance of heritage assets, including their setting; vi. New housing should provide a mix of house types and tenures, with an emphasis upon family accommodation for young people or dwellings suitable for	·

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Policy PG7: Housing in Ledgemoor, Kings Pyon	
		and Bush Bank	
		A limited number of new homes will be	
		accommodated in Ledgemoor, Kings Pyon	
		and Bush Bank in accordance with the following	
		criteria:	
		i. Housing development will be supported	
		where it meets the requirements of Core	
		Strategy Policy RA2;	
		ii. Emphasis will upon the provision of selfbuild	
		homes, custom-build housing and	
		affordable housing;	
		iii. Development proposals must be accompanied	
		by appropriate evidence to show	
		that the proposal will have a satisfactory	
		impact on the road network in the	
		area, including capacity, and on the living	
		conditions of residents particularly	
		arising from noise generated by any	
		traffic movement;	
		iv. Development shall complement the	
		village character with no development	
		extending unreasonably into the plot	
		beyond the depth of neighbouring	
		buildings;	
		v. Dwellings should be in scale with the	
		general density and massing of existing	
		properties in the vicinity and not	
		adversely affect the amenity of adjacent	
		properties;	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		vi. Development should not result in the loss of important features such as trees, hedgerows, or small frontage gaps or green spaces that contribute to the unique character of the village; vii. Development should not adversely affect the significance of heritage assets, including their setting; viii. New housing should provide a mix of house types and tenures, with an emphasis upon family accommodation for young people or dwellings suitable for older people.	
		Draft Policy PG2 Housing - see above	
		Draft Policy PG3: Improving Accessibility for All Development proposals should be located and designed to provide improved accessibility for all, and to reduce reliance on private cars. Schemes will be supported where they: 1. Demonstrate connectivity to the network of footpaths and other PRoW across the Group Parish and, wherever feasible, support improvements to routes linking the development site to local services and facilities;	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		 Are located, wherever possible, within reasonable walking distance of bus routes and services; Do not have any detrimental impacts on highway safety. Measures such as traffic calming, improved signage, and awareness raising of different user groups on the highway, including walkers, horse riders and cyclists, will be encouraged. Developers may be required to support improvements in local infrastructure through Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), once adopted by Herefordshire Council. Such improvements could include, for example, enhancements to public footpaths, provision of safer on-road and new off-road cycling routes, and a footpath or off-road walking and cycling route linking the primary school to the settlement of Canon Pyon. 	
		Developments should also include safe and secure cycle storage and charging points to facilitate charging of electric cycles.	
		Draft Policy PG5: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	
		Development proposals should demonstrate a high standard of design which responds positively to the local context as described in the National Character Area 100 Herefordshire Lowlands, relevant local	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		landscape types in the Herefordshire Landscape Character Assessment SPG 2004 (updated in 2009), and the local character descriptions of the settlements and wider rural area as set out in the character descriptions in the NDP Review (see Appendix 3). Schemes should protect and enhance the distinctive local landscape character and wildlife of Pyons Group by incorporating the following design principles in landscaping schemes wherever possible:	
		1. Light Pollution Light pollution should be minimised to protect dark skies and local wildlife. Developments should not increase light pollution in terms of either the extent of the lit area or the intensity and luminosity. Low level lighting and reduced times for night lighting will be encouraged on subsidiary residential streets within the built up area. Security lighting should have movement detectors. Lighting schemes should be avoided altogether in natural or semi natural areas that provide habitats or foraging areas for nocturnal species.	
		2. Trees, Woodland and Hedgerows Mature trees and hedgerows should be retained and traditional native species should be used in new hedgerow and tree planting schemes. Tree planting and woodland creation should focus on screening, expanding and connecting existing ancient woodland	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		sites. High value and established ornamental trees should be protected.	•
		3. Traditional Orchards Traditional orchards should be retained and where possible restored using traditional local varieties.	
		4. Local Landscape Features Other important local landscape features such as ponds, watercourses, grasslands and hay meadows should be retained and enhanced. Ponds and watercourses should be re-naturalised wherever possible.	
		As a principle, there should be a measurable net gain enhancement of biodiversity assets. A biodiversity net gain should be achieved within the development site wherever possible through measures 1-4 above. Otherwise, where this is not feasible or practicable, local off-site habitat management will be sought to provide an overall benefit.	
		Draft Policy PG6: Protecting and Enhancing Built Character	
		Development proposals should respond positively to the local context and protect and enhance the built character of the surrounding area. In particular schemes should:	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		 Be of an appropriate density, scale and height and not dominate the existing street scene and neighbouring buildings; Incorporate traditional local materials and detailing in innovative and interesting ways so that designs respond positively to the local vernacular but avoid pastiche and poor and unnecessary reproduction of older styles; and Not adversely affect the significance of any heritage assets, including their setting. Proposals for extensions should be proportionate to the existing building, subservient in scale, and respond positively to local built character.	
		In addition, proposals should, where relevant, address the following detailed design criteria for each of the following settlements and the wider rural area:	
		Canon Pyon	
		 5. In Canon Pyon developments should respect the form and layout of the settlement and aspirations to develop a village hub; 6. Building lines should be set back from the pavement or street frontage, behind front gardens or green spaces; and 7. Use of local materials is encouraged including rendered concrete block and Herefordshire red brick and slate or clay tiled roofs. 	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		Westhope	
		 In Westhope designs should complement the existing village character by retaining the informal layout of low-density development set in large garden plots; Building lines should follow those of neighbouring buildings which are generally set back from the road frontage with front gardens; Development should not result in the loss of the small front gardens and green spaces that contribute to the unique character of the village; and Use of local materials is encouraged including Herefordshire red brick and tiled roofs. 	
		Bush Bank (that part in the Pyons Group of Parishes)	
		12. In Bush Bank development should reflect the existing very low density of the settlement; 13. Buildings should be well spaced with generous garden areas and set back from the road; 14. Trees and hedges should be retained and used in landscaping and boundary treatments; and 15. Use of local materials is encouraged including Herefordshire red brick or rendered brick and slate roofs and timber frames with rendered in-fill.	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
Folicy	Policy	King's Pyon	vviiy:
		16. In King's Pyon development should reflect the existing low density and dispersed character of buildings; 17. Residential development should include garden areas and retain and enhance local landscape features such as mature trees and hedgerows; and 18. Use of local materials is encouraged including timber frames with stone or rubble plastered infill, dressed stone, Herefordshire brick and slate roofs.	
		Ledgemoor	
		19. In Ledgemoor development should respond to the existing very low density and residential properties should be set in large gardens; 20. Buildings should be set back from the roads; 21. Existing mature trees and hedgerows should be protected and used in landscaping and boundary schemes; and 22. Use of local materials is encouraged including traditional timber frames, local stone, and more modern brick or rendered brick construction with slate or clay tile roofs.	
		Rural Area	
		23. In the rural area development will be much more limited. Sensitive conversion of former	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		agricultural buildings for new business and residential uses is supported, provided that designs are sensitive to the existing character and use traditional local materials and detailing wherever possible.	
Policy PG8: Traffic Measures Within Villages	Draft Policy PG3: Improving Accessibility for All	PG8: Traffic Measures Within Villages Development proposals in the Parish must ensure that any transport impacts of the scheme are identified and acceptable. Any measures needed to deal with the anticipated impacts must be implemented. Any opportunities for improving access to and the use of public transport and the provision and improvement of pedestrian or cycling routes and links must be taken. Draft Policy PG3: Improving Accessibility for All Development proposals should be located and designed to provide improved accessibility for all, and to reduce reliance on private cars. Schemes will be supported where they: 1. Demonstrate connectivity to the network of footpaths and other PRoW across the Group Parish and, wherever feasible, support improvements to routes linking the development site to local services and facilities:	No. The NDP Review Policy updates and provides more detail to the former NDP Policy but the modifications are not considered material. The Made NDP Policy requires proposals to ensure that any transport impacts of the scheme are identified and acceptable, and opportunities for improving access to public transport and the provision and improvement of pedestrian or cycling routes and links should be taken. NDP Review Policy PG3 updates the former policy by providing a greater emphasis on sustainable transport options and reducing reliance on the car. Various improvements to local infrastructure are suggested for developer contributions and the policy requires developers to provide safe and secure cycle storage and charging points to facilitate charging of electric cycles.

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
		 Are located, wherever possible, within reasonable walking distance of bus routes and services; Do not have any detrimental impacts on highway safety. Measures such as traffic calming, improved signage, and awareness raising of different user groups on the highway, including walkers, horse riders and cyclists, will be encouraged. 	
		Developers may be required to support improvements in local infrastructure through Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL), once adopted by Herefordshire Council. Such improvements could include, for example, enhancements to public footpaths, provision of safer on-road and new off-road cycling routes, and a footpath or off-road walking and cycling route linking the primary school to the settlement of Canon Pyon.	
		Developments should also include safe and secure cycle storage and charging points to facilitate charging of electric cycles.	
Policy PG9: Design Criteria For Housing	Draft Policy PG5: Protecting and	Policy PG9: Design Criteria For Housing And Sites	Yes. The NDP Review Policies have taken
And Sites	Enhancing the Natural Environment	An integrated approach will be expected to achieve a high standard of design and architecture including the maximum possible reduction in the carbon footprint of any development. Development proposals should	forward the key areas included in Made NDP Policy PG9 and addresses them in more detail. NDP Review Policy PG6 buildings on character descriptions prepared by members of the NDP steering

Made NDP	NDP Review	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so
Policy	Policy		Why?
	Draft Policy	contain a co-ordinated package of design	group and provides a greater level of detail
	PG6:	measures which, in addition to regulatory	about materials and distinctiveness of each
	Protecting and	requirements, include:	settlement. The various NDP Review
	Enhancing	i. Protection of residential amenity and	Policies could be considered to be similar to
	Built Character	privacy for the occupiers of nearby	'a design code that builds on a pre-existing
		properties;	design policy.'
		ii. Incorporating locally distinctive features	
		although new innovative design or	
		features will not necessarily be resisted	
		where they fit sensitively within the particular	
		village frontage and street scene;	
		iii. Utilising physical sustainability measures	
		associated with buildings that	
		include, in particular, orientation of	
		buildings, the provision of energy and	
		water conservation measures, cycle and	
		recycling storage, broadband infrastructure,	
		and renewable energy infrastructure	
		such as photovoltaic panels;	
		iv. Ensuring landscape and biodiversity	
		proposals form an integral part of the	
		site's design, with particular regard to	
		trees and hedgerows being retained	
		unless their value is deemed low following	
		surveys in accordance with established	
		practice;	
		v. Retaining and enhancing important features	
		such as tree cover, ponds, orchards	
		and hedgerows, adding to the natural	
		assets of the parish where opportunities	

Made NDP Policy	NDP Review Policy	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so Why?
,		are available;	
		vi. Seeking on site measures that support	
		energy conservation such as through	
		tree planting and other forms of green	
		infrastructure to provide shade and	
		shelter, the inclusion of sustainable	
		drainage systems, the maximum use of	
		permeable surfaces, and minimising the	
		use of external lighting to that which is	
		necessary;	
		vii. Assisting offsite measures such as	
		supporting infrastructure to promote	
		sustainable travel and enabling a	
		sustainable drainage system to serve	
		a wider range of properties wherever	
		appropriate; and viii. Minimising construction traffic and	
		reducing waste;	
		ix. Where there is good reason to believe	
		that contamination of land may exist on	
		any site, including through agricultural	
		processes, ensuring an assessment is	
		carried out to establish the extent and	
		nature of the contamination, and effective	
		measures taken to ensure potential	
		occupiers, and the wider environment,	
		are not put at unacceptable risk.	

Made NDP	NDP Review	Modifications	Are the Modifications Material, and if so
Policy	Policy		Why?
		Draft Policy PG5: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	
		Draft Policy PG6: Protecting and Enhancing Built Character	
		- See above.	

- 3.3 The NDP Review also includes a number of completely new policies to address planning themes that were not considered in the previous Made NDP.
- 3.4 The new NDP Policies were agreed by the Steering Group following consideration of the responses to the informal advice and discussions with Herefordshire Council (see Appendix 1) and the responses to the Pyons Group Neighbourhood Development Plan Review Public Consultation (14th 15th March 2020).
- 3.5 The new NDP Review Policies are:
 - Draft Policy PG4: Waste Water and Sewerage
 - Draft Policy PG7: Rural Enterprise and Tourism
 - Draft Policy PG8: Polytunnels
 - Draft Policy PG9: Community Facilities
 - Draft Policy PG12: Promoting Sustainable Design and Resilience
 - Draft Policy PG13: Community Energy Schemes and Solar Farms.
- 3.6 The justification for each of the new policies is provided in the supporting text in the NDP Review document.
- 3.7 Taken together, the Parish Council considers that the new policies comprise modifications that are so significant or substantial as to change the nature of the plan. This is because they address a range of completely new planning themes in the NDP Review which were not considered in the previous Made Plan. Such themes include the need to address wastewater and sewerage (following advice from Welsh Water about limited capacity at the WwTW), the rural economy and protection of local community facilities (following advice from Herefordshire Council and taking into account local residents' concerns) and sustainable design, resource efficiency and low carbon technologies, taking into account the climate emergency, local peoples' concerns and advice from Herefordshire Council.

4.0 Do the Material Modifications Change the Nature of the Plan?

- 4.1 In conclusion the Parish Council considers that the NDP Review comprises material modifications which do change the nature of the plan and therefore an examination and a referendum will be required. Although some changes to NDP Policies are considered to comprise minor modifications, when taken together and considered as a whole, the NDP Review modifications are so significant as to change the nature of the Plan.
- 4.2 However Pyons Group Parish Council recognises that the local planning authority (Herefordshire Council) and the examiner will draw their own conclusions and make recommendations accordingly as the NDP Review moves forward through the process.

Appendix 1: Note of Possible Areas for Consideration in NDP Review

Pyons Group Parish Council

Neighbourhood Development Plan Review Steering Group

Pyons Group PC has decided to review the NDP. It is proposed that the review will include the following areas. However, the list is not intended to be exhaustive or complete and will be reviewed at a subsequent consultation event.

At a steering group meeting on 22 January 2020, Herefordshire Council suggested a number of areas of the NDP to consider when undertaking the review, and these are included in the list below and highlighted as HC.

- 1. Canon Pyon Policies Map: Redraw the local green space to match the boundary of the playing field.
- 2. Canon Pyon Policies Map: Consider viability of the site in light of constraints highlighted by Herefordshire Council at the time of the SHLAA "The U93412 is only a single track and not suitable for access without widening and footpath provision, which would require third party land..."
- 3. Re-consult Welsh Water to review capacity of sewerage infrastructure taking into account the number of new dwellings with planning permission/ built.
- 4. HC: Westhope Policies Map (PG6). Consider a settlement (or multiple) boundaries for Westhope. HC state it is currently a matter of judgement and the policy does not provide much guidance on what constitutes the 'natural ends of the settlement' as referenced in the supporting text.
- HC: Also, when defining local need (see vi) it is suggested to keep it more generic (defined local need).
- 5. Kings Pyon Policies Map (PG7): Consider a settlement boundary given the lack of facilities and services in the settlement. Possible option for other settlements for same reason.
- HC: Also, when defining local need (see viii) it is suggested to keep it more generic (defined local need).
- 6. Consider a call for land to find a site for commercial development of light workshops/ offices to provide local employment opportunities.
- 7. HC: Traffic measures within villages (PG8). Consider amending opening text, "Development proposals in the parish must ensure that any transport impacts of the scheme are identified and acceptable' to say no detrimental impact on highway safety.

HC: Next paragraph "any opportunities for improving access to and the use of public transport and the provision and improvement of pedestrian or cycling routes and links must be taken" is considered too prescriptive. Consider highlighting specific opportunities or requirements and include the words "where possible".

8. Review Policy PG9 Design Criteria for Housing and Sites to confirm it addresses sustainability sufficiently and supports measures to address climate change. Also consider design policies to support housing needs of older and disabled people such as bungalows.

HC: Retile section, possible change from sites to development as it is currently very housing focused. Point 5 may conflict with PG8.

- 9. Canon Pyon Policies Map: Consider settlement boundary review given possible updates above.
- 10. HC: Consider additional policies.
- a) Extensions policy referencing scale, character, and appearance
- b) Tourism policy
- c) Agriculture policy
- d) Renewable energies
- e) Biodiversity
- f) Heritage assets and non-designated heritage assets
- g) Retention of community facilities
- h) Local housing needs/ exceptions
- i) Polytunnels (might be included as part of agricultural policy)
- 11. Correct typo under 1.2.5 (page 9) to read "second hand car business".
