

## Jacobs, Claire

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 28 January 2016 22:27  
**To:** Howells, Mathew  
**Subject:** {Disarmed} LTP4 Consultation Response

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Dear Mr Howells,

Please find my completed consultation survey below:

**1. Enable economic growth - by building new roads linking new developments to the transport network and by reducing short distance car journeys. Please rate priority from 1-5 (1 being most important)**

5.

**2. Provide a good quality transport network for all users – by being proactive in our asset management and by working closely with the public, Highways England and rail and bus companies.**

5.

**3. Promote healthy lifestyles – by making sure new developments maximise walking, cycling and bus use, by delivering and promoting active travel schemes and by reducing short distance single occupant car journeys on our roads.**

1.

**4. Make journeys easier and safer – by making bus and rail tickets compatible and easier to buy and use, by providing ‘real time’ information at well-equipped transport hubs, by improving signage to walking and cycling routes and by helping people feel safe during their journeys.**

1.

**5. Ensure access to services for those living in rural areas – by improving the resilience of our road network and by working closely with all transport operators to deliver a range of transport options particularly for those without a car.**

1.

**6. Do you have any further comments you would like us to consider?**

I strongly object to the proposed Southern Link Road (SLR) which simply diverts traffic from the A465 to the A49 increasing congestion on the A49 and is a waste of £27 million. £27 million would be far better spent on useful transport measures which would actually reduce congestion e.g. a new bridge, sustainable transport etc.

I object to cuts to bus services, especially in the rural areas where buses are vital.

**We have set out our spending priorities and would like to understand your priorities for transport spending (1 highest, 5 lowest). The figures shown are typical core funding allocations and exclude funding for major schemes.**

#### **7. Capital Schemes**

**Planned/structural maintenance £9.5M**

**8. Walking and cycling schemes £0.7M**

1.

**9. Public transport (shelters, kerbs etc.) £0.1M**

2.

**10. Road safety improvements and safer routes to school £0.4M**

1.

#### **11. Revenue schemes**

**Reactive maintenance (highways, grounds, etc.) c.£6.5M/year**

1.

**12. Bus route subsidy/publicity c.£1.1M/year**

1.

**13. Concessionary transport c £1.3M/year**

3.

**14. Road safety and sustainable transport promotions c £100k/year**

1.

**15. Do you have any further comments you would like us to consider?**

Re. compliance with speed limits, I feel far more speed enforcement is required in order to ban and remove the many speeding and dangerous drivers across Herefordshire's roads in order to make the roads safer for the rest of us.

The 'school run' in Hereford results in greatly increased traffic during term times and I very much approve of proposals such as "walking buses" to help reduce congestion and provide exercise.

**16. Do you agree with the approach taken for this SEA? If not, please explain why?**

[9631148369] Yes

[9631148370] No

If 'no' please explain

No comment as I did not have time to read it.

**17. Do you agree with the findings of the SEA? If not, please explain why?**

[9631149290] Yes

[9631149291] No

If 'no' please explain

No comment as I did not have time to read it.

**18. Do you have any recommendation for further indicators or parameters to include in the monitoring framework of the SEA?**

No comment as I did not have time to read it.

**19. Do you feel the performance indicators (Page 36 LTP4 strategy and delivery) will accurately reflect the true picture of Transport in Herefordshire? If no please explain why**

[9642516674] Yes

[9642516675] No

[9642516676] If no, please explain

**20. Which of the policies or schemes identified in LTP 4 will be most beneficial to you?**

Improved road safety

**21. Do you feel you will be disadvantaged by the policies or schemes identified in LTP 4?**

Yes, disadvantaged by Road building e.g. the SLR - I am totally against this environmentally damaging road.

I strongly object to the proposed SLR which simply diverts traffic from the A465 to the A49 increasing congestion on the A49 and is a waste of £27 million. £27 million would be far better spent on useful transport measures which would actually reduce congestion e.g. a new bridge, sustainable transport etc.

**22. Do you agree with the extent of the proposed strategic highway network?**

No I am totally against the environmentally damaging SLR.

Thank-you  
Kind regards,

