# hendeca

# Herefordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan

Schedule of Main Modifications and Minor Changes proposed pre-Examination

February 2022

Herefordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan Schedule of Main Modifications and Minor Changes proposed pre-Examination



### **Contents**

| 1. | Introduction  | 1-1  |
|----|---|------|
|    | 1.1 Context   | 1-1  |
|    | 1.2 Main Modifications and Minor Changes  | 1-1  |
| 2. | Main Modifications Proposed pre-Examination   | 2-1  |
|    | Table 1 Schedule of Pre-Submission Main Modifications to the Publication Draft MWLP   | 2-2  |
|    | Table 2 Schedule of Pre-Submission Main Modifications to the Key Development Criteria | 2-12 |
| 3. | Minor Changes Proposed pre-Examination  | 3-1  |
|    | Table 3 Schedule of Pre-Submission Minor Changes to the Publication Draft MWI P       | 3-2  |



#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Context

- 1.1.1 The Herefordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan, Publication Draft (Publication Draft MWLP, or the Plan) was finalised in January 2021 and made available for consultation during the period 12 April 2021 to 24 May 2021.
- 1.1.2 Responses were received from 26 organisations, some of which have led to proposed modifications to the Publication Draft MLWP.
- 1.1.3 In July 2021, the revised National Planning Policy Framework was published, which prompted the need for some modifications to the Publication Draft MLWP.
- 1.1.4 During the latter half of 2021, and in response to representations received to those documents, some updates were made to the Strategic Environmental Assessment, Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulations Assessments. These also prompted some modifications to the Publication Draft MWLP.
- 1.1.5 There have also been some minor typos and grammar uses that have been corrected.
- 1.1.6 These are set out in this document.

#### 1.2 Main Modifications and Minor Changes

- 1.2.1 Suggested changes to policy text arising from the Regulation 19 responses and other updates that are unlikely to change fundamentally the aims or outcomes of the Plan can be proposed as either Main Modifications or Minor Changes and submitted with the consultation version of the Plan to the Planning Inspectorate for Examination.
- 1.2.2 Main Modifications go to soundness and are for consideration in the Examination. Minor Changes (such as typos, simple updates and corrections) are entirely for the clarity of the Plan and should be kept separate.
- 1.2.3 None of the edits proposed within this document are considered fundamentally to change the aims or objectives of the Plan overall; they are simply clarifications either to update the Plan and/or to ensure that the meaning of policy is clear to all using the Plan.
- 1.2.4 However, the council has taken an approach of erring in favour of defining a change as a Main Modification where there is any change to policy, such that it can be tested through the Examination.
- 1.2.5 Some formatting edits have been made to the Publication Draft MWLP, changes such as making text bold, or deleting unnecessary spaces between words or paragraphs. These are not noted as they make no effect on the Plan other than to tidy it up.
- 1.2.6 Tables 1, 2 and 3 present the schedules of proposed edits to the Plan. The following approach has been used:
  - All policy references, paragraph numbers, figure numbers etc are taken from the Herefordshire Minerals and Waste Local Plan, Publication Draft, January 2021.
  - The edits are presented in the order they appear in this version of the Plan.



- For each edit, information on the proposed change and the reason for that change is given.
- The amended text is shown in bold text, with new text underlined (**for example**) and deleted text crossed through (**for example**).
- The schedules provide only those whole sentences within which an edit has been made. There may be additional text either side of the sentence, but if it is not reported it has not been changed.



## 2. Main Modifications Proposed pre-Examination

- 2.1.1 Table 1 presents the schedule of Main Modifications proposed to be considered through the MWLP Examination, prior to submission.
- 2.1.2 Each proposed edit is referenced MMnumber.letter (eg MM4.b) in which:
  - MM stands for Main Modification:
  - number is the section of the Plan that is being edited; and
  - letter is used to identify the order of the Main Modifications within that section eg MM4.a; MM4.b; etc.
    This letter may be updated at the end of the Examination, when all of the Main Modifications have been identified. A letter has been used in this schedule to aid referencing to the Main Modification throughout the Examination. Letters 'i', 'l', and 'o' have not been used as they could be confused for numerals.
- 2.1.3 Table 2 presents the schedule of Main Modifications to the Key Development Criteria proposed to be considered through the MWLP Examination, prior to submission. These Main Modifications are presented in a separate table for clarity only; they are an integral part of the MWLP.
- 2.1.4 The edits to the Key Development Criteria have been proposed to:
  - incorporate latest advice from a statutory consultee or from the evidence base; or
  - to clarify the text.



Table 1 Schedule of Pre-Submission Main Modifications to the Publication Draft MWLP

| Mod. Ref.    | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change   |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| Section 4.   | Vision, Objectives and                | d Spatial Strategy  |   |
| MM4.a        | Vision                                | Over the period to 2041, Herefordshire will deliver sustainable provision of minerals supply and waste management, balancing development needs whilst supporting the county's communities, <b>protecting conserving</b> and enhancing environmental, heritage and cultural assets and strengthening the local economy.                                      | To bring text up to date and provide a more forward looking approach.   |
| Table 1 MW   | LP Objectives                         |   |   |
| MM4.b        | Objective 2                           | To prioritise the long-term conservation of primary minerals through enabling provision of sustainable alternatives, effective use of mineral reserves resources, and promoting efficient use of minerals in new development.   | To replace text with the correct terminology and to ensure the principle is applied more widely than just permitted reserves. |
| MM4.c        | Objective 3 (new)                     | To safeguard appropriate mineral and waste resources, and associated transport infrastructure, within Herefordshire.  | To replace the missing objective.   |
| MM4.d        | Objective 11                          | To address the causes and impacts of climate change relating to minerals and waste development activity, including using opportunities arising from minerals and waste operations and reclamation activity to <b>decarbonise</b> , <b>to</b> mitigate and adapt to climate change and to leave a positive legacy.   | To incorporate a request made in representation and to explicitly recognise the objective to achieve decarbonisation.         |
| Section 5. S | Strategic Policy and G                | eneral Principles   |   |
| MM5.a        | 5.1.8 (new)                           | Each of the site allocations made in policy of the MWLP is accompanied by key development criteria. To avoid repetition and for clarity in the MWLP, these criteria are provided in the Site Allocation appendix and set out at section 9 of the MWLP; however, they are referenced within and form a part of each policy within which they are referenced. | To address comment raised in representation and to clarify the policy status of the key development criteria.                 |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference    | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| MM5.b     | 5.3.1<br>(new sentence)                  | In addition, the railheads at Moreton-on-Lugg (operational) and at Moreton Business Park (not-operational) provide the opportunity to increase non- road based transport.  | To address comment raised in representation that railheads in Herefordshire were not appropriately recognised. |
| MM5.c     | 5.5.14                                   | New development requires significant volumes of construction materials, and the facilities provided on site can affect how it performs the sustainability of that development through its operational lifetime.  | To improve clarity in the text.  |
| MM5.d     | Policy SP1 (new text at start of policy) | Development proposals will be supported where they adopt sustainable design principles, construction methods and procurement policies. This includes using the minimal amount of primary materials, reusing or facilitating the recycling of wastes and materials generated on site and using alternative construction materials sourced from secondary and recycled aggregates. | To ensure the policy is explicit about the intended outcome.   |
| MM5.e     | Policy SP1/2                             | requiring all applicants to submit submission of a Resource Audit that identifies the quantum required and approach to sourcing construction materials, the amount and type of waste that is expected to be produced by the development and end of life considerations for the development materials.  | To ensure the policy is explicit about who is expected to submit the Resource Audit.                           |
| MM5.f     | Policy SP2/1                             | Planning permission will be <b>granted</b> supported for mineral development proposals that optimise opportunities to improve public access to open spaces, integrating historic context and green infrastructure as appropriate.  | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.  |
| MM5.g     | Policy SP3                               | Planning permission will be <b>granted</b> supported for minerals or waste development where it is demonstrated that the arrangements for the transport of mineral, waste or other materials   | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.  |
| MM5.h     | 5.11.9                                   | As a starting point, developers should refer to the particular issues identified in the key development criteria (section 9) established for the each allocated sites and the Green Infrastructure Strategy already in place.  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated.              |



| Mod. Ref.  | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| SECTION 6. | Minerals                              |  |  |
| MM6.a      | Policy M2/1a                          | the development would not sterilise or prejudice the future extraction of the mineral resource because it can be demonstrated that the resource: is not of economic value; occurs at depth and can be extracted in an <b>economically viable</b> alternative way; does not exist; or has been sufficiently depleted by previous extraction; or | To reflect request made in representation and to explicitly recognise that mineral extraction needs to be economically viable, and to correct the grammar. |
| MM6.b      | Policy M2/2                           | Where the operation of an existing mineral working, including associated infrastructure, could have a significant adverse effect on new development (including changes of use) in its vicinity, the applicant shall be required to provide suitable mitigation before the new development is completed.  | To ensure the policy is explicit that the agent of change principle applies to infrastructure as well as working.  |
| MM6.c      | 6.2.3                                 | To this end, policy M3 has been drafted on the assumption that reserve in Herefordshire supplies 100% of the forecast sand and gravel demand.  | To clarify this position.  |
| MM6.d      | 6.2.9                                 | In addition to As part of this policy framework, the allocated sites are accompanied by key development criteria that present particular issues to be comprehensively addressed in association with any development proposal.  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated.  |
| MM6.e      | Policy M3/2a                          | Specific Sites (presented in alphabetical order) subject to the key development criteria set out at section 9:   | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated.  |
| MM6.f      | Policy M3/3                           | Only where it is demonstrated to be necessary to maintain an adequate landbank or there is a shortfall in production capacity available at the Specific Sites or Preferred Areas of Search, will sand and gravel extraction <b>will</b> -be supported in any other area of <b>reserve resource</b> .   | To replace text with the correct terminology.  |
| MM6.g      | 6.2.12                                | To this end, policy M4 has been drafted on the assumption that reserve in Herefordshire supplies 100% of the forecast crushed rock demand.   | To clarify this position.  |



| Mod. Ref.  | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change   |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| MM6.h      | 6.2.18                                | In addition to As part of this policy framework, the allocated sites are accompanied by key development criteria that present particular issues to be comprehensively addressed in association with any development proposal.  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM6.j      | Policy M4/2a                          | Specific Sites (presented in alphabetical order) subject to the key development criteria set out at section 9:   | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM6.k      | Policy M4/3                           | Only where it is demonstrated to be necessary in order to maintain an adequate landbank or there is a shortfall in production capacity available at the Specific Sites or Preferred Areas of Search, will limestone extraction be supported in any other area of <b>reserve</b> resource.  | To replace text with the correct terminology.   |
| MM6.m      | 6.3.6                                 | In addition to As part of this policy framework, the allocated sites are accompanied by key development criteria that present particular issues to be comprehensively addressed in association with any development proposal.  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM6.n      | Policy M5/1b                          | the lateral extension and/or deepening of workings at the following consented sandstone extraction sites, subject to the key development criteria <b>set out at section 9</b> :  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| SECTION 7. | Waste                                 |  |   |
| MM7.a      | 7.2.15                                | In November 2018, judgement was handed down from the Court of Justice of the European Union in the case of Cooperatie Mobilisation (Joined Cases C-293/17 and C-294/17, the 'Dutch Case'). The Dutch Case concluded that where a site is failing in its water quality objectives, and is therefore classed as being in an unfavourable condition, there is limited scope for the approval of additional damaging effects and that the future benefit of mitigation measures cannot be relied upon at Appropriate Assessment, where those benefits are uncertain at the time of the assessment. | To delete text that repeats the content of paragraph 3.3.36.                                      |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/ figure reference | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change   |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---|---|
| MM7.b     | 7.2.16                             | Herefordshire Council subsequently prepared a Position Statement titled 'Current Development in the River Lugg catchment Area' dated 15 October 2019 (the 'Herefordshire Council Position Statement'. The Herefordshire Council Position Statement advises (on page 2) that:  | To update the text recognising that this was no longer the most recent document on the matter.  |
| ММ7.с     | 7.2.17                             | 'There remains potential for a positive Appropriate Assessment to enable development to proceed, on Natural England's advice, where it can be demonstrated that any impacts would be neutral (where avoidance / mitigation measures included in the plan or project, counterbalance any nutrient (phosphate) increase from the plan or project), or would lead to 'betterment.'   | To update the text.   |
| MM7.d     | 7.2.25<br>As modified: 7.2.22      | The waste management practices available to the agricultural sector are wideranging and varied, and can be expected to change over the plan period, for example Defra is due to publish the Farm Emissions Reduction Plan in 2020, which will also provide a framework of actions.  | To update the text.   |
| MM7.e     | 7.2.26 (new)                       | The Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board (AHDB) purpose is 'to inspire our farmers, growers and industry to succeed in a rapidly changing world. We equip the industry with easy to use, practical know-how, which they can apply straight away to make better decisions and improve their performance.' It is operated as a statutory levy board and is funded by farmers, growers and others in the supply chain. | To incorporate guidance as advised by Herefordshire Ecology & Arboriculture Officer (Planning). |
| MM7.f     | 7.2.27 (new)                       | https://ahdb.org.uk/ The AHDB provides a wide range of advice to farmers and has prepared a Nutrient Management Guide (RB209) to explain the value of nutrients, soil and why good nutrient management is about more than just fertiliser   | To incorporate guidance as advised by Herefordshire Ecology & Arboriculture Officer (Planning). |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           |                                       | application. Updates are also available on the website. This advice (as may be amended over time) should be referenced in any development proposal.  |  |
|           | FN51 (new)                            | https://ahdb.org.uk/RB209  |  |
| MM7.g     | 7.2.28 (new)                          | The River Wye SAC NMP River Lugg Catchment Position Statement (April 2021) provides advice on new thresholds relevant to discharges made within the surface or groundwater catchment of a designated site. This advice (as may be amended over time) should be referenced in any development proposal.   | To update the text.  |
|           | FN 52 (new)                           | Advice regarding nutrient neutrality is likely to change throughout the plan period. Up to date guidance available on Herefordshire Council's website should be consulted in understanding the current approach to nutrient neutrality.  |  |
| MM7.h     | 7.2.29 (new)                          | Any development proposal located within the catchment of the River Wye  SAC can bring a risk of increased phosphate entering the designated site. It is likely that an appropriate assessment will be required to consider the likely significant effect of that project, along with any measures that may be implemented to address the risk. | To reflect the latest advice from NE.                                  |
| ММ7.ј     | Policy W3,3                           | All development proposals will be required to demonstrate delivery of a net reduction in nutrient discharges contributing to at least nutrient neutrality or betterment, within the River Wye SAC.   | To address comment raised in representation and to clarify the policy. |
| MM7.k     | Policy W4                             | Planning permission will be <b>granted to supported for</b> the statutory water and sewerage undertaker to extend, upgrade, or make provision for new infrastructure necessary to ensure the statutory undertaker can continue to undertake its duty to supply potable water and treat foul flows.   | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.                |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change   |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                                       | Works undertaken should contribute to achieving will be required to demonstrate at least nutrient neutrality, or betterment, within the River Wye SAC.  | To address comment raised in representation and to clarify the policy.                            |
| MM7.m     | Policy W5/3                           | at the following locations (presented in alphabetical order) subject to the key development criteria <b>set out at section 9</b> :  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM7.n     | Policy W6/1a                          | Former Lugg Bridge Quarry, subject to the key development criteria set out at section 9;  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| ММ7.р     | Policy W6/1b                          | strategic employment areas and industrial estates, subject to the key development criteria set out at section 9;  | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM7.q     | Policy W6/2                           | The sustainable disposal of inert wastes will be delivered at the following locations (presented in alphabetical order) subject to the key development criteria set out at section 9:   | To ensure that the KDC are recognised as an element of policy under which each site is allocated. |
| MM7.r     | Policy W7/1                           | Facilities for the reuse, recycling or recovery of materials <b>shall</b> <u>will</u> be supported where it is demonstrated that the proposed development will enable delivery of the waste hierarchy and/or make a positive contribution to achieving the circular economy in Herefordshire. | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.   |
| MM7.s     | Policy W7/2                           | Facilities for the recovery of energy <b>shall will</b> only be supported where it is demonstrated:   | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.   |
| MM7.t     | Policy W7/3                           | Proposals for new landfill or landraising facilities or extensions to existing facilities <b>shall</b> will be supported where it is demonstrated that:   | To make the policy text consistent throughout the MWLP.   |
|           |                                       | <ul> <li>a. the proposed development will enable delivery of the waste hierarchy: and</li> <li>b. the proposaled development incorporates measures for safe working and satisfactory reclamation, particularly in accordance with policy SP4.</li> </ul>                                      | Amended formatting and tidying up the terminology to clarify the text of the policy.              |



| Mod. Ref.    | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference      | Proposed Modification   | Reason for Change   |
|--------------|--|---|---|
| MM7.u        | Policy W7/4                                | <b>4.</b> Planning permission may be <b>granted</b> supported if these expectations are demonstrated to be unachievable but that a material level of benefit is otherwise gained and no unacceptable adverse impact results from the proposed development.                            | Amended formatting to clarify the text of the policy.             |
| Section 9. k | Key Development Crit                       | teria   |   |
| MM9.a        | 9.1.1                                      | Each allocated site is subject to a number of key development criteria, which form part of the policy. These criteria simply identify the key matters that will be required to be carefully and comprehensively considered in preparing any development project at an allocated site. | To clarify the purpose of the KDC.                                |
| MM9.b        | 9.1.2                                      | The key development criteria do not replace development management policy; they are <b>a part of the policy within which they are referenced and are</b> additive to the requirements of all other policies within the development plan relevant to the project being proposed.       | To clarify the purpose of the KDC.                                |
| MM9.c        | 9.1.4                                      | In addition, The key development criteria are also presented, along with site mapping, in the Allocated Sites Appendix. The Allocated Sites Appendix is unlikely to be suitable for users of assisted technology, whilst Table 9 has been prepared to be easier to read.              | To clarify the purpose of the KDC.                                |
| MM9.d        | Table 9 Key<br>Development<br>Criteria     | These changes are shown in Table 2  |   |
| Section 10.  | Glossary                                   |   |   |
| MM10.a       | Appropriate assessment                     | Process for assessing impacts on European sites National Network Sites, habitats or species. It is a decision making tool.  | To update the terminology.  |
| MM10.b       | Conservation of<br>Habitats and<br>Species | The abbreviated term used for the  Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations (England and Wales)  2017; as amended by the Conservation of Habitats and Species and   | To update the terminology and reflect recent legislative changes. |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
|           | Regulations 2017 (as amended).        | Planning (Various Amendments) (England and Wales) Regulations 2018; and the Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019.   |  |
| MM10.c    | Green<br>Infrastructure               | A planned and delivered network of green spaces and other environmental features designed and managed as a multifunctional resource providing a range of environmental and quality of life benefits for local communities.  Green infrastructure includes parks, open spaces, playing fields, woodlands, allotments and private gardens. | To update the Plan reflecting the new NPPF.                                      |
|           |                                       | A network of multi-functional green and blue spaces and other natural features, urban and rural, which is capable of delivering a wide range of environmental, economic, health and wellbeing benefits for nature, climate, local and wider communities and prosperity.  |  |
| MM10.d    | Habitats<br>Regulation<br>Assessment  | A Habitats Regulations Assessment is the assessment of the impacts of implementing a plan or policy on a <b>Natura 2000 National Network Site</b> .  | To update the terminology.   |
| MM10.e    | National Network Site(s)              | The group terminology given to SAC, SPA and Ramsar Sites under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended).   | To update the terminology.   |
| MM10.f    | Nutrient                              | The ecology of the River Wye SAC including the River Lugg and its catchment are sensitive to nitrate and phosphate concentration. Nitrate and phosphate are nutrients that promote algal growth, affecting the conservation objectives of the SAC.   | To address comment raised in representation and to clarify the text of the MWLP. |
| MM10.g    | Nutrient<br>neutrality                | The means of ensuring that development does not add to existing nutrient burdens and provides certainty that the whole of the scheme is deliverable in line with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended).   | To address comment raised in representation and to clarify the text of the MWLP. |



| Mod. Ref.       | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Modification  | Reason for Change   |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
|                 |                                       | Advice regarding nutrient neutrality is likely to change throughout the plan period. Up to date guidance available on Herefordshire Council's website should be consulted in understanding the current approach to nutrient neutrality.  |   |
| MM10.h          | SAC                                   | A Special Area of Conservation (SAC) is one given greater protection under  Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). They have been designated because of a possible threat to the special habitats or species which they contain and to provide increased protection to a variety of animals, plants and habitats of importance to biodiversity both on a national and international scale. is defined in the European Union's Habitat Directive (92/43/EEC), also known as the Directive on the Conservation of Natural Habitats and of Wild Fauna and Flora. | To update the terminology and reflect recent legislative changes. |
| MM10.j          | SPA                                   | A Special Protection Area (SPA) is designated under Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended). Post transition the UK is still required to identify internationally important areas for breeding, overwintering and migrating birds and designate them as SPA. is a designation under the European Union Directive on the Conservation of Wild Birds. Under the Directive, Member States of the European Union (EU) have a duty to safeguard the habitats of migratory birds and certain particularly threatened birds.   | To update the terminology and reflect recent legislative changes. |
| On-line inte    | eractive mapping                      |  |   |
| Webpage mapping |                                       | To include Minerals Safeguarded Areas including railheads  | To correct an omission found in the on-line resource              |

Table 2 Schedule of Pre-Submission Main Modifications to the Key Development Criteria

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                 | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--|
| MM9.d.1  | Black Hill Delve<br>Policy M5(1,a&b) | <b>Archaeology and geodiversity:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains or geological features to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |                                      | <b>Ancient Woodland:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the ancient woodland, leaving a buffer adequate to protect the designation.  |
|          |                                      | Black Mountains SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
|          |                                      | <b>Dark Skies:</b> Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions on site.   |
|          |                                      | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 8. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, linking priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                                      | <b>Ground water:</b> Located in the St. Maughans sandstone bedrock formation, classified a secondary aquifer. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks <b>for</b> to the water environment, <b>including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.</b>   |
|          |                                      | <b>MOD Low Fly Zone:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the current and likely future operations within the MOD Low Fly Zone.  |
|          |                                      | River Monnow: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Monnow.  |
|          |                                      | <b>Site Access:</b> Need to demonstrate that vehicles can continue to access and leave the site, to and from the public highway, safely.   |
|          |                                      |  |

| Site Name and Policy        | Key Development Criteria  |
|-----------------------------|---|
|                             |   |
| Callow Delve Policy M5(1,a) | <b>Ancient Woodland:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the ancient woodland, leaving a buffer adequate to protect the designation.   |
|                             | <b>Dark Skies:</b> Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions on site.  |
|                             | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased. Flood alleviation should be considered in designing site reclamation.  |
|                             | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |
|                             | <b>Ground water:</b> Located within the Brownstones formation, classified a secondary aquifer and adjacent to a groundwater spring source protection zone for public drinking water supply. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, including <b>private drinking water supply abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.</b> |
|                             | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .  |
|                             | <b>Site Access:</b> Need to demonstrate that vehicles can continue to access and leave the site, to and from the public highway, safely.  |
|                             | <b>Woodland at Welsh Newton &amp; Callow Hill LWS:</b> Need to demonstrate the likely effect on the key features of the designated site.  |
|                             | Wye Valley Woodlands SAC and Wye Valley & Forest of Dean Bat Sites SAC: An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate the no likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Need to demonstrate how habitat severance for horseshoe bats will be prevented, which may require the periphery woodland to be retained.   |
|                             | Callow Delve  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                           | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|--|--|
|          | Former City Spares Site Policy W5(3)           | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |  | <b>Contaminated land:</b> Recognising the site as a former car breakers' yard, there is a high potential for contaminated land. The site is located within a drinking water protected area. Need to demonstrate how any contamination on site will be identified and remediated, particularly with reference to protection of drinking water.  |
|          |  | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the southern boundary of Hereford. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |
|          |  | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> . <b>Veteran tree:</b> Need to demonstrate level of effect on ancient black poplar located to the north of the site.  |
| MM9.d.4  | Former Lugg Bridge<br>Quarry<br>Policy W6(1,a) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |  | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased. Flood alleviation should be considered in designing site reclamation.   |
|          |  | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 2, District Enhancement Zone 2 and Hereford Fringe Zone 1. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |  | Little Lugg River: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the Little Lugg River.  |
|          |  | River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.  |
|          |  | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|
|          |                                     | <b>Site reclamation:</b> Due to the site having a mineral working history and rural location it is required to be reclaimed at the earliest opportunity should current operations cease (as consented under references: 131870/N, dated 22.07.2013; 151184, dated 10.11.2015; and 162032, dated 02.12.2016.                                  |
|          |                                     | <b>Utilities:</b> Utility infrastructure (gas) that cross the site may require diversion or a non-working buffer to enable the site to be worked.  |
| MM9.d.5  | Hereford Enterprise Zone (Rotherwas | <b>Ancient Woodland:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the ancient woodland, leaving a buffer adequate to protect the designation.  |
|          | Industrial Estate) Policy W5(2)     | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Contaminated land:</b> Recognising the site as a former munitions factory, there is a high potential for contaminated land. The site is located within a drinking water protected area. Need to demonstrate how any contamination on site will be identified and remediated, particularly with reference to protection of drinking water. |
|          |                                     | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Site-specific flood risk assessment required to demonstrate compliance with Local Development Order. Reference should be made to the Drainage and Flood Management Strategy (2009 and as amended).  |
|          |                                     | <b>Hampton Grange medical facility:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of this medical facility.  |
|          |                                     | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect</b> <u>less than substantial harm</u> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings and the scheduled monuments Rotherwas House and Rotherwas Chapel.  |
|          |                                     | <b>Landscaping:</b> Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, linking priority habitat, and providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.   |
|          |                                     | Pool at Rotherwas LWS: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.  |
|          |                                     | River Wye: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Wye.  |
|          |                                     | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                                | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|---|--|
|          |   | River Wye SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
|          |   | <b>Veteran tree:</b> Need to demonstrate level of effect on ancient black poplars located within the site, with a priority given to avoidance.   |
| MM9.d.6  | <b>Holmer Road</b> Policy W5(2)                     | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.   |
|          |   | Hereford AQMA: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on air quality, particularly within the Hereford AQMA.  |
|          |   | <b>Landscaping:</b> Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.   |
|          |   | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .   |
|          |   | Road network: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the local road network in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |   | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (housing and schools).   |
| MM9.d.7  | Kington Household<br>Waste and Recycling<br>Centre  | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the southern boundary of Kington. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          | Policy W5(3)  | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .   |
| MM9.d.8  | Land between Little<br>Marcle Road and<br>Ross Road | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          | Policy W5(2)  | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|----------------------|---|
|          |                      | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |                      | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the south western boundary of Ledbury. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                      | River Leadon: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Leadon.   |
|          |                      | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (housing, hotel and picnic site).   |
| MM9.d.9  | Leinthall Quarry     | Dark Skies: Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions  |
|          | Policy M4(2,a)       | on site.  |
|          |                      | <b>Downton Gorge SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate the likely significant effect(s) on the SAC.   |
|          |                      | <b>Geodiversity:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on geodiversity and incorporate mitigation measures as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |                      | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 9. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, linking priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.                          |
|          |                      | <b>Ground water:</b> Located within the hard rock of the Silurian Aymestry Limestone Formation, classified as a secondary aquifer. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.   |
|          |                      | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage assets and their settings, particularly <b>ef</b> Croft Ambrey Hill Fort and Croft Castle Park.   |
|          |                      | <b>Phased working:</b> Need to demonstrate optimum phasing of the allocated area, including how existing infrastructure will be used (to include at least site access and processing equipment) and reclamation at the earliest opportunity. A proliferation of ancillary infrastructure will not be permitted.   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                          | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|---|---|
|          |   | <b>River Teme SSSI and River Lugg SSSI:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of these designations.  |
|          |   | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (housing)   |
|          |   | Veteran tree: Need to demonstrate level of effect on ancient yew tree located to the south of the site.   |
| MM9.d.10 | Leominster<br>Enterprise Park<br>Policy W5(2) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  |
|          |   | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.  |
|          |   | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |   | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the southern boundary of Leominster. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |   | River Lugg: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Lugg.   |
|          |   | River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
|          |   | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .  |
|          |   | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (schools, cemetery and associated place of worship).  |
|          |   | Source Protection Zone 3: Need to demonstrate how any pathways for contamination will be identified and avoided.  |
|          |   |   |

| Site Name and Policy                          | Key Development Criteria  |
|---|---|
| Leominster Household Waste Site and Household | Heritage assets: Need to demonstrate the level of effect less than substantial harm on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s).  |
| Waste Recovery<br>Centre                      | <b>Landscaping:</b> Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |
|   | River Lugg: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Lugg.   |
| Policy W5(3)                                  | River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
|   | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>shouldwill be required to</u> demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .  |
| Llandraw Delve                                | Dark Skies: Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions  |
| Policy M5(1,a&b)                              | on site.  |
|   | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 8. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |
|   | <b>Ground water:</b> Located in the St. Maughans sandstone bedrock formation, classified a secondary aquifer and proximate to the side of the Black Mountains where many springs and watercourses issue off the slopes. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks <b>forto</b> the water environment, <b>including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.</b> |
|   | <b>MOD Danger Area and Low Fly Zone:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the current and likely future operations within the MOD Danger Area and Low Fly Zone.   |
|   | River Monnow: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Monnow.   |
|   | <b>Site Access:</b> Need to demonstrate that vehicles can continue to access and leave the site, to and from the public highway, safely.  |
|   | Leominster Household Waste Site and Household Waste Recovery Centre Policy W5(3)  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy               | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|
| MM9.d.12 | <b>Model Farm</b> Policy W5(2)     | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  |
|          |                                    | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |                                    | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the eastern side of Ross-on-Wye. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                                    | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .   |
|          |                                    | Wye Valley AONB: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the AONB.   |
|          |                                    | Source Protection Zone 2: Need to demonstrate how any pathways for contamination will be identified and avoided.  |
| MM9.d.13 | Moreton Business Park Policy W5(2) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  |
|          | , , , ,                            | <b>Ancient Woodland:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the ancient woodland, leaving a buffer adequate to protect the designation.   |
|          |                                    | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.  |
|          |                                    | Heritage assets: Need to demonstrate the level of effect less than substantial harm on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings and Sutton Walls Hillfort. St Mary's Church and the historic core of Marden and other listed buildings.  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|-------------------------------------|--|
|          |                                     | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a rural position. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.                                     |
|          |                                     | <b>Rail:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential to use the rail network for the transport of materials or that the proposal does not prevent future use of the rail infrastructure available within the site.   |
|          |                                     | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .  |
|          |                                     | <b>Wellington Brook and Moreton Brook:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of these watercourses.   |
|          |                                     | Wellington Marsh LWS: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
| MM9.d.15 | <b>Perton Quarry</b> Policy M4(2,a) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Dark Skies:</b> Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions on site.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Geodiversity, Perton Roadside Section and Quarry SSSI:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on geodiversity and incorporate mitigation measures as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 3. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                                     | <b>Ground water:</b> Located within the Silurian Limestones and shales of the Woolhope Dome structure, classified as a secondary aquifer. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                       | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|--|---|
|          |  | Heritage assets: Need to demonstrate less than substantial harm on Registered Park and Garden Stoke Edith   |
|          |  | Peregrine Falcons: This is a species protected under Schedule 1 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981.   |
|          |  | <b>Phased working:</b> Need to demonstrate optimum phasing of the allocated area, including how existing infrastructure will be used (to include at least site access and processing equipment) and reclamation at the earliest opportunity. A proliferation of ancillary infrastructure will not be permitted.   |
|          |  | River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |
|          |  | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .   |
|          |  | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (housing).  |
| MM9.d.16 | Shobdon Quarry Policy M3(2,a) Policy W6(2) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  |
|          |  | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased. Flood alleviation should be considered in designing site reclamation.  |
|          |  | <b>Geodiversity:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on geodiversity and incorporate mitigation measures as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          |  | <b>Green infrastructure and reclamation:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Enhancement Zone 2. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|-------------------------------------|---|
|          |                                     | <b>Ground water:</b> Glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits represent a secondary aquifer in hydraulic continuity with watercourses. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.   |
|          |                                     | Housing: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on residential amenity at nearby properties.   |
|          |                                     | Pinsley Brook: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology in Pinsley Brook.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Phased working:</b> Need to demonstrate optimum phasing of the allocated area, including how existing infrastructure will be used (to include at least site access and processing equipment) and reclamation at the earliest opportunity. A proliferation of ancillary infrastructure will not be permitted.   |
|          |                                     | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .   |
|          |                                     | <b>Shobdon Airfield:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the current and likely future operations of Shobdon Airfield.   |
| MM9.d.17 | <b>Southern Avenue</b> Policy W5(2) | <b>Archaeology:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  |
|          |                                     | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.  |
|          |                                     | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |                                     | <b>Landscape:</b> The site is set at a lower level than surrounding land but occupies a position on the southern boundary of Leominster. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the surrounding landscape. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                                     | River Lugg: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the River Lugg.   |
|          |                                     | River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy           | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|
|          |                                | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> .  |
|          |                                | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (schools, cemetery and associated place of worship).   |
|          |                                | <b>Source Protection Zones 1 and 2:</b> Need to demonstrate how any pathways for contamination will be identified and avoided.   |
| MM9.d.18 | Sunnybank Delve Policy M5(1,a) | <b>Dark Skies:</b> Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions on site.   |
|          |                                | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 8. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |                                | Housing: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on residential amenity at nearby properties.  |
|          |                                | <b>MOD Danger Area and Low Fly Zone:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the current and likely future operations within the MOD Danger Area and Low Fly Zone.  |
|          |                                | Pikes Farm Meadows SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.  |
| MM9.d.19 | Three Elms Trading Estate      | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.  |
|          | Policy W5(2)                   | <b>Landscaping:</b> Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.   |
|          |                                | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development should will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality or betterment.   |
|          |                                | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (housing and schools).   |
|          |                                | Yazor Brook: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the Yazor Brook.  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                                  | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|---|---|
| MM9.d.20 | <b>Upper Lyde Quarry</b> Policy M3 (2,a) Policy W6(2) | Archaeology: Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.  Geodiversity: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on geodiversity and incorporate avoidance, mitigation and  |
|          |   | monitoring measures as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets. <b>Green infrastructure and reclamation:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 2 and Hereford Fringe Zone  4. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority bird species, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |   | <b>Ground water:</b> Glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits represent a secondary aquifer in hydraulic continuity with watercourses. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, <b>including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.</b>  |
|          |   | <b>Housing:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on residential amenity at nearby properties. <b>Phased working:</b> Need to demonstrate optimum phasing of the allocated area, including how existing infrastructure will be used (to include at least site access and processing equipment) and reclamation at the earliest opportunity. A proliferation of ancillary infrastructure will not be permitted.  |
|          |   | River Lugg: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of these watercourses.  River Lugg SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.  River Wye SAC: An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate nother likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development should will be required to demonstrate at least nutrient neutrality or betterment.  |
|          |   | <b>Road network:</b> Highways England identifies the site as located near to the strategic road network. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the local road network in the vicinity of the site.   |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy                          | Key Development Criteria   |
|----------|---|--|
| MM9.d.21 | Wellington Quarry Policy M3(2,a) Policy W6(2) | <b>Archaeology and geodiversity:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains or geological features to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets.   |
|          | · oney works                                  | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere <u>(including Leystone Bridge)</u> ; and where possible, flood risk is decreased. Flood alleviation should be considered in designing site reclamation.   |
|          |   | <b>Footpath:</b> Wellington footpaths 23, 23A and 34 cross the site and may require diversion or a non-working buffer such that the amenity value and connectivity of the footpaths are maintained.  |
|          |   | <b>Green infrastructure:</b> Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 1 and District Enhancement Zone 3. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character. |
|          |   | <b>Ground water:</b> Glaciofluvial sand and gravel deposits represent a secondary aquifer in hydraulic continuity with watercourses. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, <b>including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs.</b>   |
|          |   | Heritage assets: Need to demonstrate the level of effect less than substantial harm on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s) particularly Sutton Walls Hillfort, St Mary's Church and the historic core of Marden and other listed buildings and Sutton Walls Fort.   |
|          |   | Marches Line: A non-working buffer may be required such that railway safety is maintained.   |
|          |   | Otter: Detail protected species survey required to determine any site-specific mitigation and protection measures.   |
|          |   | <b>Phased working:</b> Need to demonstrate optimum phasing of the allocated area, including how existing infrastructure will be used (to include at least site access and processing equipment) and reclamation at the earliest opportunity. A proliferation of ancillary infrastructure will not be permitted.  |
|          |   | <b>River Lugg and Wellington Brook:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of these watercourses.  |
|          |   | River Lugg LWS and SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy      | Key Development Criteria  |
|----------|---------------------------|---|
|          |                           | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <del>or betterment</del> . |
|          |                           | <b>Road network:</b> Highways England identifies this site as located near to the strategic road network. Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the A49 and that vehicles can access and leave the site, to and from the public highway, safely.     |
|          |                           | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (school and housing).   |
|          |                           | <b>Utilities:</b> Utility infrastructure (high pressure gas, water mains and foul sewer) that cross the site may require diversion or a non-working buffer to enable the site to be worked.   |
|          |                           |   |
|          |                           |   |
|          |                           |   |
| MM9.d.22 | Westfields Trading Estate | <b>Flood Risk:</b> Need to demonstrate that: the site will be safe in the event of a flood; risk is not increased on site or elsewhere; and where possible, flood risk is decreased.  |
|          | Policy W5(2)              | Hereford AQMA: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on air quality, particularly within the Hereford AQMA.   |
|          |                           | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s), particularly listed buildings in the vicinity of the site.   |
|          |                           | <b>Landscaping:</b> Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |
|          |                           | <b>Plough Lane LWS, Widemarsh Brook LWS and Yazor Brook LWS:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of these designations.   |
|          |                           | <b>Sensitive properties:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the amenity, health & safety and environment of nearby sensitive properties (schools).  |

| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy           | Key Development Criteria   |  |  |
|----------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
|          |                                | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .  |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>Widemarsh Brook and Yazor Brook:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on water quality and hydrology of the Yazor Brook.   |  |  |
| MM9.d.23 | Westonhill Wood                | Airfield: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the current and likely future operations of the nearby airfield.  |  |  |
|          | <b>Delves</b> Policy M5(1,a&b) | <b>Ancient Woodland:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the ancient woodland, leaving a buffer adequate to protect the designation.  |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>Archaeology and geodiversity:</b> Need to demonstrate the potential for archaeological remains or geological features to be present on the site, through desk-based assessment and/or field evaluation as appropriate. Mitigation will include recording, protection or recovery of any assets. |  |  |
| on site. |                                | <b>Dark Skies:</b> Need to demonstrate that lighting will be kept to the minimum required to ensure safe working conditions on site.   |  |  |
|          |                                | Green infrastructure: Operation and reclamation phases should deliver priorities of the Herefordshire Green  |  |  |
|          |                                | Infrastructure Strategy, in particular those associated with District Strategy Corridor 7. Site design should deliver a net gain in biodiversity, providing enhancement for priority habitats, and incorporate key features of the landscape character.  |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>Ground water:</b> Located on secondary aquifer of the Devonian. Need to demonstrate <b>the</b> potential risks to the water environment, including abstractions (public and private supply) wells and springs including drinking water.   |  |  |
|          |                                | Housing: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on residential amenity at nearby properties.  |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>Heritage assets:</b> Need to demonstrate <b>the level of effect less than substantial harm</b> on heritage asset(s) and their setting(s).   |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>Merbach Hill LWS, Benfield Park LWS and Westonhill Wood LWS:</b> Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of these designations.   |  |  |
|          |                                | <b>River Wye SAC:</b> An Appropriate Assessment is required to demonstrate <u>nothe</u> likely significant effect(s) on the SAC. Development <u>should</u> will be required to demonstrate <u>at least</u> nutrient neutrality <u>or betterment</u> .  |  |  |



| Mod. Ref | Site Name and Policy  | Key Development Criteria |  |
|----------|---|--------------------------|--|
|          | River Wye SSSI: Need to demonstrate the level of effect on the key features of this designation.                |                          |  |
|          | Site Access: Need to demonstrate that vehicles can continue to access and leave the site, to and from the publi |                          |  |
|          |   | highway, safely.         |  |



# 3. Minor Changes Proposed pre-Examination

- 3.1.1 Table 3 presents the schedule of Minor Changes proposed for the MWLP, prior to its submission for Examination.
- 3.1.2 Each proposed edit is referenced MCnumber.letter (eg MC2.a) in which:
  - MC stands for Minor Change;
  - number is the section of the Plan that is being edited; and
  - letter is used to identify the order of the Minor Changes within that section eg MC2.a; MC2.b; etc.

    This letter may be updated at the end of the Examination, when all of the Minor Changes have been identified. A letter has been used in this schedule to aid referencing to the Minor Changes throughout the Examination. Letters 'i', 'l', and 'o' have not been used as they could be confused for numerals.



**Table 3 Schedule of Pre-Submission Minor Changes to the Publication Draft MWLP** 

| Mod. Ref.    | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change   |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| Section 1. 7 |                                       | Minerals and Waste Local Plan for Herefordshire  |   |
| MC1.a        | To be deleted in its                  |  | Text is not necessary in final Plan.  |
| Section 2. I | ntroduction and Back                  | kground  |   |
| MC2.a        | 2.1.6                                 | Habitats Regulation Assessment, which has assessed any impacts on <b>National</b> Network Sites European sites; and  | To update the terminology.  |
| MC2.b        | 2.3.7                                 | For example, there is a network of household waste recycling centres across Herefordshire, which enables householders to deposit items no longer required at a location where they can be <b>reused</b> , recycled or disposed of safely.  | To incorporate a request made in representation and expand the recognised benefits of HWRC. |
| MC2.c        | 2.4.2                                 | British Geological Survey was commissioned to prepare comprehensive mapping of the geology and mineral <b>reserves resource</b> across Herefordshire. This information became available in early 2017 and has been used in the sites analysis.   | To replace text with the correct terminology.   |
| MC2.d        | 2.4.18                                | Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) has been used to assess the emerging MWLP to assess whether there would be likely significant effects on sites of international importance for wildlife ( <b>European sitesNational Network Sites</b> ).   | To update the terminology.  |
| Section 3. 0 | Context                               |  |   |
| MC3.a        | 3.2.2 to 3.2.4                        | 3.2.2 A detailed review and republication of the Waste Strategy was completed in 2011. This set a suite of principles, policies and targets for the management of municipal waste across both counties. As part of this work, and in line with Government guidance, the authorities committed to review the Strategy at least every 5 years.  3.2.3 An Addendum to the Waste Strategy was prepared in September 2017, to provide a summary of the 2016 review of the Waste | To update the Plan reflecting the new Integrated Waste Management Strategy.                 |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------|
|           |                                       | Strategy. The Addendum confirms that the authorities continue to invest in the existing processing and collection capabilities, with the example of EnviroSort, the material reclamation facility having been refurbished to include the provision of a glass breaker and improved fire protection system. However, the Addendum also makes clear the challenges that lie ahead in delivering the Strategy, recognising financial constraints and budget cuts.  3.2.4 In 2020/21 the Waste Strategy was reviewed again, to incorporate current national municipal waste management targets. It is to be expected that the Waste Strategy will continue to be updated throughout the  |                   |
|           | 3.2.2 and 3.3.3<br>(new)              | 3.2.2 In July 2021, Herefordshire Council adopted a new Integrated Waste  Management Strategy, which identified 6 targets:  1. Net zero carbon by 2030;  2. Reduce residual household waste arisings to less than  330kg/household/year by 2035  3. Achieve national municipal reuse and recycling rate targets of 55%  by 2025, 60% by 2030 and 65% by 2035;  4. To meet the requirements of the Environment Bill  5. No mor than 1% of municipal waste to be sent to landfill from  2025 and zero waste to landfill by 2035;  6. Improve reuse and recycling at all HWRC sites to achieve a reuse  and recycling target of 85% by 2035.  3.2.3 The new Waste Strategy and the MWLP are aligned and policy of the  MWLP will help to deliver these new strategic targets. |                   |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| MC3.b     | 3.3.1                                 | At the time of <u>finalising the MWLP</u> , <u>whilst the UK had exited the EU it</u> <u>remained</u> time of <u>writing</u> (March 2020) the UK is in a transition period of negotiation with the EU, expected to last until the end of 2020. During this transition period, existing legislation remains in place and applicable across the UK   | To update the text and to correct a spelling error.      |
| MC3.c     | 3.3.4                                 | The National Planning Policy Framework (the 'NPPF', February 2019 July 2021) contains the Government's overarching policies on minerals planning.  | To update the Plan reflecting the new NPPF.              |
| MC3.d     | 3.3.7                                 | The NPPF seeks to conserve important landscape and heritage assets by requiring that, as far as is practicable, landbanks for non-energy minerals are provided outside National Parks, Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, Scheduled Monuments and World Heritage Sites. In National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, many minerals and waste developments would be classed as 'major development' and should not be granted consent except in exceptional circumstances, as defined by a series of considerations known as the 'major development test'. | To clarify the text.                                     |
| MC3.e     | 3.3.9                                 | The NPFF was published (in February 2019) advising mineral planning authorities to recognise the benefits of on-shore oil and gas development, including for unconventional hydrocarbons (at paragraph 209a).  Ministerial Written Statement made on 23 May 2019 confirms that 'paragraph 209(a) of the National Planning Policy Framework has been quashed.' In November 2019, the Government issued a moratorium on the hydraulic fracturing of hydrocarbons.  | To update the Plan reflecting the new NPPF.              |
| MC3.f     | 3.3.24 (new)                          | The National Model Design Code (NMDC, January 2021) presents a framework for creating healthy, greener, environmentally responsive, sustainable and distinctive places, with a consistent and high-quality   | To update the Plan to incorporate the new NPPF and NMDC. |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change   | Reason for Change   |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
|           |                                       | standard of design. It is primarily focussed on built forms of development, but the underlying principles can be applied to minerals and waste projects.  |   |
| MC3.g     | 3.3.27<br>As modified: 3.3.28         | There are two Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) in Herefordshire: the Malvern Hills; and the Wye Valley. The Malvern Hills AONB Management Plan <b>2014-2019</b> <sup>20</sup> <b>2019-2024</b> <sup>20</sup> recognises that the striking scenery in the AONB is ultimately dependent on the rocks that lie beneath the ground surface and has a consequent aim to preserve, promote and wisely use the geodiversity of the AONB. The Wye Valley AONB Management Plan <b>2015-2020</b> <sup>21</sup> <b>2021-2026</b> <sup>21</sup> recognises the variety of geological outcrops and rich wildlife habitats, not least as reflected in the presence of separate Special Areas of Conservation. Conserving and, where necessary, enhancing the natural beauty of this unique landscape is a primary theme. These will be revised throughout the lifetime of the MWLP. | To update the text.   |
| MC3.h     | Footnote 20                           | http://www.malvernhillsaonb.org.uk/managing-the-aonb/management-plan/ http://www.malvernhillsaonb.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/04/64217-Malvern-Hills-AONB-Management-Plan-2019-24-v06.pdf  | To update the weblink.  |
| MC3.j     | Footnote 21                           | http://www.wyevalleyaonb.org.uk/index.php/about-us/management-and-guidance/management-plan-2015-2020/ https://www.wyevalleyaonb.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/dlm_uploads/Wye-Valley-AONB-Management-Plan-2021-26-finalised.pdf   | To update the weblink.  |
| MC3.k     | 3.3.37                                | In response to this judgement, and discussion with Natural England, the council concluded that the measures set out in the River Wye SAC NMP could no longer be relied upon and <u>in March 2020</u> issued three <u>new</u> documents relevant to development that could affect the River Wye SAC. At the time of writing the  | To update the text recognising that these were no longer the most recent documents on the matter. |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference                      | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change   |
|-----------|--|--|---------------------|
|           |  | MWLP, the most recent versions of these documents were published in March 2020 and titled:   |                     |
| MC3.m     | 3.3.38 (new) This edit also introduced a new footnote (27) | In April 2021, the council issued 'Position Statement - Development in the River Lugg Catchment Area, April 2021 An Update <sup>27</sup> (River Lugg Catchment Position Statement (April 2021)) that confirmed the River Wye SAC NMP is under review with the intention to provide an increased level of certainty around phosphate reduction and timescales. The River Lugg Catchment Position Statement (April 2021) reports on the Interim Phosphate Delivery Plan that is being development in consultation with Natural England and provides a revised position in relation to discharges to drainage fields. | To update the text. |
| MC3.n     | 3.3.39 (new)   | The River Lugg Catchment Position Statement (April 2021) (under title 'In the Interim') reiterates previous advice that:  'On Natural England's advice, there remains potential for a positive appropriate assessment, where it can be demonstrated that development is nutrient neutral or would lead to betterment to enable development to proceed. Proposals will need to provide appropriate evidence of avoidance/mitigation measures. (Refer to Stage 2 of the Interim Plan for guidance).'   | To update the text. |
| MC3.p     | 3.3.40 (new)   | It is clear that the details around phosphate reduction and the protection of the River Wye SAC will change over the plan period; however, the fundamental aim remains to be that any new development with a connection to the River Wye SAC will need to demonstrate at least nutrient neutrality in order to gain planning permission.   | To update the text. |
| MC3.q     | 3.4.1 (first bullet under minerals)                        | Ensuring a continuity of minerals supply to meet the social and economic needs of the county to <b>20312041</b> , taking account of cross-boundary supply challenges.  | To update the text. |



| Mod. Ref.  | Paragraph/policy/           | Proposed Change   | Reason for Change                             |
|------------|-----------------------------|---|---|
|            | figure reference            |   |   |
| Section 4. | Vision, Objectives an       | d Spatial Strategy  |   |
| MC4.a      | Table 1, MWLP<br>Objectives | There are two objectives numbered 11. The second has been amended to number 12 and the objective formerly number 12 has been renumbered to 13.  | To ensure the numbers run correctly.          |
| MC4.b      | 4.3.3                       | Sand and gravel working is to be focussed within the large expanse of <b>reserve resource</b> that wraps around the northern and eastern sides of Hereford and at Shobdon, to the north-west of Hereford. These <b>reserves resources</b> are well located to supply aggregate for the growth proposed in Hereford and having two areas brings resilience to supply.      | To replace text with the correct terminology. |
| MC4.c      | 4.3.4                       | Focusing future sand and gravel workings within these areas provides the industry with access to a large area of <b>reserves</b> <u>resource</u> , but means that a proliferation of minerals development across the county can be avoided. Optimal extraction can be promoted at these areas before new reserves are opened.   | To replace text with the correct terminology. |
| MC4.d      | 4.3.5                       | Limestone working will be preferred within the <b>reserves</b> located to the north of the county and to the east of Hereford. The two areas provide resilience to supply and provide more local supply potential to the main settlements of Herefordshire.   | To replace text with the correct terminology. |
| Section 5. | Strategic Policy and G      | General Principles  |   |
| MC5.a      | 5.4.1<br>As modified: 5.4.5 | To relocate this paragraph under the sub heading Landscape and Townscape – Core Strategy policy LD1.  | To improve the flow of text in MWLP.          |
| MC5.b      | 5.4.10                      | The Ecological Mitigation Plan should specify working methods, timings and buffers within the development site to protect vulnerable features, including <a href="European sites National Network Sites">European sites National Network Sites</a> . The size and shape of the buffer will be defined on a site-by-site basis dependent on the attributes of the feature. | To update the terminology.                    |



| Mod. Ref. | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change   | Reason for Change  |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|---|--|
| MC5.c     | 5.4.21                                | The sand and gravel sites at Shobdon and Upper Lyde are Local Geological Sites designated for their glacial and glacial-fluvial features respectively, whilst suballuvial gravels have been extracted at Wellington that may hold clues to the changing drainage patter <b>n</b> s in Herefordshire in glacial times.   | To correct a typo  |
| MC5.d     | 5.7.13                                | Coal has historically been worked in Herefordshire, in the far south of the county with the <b>reserve resource</b> largely contained within the Forest of Dean.  | To replace text with the correct terminology.  |
| SECTION 6 | Minerals                              |   |  |
| MC6.a     | 6.1.8                                 | Because mineral resources may be substantial, it is possible for more than one quarry to operate within a single <b>reserve</b> area <b>of resource</b> , either through extensions or new quarries opening up in the vicinity of an existing site.   | To replace text with the correct terminology.  |
| MC6.b     | 6.1.9                                 | Figure 7 sets out the mineral <b>reserve resource</b> and key elements of infrastructure safeguarded by policy M1. Policy M2 provides further detail.   | To replace text with the correct terminology.  |
| MC6.c     | 6.1.12                                | Figure 7 presents the Minerals Safeguarding Areas for Herefordshire, incorporating: areas of <b>reserve resource</b> indicated by the British Geological Survey data; surface coal resource areas from the Coal Authority; currently consented quarries and their associated infrastructure; the operating <b>rail head railhead</b> at Moreton-on-Lugg; and the disused railhead at Moreton Business Park. Figure 7 is available in its original A3 format at Annex A, with key policy details included in the interactive mapping available on the Herefordshire Council website. | To replace text with the correct terminology.  To ensure the term is presented consistently throughout the MWLP. |
| MC6.d     | Figure 7 (title)                      | Fogire Figure 7   | To correct a spelling error.   |
| MC6.e     | 6.1.15                                | Policy M2 applies to all minerals resources, regardless of whether they have gained the necessary planning permission to be worked. Identification of these areas does not imply that any application for the working of minerals within them will be granted planning permission. Policy M2 also applies to the  | To ensure the term is presented consistently throughout the MWLP.  |



| Mod. Ref.  | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change                             |
|------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|
|            |                                       | infrastructure associated with the mineral resource, including rail heads railheads.   |   |
| MC6.f      | 6.2.4                                 | Therefore, regardless of which forecast most closely represents the real outcome for sand and gravel over the lifetime of the <b>Draft</b> MWLP, there will be a need for additional reserves of sand and gravel to be consented to meet demand from 2027 onwards.   | To update the text.                           |
| MC6.g      | 6.2.7                                 | Sand and gravel working is to be focussed within the large expanse of <b>reserve resource</b> that wraps around the northern and eastern sides of Hereford and at Shobdon, to the north-west of Hereford.  | To replace text with the correct terminology. |
| MC6.h      | 6.2.16                                | Limestone working will be preferred within the <b>reserve</b> resource located to the north of the county and to the east of Hereford.   | To replace text with the correct terminology. |
| SECTION 7. | . Waste                               |  |   |
| MC7.a      | 7.1.8                                 | Consequently, the policy priority is <b>to</b> provide a positive framework within which to deliver additional waste management capacity, addressing all levels of the waste hierarchy, except non-hazardous disposal, but making development opportunities for residual waste treatment facilities particularly attractive. | To add in a missing word.                     |
| MC7.b      | 7.2.18<br>As modified: 7.2.15         | The River Wye SAC <b>Nutrient Management Plan NMP</b> makes clear that the farming community plays a crucial role in the River Wye SAC catchment.  | To keep the terminology consistent            |
| MC7.c      | 7.2.19<br>As modified: 7.2.16         | Whilst the River Wye SAC NMP is being reviewed, the 'Top 5' recommendations for agriculture directly addressing waste management practices, remain relevant including:   | To update the text.                           |
| MC7.d      |                                       | Paragraphs formerly numbered 7.2.29 and 7.2.30 are changed to 7.2.28 and 7.2.29.   | Formatting.                                   |



| Mod. Ref.  | Paragraph/policy/<br>figure reference         | Proposed Change  | Reason for Change   |  |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| Section 8. Delivery, Implementation and Monitoring |   |  |   |  |  |
| MC8.a  | 8.3.5   | It should be remembered that not all the information will be readily available annually. For example, the Environment Agency is responsible for <b>collecting collating</b> information on C&I, CD&E and hazardous waste and recording this it within the Waste Data Interrogator, with an annual update being made available. | To address comment made in representation and clarify the role of the EA.                                 |  |  |
| MC8.b  | Table 3 (header row)                          | Draft MWLP Policy  | To update the text.   |  |  |
| MC8.c  | Table 3<br>(indicator, policies<br>M3 and M4) | Record of consented reserve worked annually and destination of mineral, the Local Aggregate Assessment.  | To address comment raised in representation and to make clear the role of the LAA in monitoring the MWLP. |  |  |