



## EARDISLEY GROUP Neighbourhood Plan

### Consultation Statement

**Introduction:** There have been many consultation events of various kinds in Eardisley Group since January 2013, in order to gather statistically reliable views from residents, businesses, services and community groups and people who use but do not live in the villages. This information has been used to form the policy and supporting statements of the Eardisley Group Neighbourhood Development Plan.

The events are listed here in date order, with supporting documentation. Additional documentation can be found on the Plan website [www.eardisleygroupplan.co.uk](http://www.eardisleygroupplan.co.uk)

**Event 1:** 01-15 Feb 2013 Initial consultation with residents  
 Purpose: To enable the PC to gain residents' permission to do a Neighbourhood Plan

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending / responding	Response
Eardisley Group residents by household	01-15 Feb 2013	Delivered and collected a letter to every occupied home in the Group Parishes, explaining Neighbourhood Planning (NP) and asking permission to make a Plan.	363 replies from 475 delivered	76% of households responded; 96% of the responses said YES to making a Plan

**Relevant documents:**

- [EGPC Final letter and leaflet re NP for Group households Jan 2013](#)
- [Report for Residents Feb 2013](#)
- [Final Breakdown of Results](#)

**Event 2:** 23 Apr to 2 May 2013 NP Meetings in each Parish  
 Purpose: To launch the NP project in each of the 3 villages and gather a first impression of issues.

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending/ responding	Response
Eardisley residents	23.04.13	Evening meeting in the VH widely advertised to all residents. Presentation on various aspects of NP with questions. Some people noted issues of concern (not the main purpose of this event)	68	Positive, lots of relevant questions, good buzz at the end when people talked to each other; 19 volunteered to help; 47 post-its written
Whitney residents	29.04.13	Evening meeting in the VH widely advertised to all residents. Presentation on various aspects of NP with questions. Some people noted issues of concern.	39	Positive, good crowd for the population of 93, good questions. 13 volunteered to help; 42 post-its written
Winforton residents	02.05.13	Evening meeting in the VH, widely advertised. Followed on after the PC AGM. Presentation on various aspects of NP with questions.	26	Positive / quiet. Questions good, but following the AGM made the evening too long. Did not ask for post-its.

## Event 2 Issues:

Village	Issues raised + number of post-its for each	How this info was carried forward
Eardisley	Roads/speed 17; Access 8; Flooding 2; Low cost homes 4; Car park 1; Cycle routes 1; Jobs 2; Local character 2; Cleaner streets 1; Design 1; Transport 3; Trees/hedges 3; Be positive 1; Avoid elitism 1.	These topics formed the basis for the questions that would be asked, to elicit more detail, at the next NP event
Whitney	Traffic/speed 3; Flooding 17; Affordable homes 3; Fast internet 3; No Streetlights 1; Condition of roads, ditches, verges 8; Transport/buses 7; Library 1; Tractor size / speed 2.	These topics formed the basis for the questions that would be asked, to elicit more detail, at the next NP event
Winforton	(No post-its were asked for because of the length of the 2 meetings on this evening)	

## Relevant documents:

4. [Launch Flyer – delivered to every home](#)
5. [Launch Event Presentation e.g. Winforton](#)
6. [Summary of Launch Outcomes Apr 2013](#)
7. [Parish Magazine Items for June 2013](#)

## Event 3: June 2013 Consultation with Businesses

Purpose: To gather views for the NP from the specific point of view of local businesses

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending/ responding	Response
Businesses in each of the 3 parishes	Throughout June 2013	Questionnaire delivered to and collected from businesses in each of the 3 parishes	46 replies from 70 delivered 66%	Candid and thorough; Optimistic about the future of their own businesses and about business in the Group

## Event 3 Issues:

Issues raised and percent support for each	How this info was used in the Plan
<b>Kinds of employment the Plan should encourage to this area:</b> Tourism & tourist businesses (e.g. B&B's, camping, holiday lets, pubs, cafes, & shops) - 46%; Social Enterprises or Community Services - 15%; Food & Drink Production - 12%; Offices and Professional Services - 11%; Light Industry & Manufacturing - 9%; Transport & Distribution 7%.	References to the NP: J3 e) and 9.1.4, 9.1.5
<b>Allocate more land for employment purposes:</b> 61% YES; 11% NO; 28% don't know	10.1 and 10.2; J3 a), b) and d); 8.2.13
<b>Types of site best for employment purposes:</b> Use of existing buildings - 56%; Brown field land - 37%; Green field – 5%.	J3 a), b) and d); 8.2.13; MD1 d); 10.1, 10.2;
<b>Where should employment land be located:</b> In or around the 3 villages (86%) with Eardisley favourite - 43%; Winforton - 23%; and Whitney - 20%.	9.1 Background, bullet 4; 9.1.6; 10.1, 10.2
<b>Support for working from home:</b> Very strong YES - 87%; no-one against; 13% Don't know.	J2 a) and b); 9.1.2, 9.1.3
<b>Factors which would encourage businesses to locate to the Eardisley Group area:</b> Faster Broadband 24%; Workshop / office space in converted older premises for rent or sale 17%; Homes for rent or sale with separate workshop space onsite 15%; Better road access 12%; Homes for rent or sale with integral workshop space 12%; Purpose built workshop or office space for rent or sale 11%; Homes for rent or sale with separate storage space outside 8%	9.1 Background bullets 5,6 J1 all points; 9.1.1; J2 a); J3 a) to e); 9.1.7; MD1 d) and f); 10.3; 8.2.13, 8.2.14, 8.2.15
<b>Constraints preventing businesses from moving to this area, or existing businesses expanding:</b> Most often mentioned: Too remote from road network for transport; Poor Broadband. Fewer mentions: Poor mobile phone coverage; Suitable space not available; Inadequate parking; Poor public transport; High Council rates & poor services; Age demographic – not enough working age people; Skills shortage; Local opposition/complaints; Planning constraints.	9.1 Background bullets 5,6 J1 all; J2 all; J3 all; T2 parking; T3 public transport; SD1; H3 and H4 affordable, and balanced housing mix ; 8.2.14
<b>What will support development of business:</b> Council business rates lower; Better local support; Attract more tourists; Better Broadband; More available trained staff; More relaxed planning; Attract younger people with suitable affordable housing; Better car parking; Suitable space.	J1 all; J3 e); T2 parking; H3 Affordable housing; 8.2.13, 8.2.14, 8.2.15

<b>What additional space will your business require over the Plan period to 2031?</b> 72% of responders expect to expand and require more space with a third of all responders expecting to need a further 200 square meters or more; The type of space required is:- Industrial / workshop / craft 36%, Office 18%, Storage 18%, Retail 15% and Other 12%	MD1 d) flexible space; J3 a) to e).
---	--

#### Relevant documents:

8. [Business Questionnaire 2013](#)
9. [Business Questionnaire Results Summary](#)

#### Event 4: 02 to 04 July Eardisley School Consultation

Purpose: To gather views for the NP from the specific point of view of the school

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending	Response
Governors	02.07.13	A discussion based on set questions at a Governors' Meeting	13	Lively, forward thinking attitude shown by all
Staff	03.07.13	Question based discussion	4	Committed, frank
Children	04.07.13	Presentation to children with questions	43, ages 6-11	Very enthusiastic and positive!

#### Event 4 Issues

Eardisley School	Issues raised - discussed and agreed by all Governors	How this info was carried forward in NP
Governors	Lack of <b>parking</b> ; <b>Speed</b> of cars on the main road; Numbers / size of <b>lorries</b> on main road and beside the school; Insufficient street <b>railings</b> ; The <b>age of the building</b> makes it costly to maintain and update, with integrity; The <b>conservation area</b> imposes limitations; Need for local <b>homes &amp; jobs</b> for young families; Need to prepare for gov't changes in policy re schools – <b>plan for a new school</b> ; Integrated approach – homes, jobs, infrastructure, new school, new village hall.	Off-road school parking, jobs and homes MD1 a) to f); Provision for a new school 8.1.3, 8.1.4, 8.1.5; Traffic T1-3; Jobs J2-3; Affordable homes H3; Eardisley homes H4
Staff	Parking; traffic; need a minibus; building design and limitations; need for community buildings for school use; Jobs and homes, <b>similar to governors</b>	MD1; T1-3, including community transport; H3
Children	They like living in Eardisley and the area; too much traffic; mobile signal poor; otherwise nothing bad about the area; need more jobs, shops and things to do if they stay here as adults; also better emergency services and parks; becoming more 'green' by growing food and recycling.	T1, T3; Mobile J1; J3 MD1; 8.1.1 to 8.1.7; Green spaces E4; Saving energy E3

#### Relevant documents:

10. [Consultation results – Eardisley School Governors](#)
11. [Consultation results – Eardisley School Staff](#)
12. [Summary of Eardisley School Children's Event](#)

#### Event 5: 23 July to 01 August Public Consultation Events

Purpose: To gather more breadth and depth of information in order to build a good questionnaire

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending	Response
Eardisley residents	23.07.13	A drop-in event open from 11.00am to 9.00pm where residents could drop in and write post-it notes about their views on various topics	119	405 post-it note comments. Lots of discussion in small and changing groups.
Whitney residents	30.07.13	A drop-in event open from 2.00pm to 8.00pm where residents could drop in and write post-it notes about their views on various topics	16	93 post-it note comments. A lot of people took time to think, write a lot and chat.
Winforton residents	01.08.13	A drop-in event open from 2.00pm to 8.00pm where residents could drop in and write post-it notes about their views on various topics	19	79 post-it note comments. A quiet event with chat mostly in pairs and lots of writing

## Event 5 Issues

Village	Issues raised	Number for this issue	How this info was carried forward – used to build the Residents' Questionnaire
Eardisley	Related to housing / infrastructure; Employment; Roads and traffic; Transport; Access – buildings, pavements, paths; Flooding and drains; Open spaces; Community buildings and services; parking; Energy – using resources well; Building and sustaining a viable community; Other;	85 32 55} 41} 32} 21] 17] 61) 16) 28 17	Q - 1–12, 33 – re numbers, types and location Q – 13 to 21 – re types, location, attracting new Q – 22 to 29 – re identifying traffic problems and problems to do with buses and transport and problems to do with access and parking Q – 30-32, 34-35 – re local heritage, natural environment, flooding, treasured views Q 36 to 38 – Re improving community services including mobile and broadband Q 39, 40 – Re saving / producing energy
Whitney	Housing; Employment; Roads and traffic; Transport; Access – footpaths and cycling; Flooding and drains; Open spaces; Community buildings and services; Energy; Building community; Other, mostly mobile signal and broadband	19 8 14} 8} 7} 11] 4] 11) 3) 3 5	Q - 1–12, 33 – re numbers, types and location Q – 13 to 21 – re types, location, attracting new Q – 22 to 29 – re identifying traffic problems and problems to do with buses and transport and problems to do with access and parking Q – 30-32, 34-35 – re local heritage, natural environment, flooding, treasured views Q 36 to 38 – Re improving community services including mobile and broadband Q 39, 40 – Re saving / producing energy
Winforton	Housing; Employment; Roads and traffic; Transport; Access – footpaths and cycling; Flooding / drains mainly wanting public sewerage ; Open spaces; Community buildings and services; Building community; Energy – all wanting mains gas; Other, mostly mobile signal and broadband	15 3 13} 10} 4} 9] 1] 4) 1) 4 15	Q - 1–12, 33 – re numbers, types and location Q – 13 to 21 – re types, location, attracting new Q – 22 to 29 – re identifying traffic problems and problems to do with buses and transport and problems to do with access and parking Q – 30-32, 34-35 – re local heritage, natural environment, flooding, treasured views Q 36 to 38 – Re improving community services including mobile and broadband Q 39, 40 – Re saving / producing energy

### Relevant documents:

13. [Parish Magazine items for July 2013](#)
14. [Display panels for July Drop-in Days July 2013](#)
15. [Post-its Eardisley Drop-in 23 July 13](#)
16. [Post-its Whitney Drop-in 30 July 13](#)
17. [Post-its Winforton Drop-in 01 August 13](#)
18. [Eardisley Group Residents' Questionnaire](#)

## Event 6: 1 July to 15 September Consultation with Community Groups and Services

Purpose: To gather views for the NP from the specific point of views of community groups and services.

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number responding	Response
Community groups	From 1 July to 15 Sep 13	Questionnaire delivered to and collected from Community Groups and Services in the 3 Group Parishes. The questions asked are in 'Analysis – Part 1' below	25 out of 29 delivered	Of the 25 groups that responded, 20 made specific suggestions (listed in 'Analysis Parts 2 and 3') for the Neighbourhood Plan

## Event 6 Issues

Who was consulted	Issues raised	Groups for this issue	How this info was carried forward – used to build the residents' questionnaire
Groups and services	Car parks to support community activities	8	Q – 28, 29 for views on parking
	Provide school parking	3	Q – 28, 29 for views on school parking
	Build a bigger village hall on a site with parking	3	Q – 36 to 38 for views on village halls
	Provide 'offices'/ space for community groups	3	Q – 36 to 38 for views on village facilities
	Keep village hall in the centre of Eardisley	1	Q – 36 to 38 for views on Eardisley village hall
	Enlarge the school or build a new one	2	Q – 36 to 38 for views on Eardisley School
	Put a community building on land behind school	1	Q – 36 to 38 for views on village buildings
	Traffic calming	4	Q – 22 to 25 for views on traffic calming
	Reduce numbers of HGVs	5	Q – 22 to 25 for views on HGVs
	Improve pavements	5	Q – 22 to 25 for views on pavements
	Improve public transport	8	Q – 26, 27 for views on public transport
	Build sheltered accommodation for the elderly	2	Q – 5, 8 for views on sheltered housing
	Build affordable housing	6	Q – 2, 5, 8 for views on affordable housing
	Build housing but not too much	3	Q – 1 to 4 for preferred housing numbers
	Faster broadband	6	Q - 17, 36 to 38 for views on broadband
Improve mobile coverage	6	Q – 17, 36 to 38 for views on mobile coverage	
Encourage jobs	5	Q – 13 to 21 for views on encouraging jobs	

### Relevant documents:

19. [Analysis of Community Groups and Services Responses – part 1](#)
20. [Analysis of Community Groups and Services Responses – part 2](#)
21. [Analysis of Community Groups and Services Responses – part 3](#)
22. [Young Adults' Facebook survey results](#)
23. [Eardisley Group Residents' Questionnaire](#)

**Event 7:** 4 September to 22 September Circulation of Questionnaires to all Residents  
 Purpose: To offer every resident of 16 or more years the chance to give their views on a wide range of issues flagged up by residents who attended Drop-in days

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number returned	Response
Every 16+ resident of Eardisley Whitney and Winforton	4 <sup>th</sup> to 22 <sup>nd</sup> Sept 2013	A detailed questionnaire built on the output of events 5 and 6 was delivered to every resident 16 years or over throughout the Group parishes	747	747, or 82% of the 909 questionnaires that were delivered were returned. This figure includes 26 people who, because of illness or long-term absence from home were unable to complete the form

## Event 7 Issues

Questions presented in the Questionnaire	This info carried forward by:
<b>Housing:</b> Land; size; type; tenure; location; total numbers; number at one time; features	Detailed reports of the results were compiled by Group, by village and by age. See Full Report below. A range of options was developed by which the results for each section could be delivered. These options were later presented to residents for consideration and choice at village 'Options Days'.
<b>Jobs:</b> land; type of land; location; business sectors; how to attract; home-working	
<b>Traffic &amp; Transport:</b> Concerns; means of calming; pavements; buses; parking	
<b>Environment:</b> heritage; natural environment; flooding; boundaries, places/views to protect	
<b>Community services:</b> young/old/disabled; fire; health; leisure facilities; broadband; mobile	
<b>Sustainable community:</b> saving/producing renewable energy; food; fewer cars; better age mix	

The vast amount of information from the 747 11-page returned questionnaires was compiled into reports by Data Orchard, a Community Interest Company hired by the EGNP steering group. The full report is number 28 below.

Alongside the main Questionnaire, smaller, optional surveys were distributed to residents asking for:

1. Possible land for development to be put forward, and
2. Present or known future housing needs could be put forward.

These surveys appear in the relevant documents below.

**Relevant documents:**

24. [Eardisley Group Residents' Questionnaire](#)
25. [Possible Land for Development Survey](#)
26. [Local Housing Needs Survey](#)
27. [Report on the delivery & collection of Residents' Questionnaires](#)
28. [EGPC Full Report on the Residents' Questionnaire](#)
29. [Parish Magazine items for November 2013](#)

**Event 8** 19 Feb to 8 March 2014 Consultation on Options

Purpose: To offer residents a chance to choose among options for delivering the changes requested in responses to the Questionnaire

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending	Response
<b>Eardisley</b>	19 Feb 2014	Drop-in day 11.00am to 9.00pm with 37 'A2 Boards', displaying options for Sustainability, Traffic & Transport, Housing, Jobs & Economy, Environment, and Community.	100 +4 emailed	Post-it comments: Housing – 113; Traffic & Transport – 68; Environment – 55; Jobs & Economy – 36; Communities – 24; Sustainability – 14
<b>Winforton</b>	27 Feb 2014	Drop-in day 11.00am to 9.00pm with 34 'A2 Boards', displaying options for Sustainability, Traffic & Transport, Housing, Jobs & Economy, Environment, and Community.	42	Post-it comments: Housing – 84; Traffic & Transport – 77; Environment – 64; Jobs & Economy – 21; Communities – 20; Sustainability – 27
<b>Whitney</b>	08 Mar 2014	Drop-in day 11.00am to 9.00pm with 37 'A2 Boards', displaying options for Sustainability, Traffic & Transport, Housing, Jobs & Economy, Environment, and Community.	40	Post-it comments: Housing – 93; Traffic & Transport – 53; Environment – 63; Jobs & Economy – 42; Communities – 57; Sustainability – 19

**Event 8 Issues**

Village	Issues raised	Carried forward
<b>Eardisley</b>	<p><b>Housing:</b> • Concern over infrastructure (roads, sewerage, telecoms etc.) • General preference for Brownfield sites • Some people felt should develop north &amp; west but majority seemed happy with east. • Very split over South of Almeley road development ( 9 'likes', 10 'dislikes' &amp; 5 'reservations') • More support for developing east of the school as it is Brownfield ( 13 'likes', 6 'dislikes' &amp; 1 'reservation') • Building on both sites (east of school and south of Almeley Rd) was also very split (10 'likes', 9 'dislikes' and 2 'reservations') • General view seems to be develop RBS area first &amp; then if necessary south of Almeley road • In summary apart from a general view to minimise Greenfield development there was no 'big body' of reaction against any of the possible developments</p> <p><b>Traffic &amp; Transport:</b> • Car parks &amp; safe school drop off very popular • Concerns over pavements and speed &amp; volume of traffic. All options to improve the situation were popular</p> <p><b>Environment:</b> • Many worried about building on flood plain but others questioning whether it really is a flood plain (e.g. hasn't flooded this year) • Biggest reaction of the day was against the anaerobic digester • Would you take an allotment? 43 residents no and only 2 residents yes • Vote on Increasing Precept to maintain green areas 49 yes with no one against</p>	<p><b>Housing</b> – to Housing Options Day in May</p> <p><b>Traffic/Transport</b> Formed into policy for the NP</p> <p><b>Environment</b> – formed into policy for the NP</p>

	<p><b>Jobs &amp; Economy:</b> • Broadband &amp; mobile coverage very important • Jobs generally a key issue. All options to increase employment were popular</p> <p><b>Communities:</b> • 39 residents wanted a new village hall on Old Sawmills and 12 near Eastfield • New village hall / community centre and child care facility were popular</p> <p><b>Sustainability:</b> • No disagreement at all on proposals</p>	<p><b>Jobs/Economy</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Community</b> – to NP Policy</p> <p><b>Sustainability</b> – to NP policy</p>
<b>Winforton</b>	<p><b>Housing:</b> • Concern over infrastructure, particularly sewerage • Concern over access to A438 particularly from Winforton Common • General feeling that craft units are not needed • No single plot was particularly favoured. Win 6 was the only plot with more ‘likes’ than ‘dislikes’ (just), Win 2 was the least popular, Win 7 was ‘disliked’ with plots Win 5, 6 &amp; 11 being finely balanced • The favoured example was H1a (7-8 homes on the site north of Courtlands). All other examples were evenly balanced or marginally ‘disliked’. No example was strongly ‘disliked’.</p> <p><b>Traffic &amp; Transport:</b> • 3-2-1 signage very popular • All other options were generally ‘liked’ except traffic ‘islands’ which were on balance ‘disliked’</p> <p><b>Environment:</b> • All options were ‘liked’ • The Question ‘Do we want a Settlement Boundary.....?’ 5 residents indicated YES &amp; 4 indicated NO • Would you take an allotment? 8 residents NO &amp; 2 YES • Vote on increasing Precept to maintain green areas. 8 residents YES &amp; 6 NO</p> <p><b>Jobs &amp; Economy:</b> • Super-fast broadband by far the most popular option • Craft / light industry 2 ‘likes’ and 2 ‘dislikes’ • All other options supported but with little enthusiasm</p> <p><b>Communities:</b> • Cycleway joining the villages popular • Maintaining high standard of paths &amp; pavements &amp; access to community buildings also popular • All other options supported but with little enthusiasm</p> <p><b>Sustainability:</b> • Living within the planet’s environmental limits received the most interest with 6 ‘likes’ &amp; 3 ‘reservations’. The reservations were mainly about wind farms although 1 resident wants wind farms. • All options were supported.</p>	<p><b>Housing</b> – to Housing Options Day in May</p> <p><b>Traffic/Transport</b> Formed into policy for the Plan</p> <p><b>Environment</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Jobs/Economy</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Communities</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Sustainability</b> – to NP policy</p>
<b>Whitney</b>	<p><b>Housing:</b> • Little appetite for building new homes. Building up to 5 homes in Duck Street was the only option at all acceptable (4 ‘likes’ &amp; 2 ‘dislikes’). Building 15 – 18 homes received 4 ‘likes’ and 9 ‘dislikes’. Building 25 – 30 homes received 1 ‘like’ and 13 ‘dislikes’. • Still considerable concern over flooding. Even the Duck Street site causes concern over ‘run off’ which may result in excess water further down the village. • Not much support for a facility for the elderly or a village green. • Considerable support for a footpath from Duck Street to the garage. • A number of residents suggested building on site next to the Church</p> <p><b>Traffic &amp; Transport:</b> • There was approval for islands to help crossing the A438 • Concern generally about any street lighting including illuminating the traffic islands • Considerable support for all traffic calming initiatives, including village gates • Feeling that there is no need for car parks but agreement any new housing should have off road parking • Support for extended pavements and paths • Support for better bus service and local minibus service. But pointed out that Whitney is served by the Hay Dial-a-Ride.</p> <p><b>Environment:</b> • Support for all flood alleviation measures • Support for protecting historic buildings and energy efficiency • Some support for renewable energy, except wind farms &amp; visible solar panels • Considerable support for a settlement boundary (17 Yes and 1 No) • Support for protection &amp; development of green spaces but no appetite for allotments (2 interested and 14 not interested) • With regard to increasing the precept to maintain green spaces 9 residents said Yes and 4 No</p> <p><b>Jobs &amp; Economy:</b> • Support for improved mobile phone &amp; broadband coverage and speed • Cautious support for investment in ‘business’ premises, particularly in existing buildings • Support also for live-work premises • Lot of support for encouraging home working • Mixed feelings over developing homes for young families ( 6 ‘likes’ and 4 ‘reservations’)</p> <p><b>Communities:</b> • Not much support for a facility for the elderly (3 ‘likes’ and 6 ‘reservations’) • Support for all other Community options</p> <p><b>Sustainability:</b> • Not much comment but residents general in favour of the options presented.</p>	<p><b>Housing</b> – to Housing Options Day in May</p> <p><b>Traffic/Transport</b> Formed into policy for the Plan</p> <p><b>Environment</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Jobs/Economy</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Communities</b> – to NP policy</p> <p><b>Sustainability</b> – to NP policy</p>

### Relevant documents

30. [Parish Magazine Items for Feb 2014](#)
31. [Options Days Flyer Feb 2014](#) – delivered to every home
32. [Charts displayed at Options Days Feb/Mar 2014 e.g. Eardisley](#)

- 33. [Options Day Report of Responses from Eardisley Feb 2014](#)
- 34. [Options Day Report of Responses from Winforton Feb 2014](#)
- 35. [Options Day Report of Responses from Whitney Mar 2014](#)
- 36. [Parish Magazine Items for April 2014](#)

**Event 9** 01 May to 29 May 2014 Consultation with residents

Purpose: To find out more about residents' preferences for Housing numbers and locations, in the light of options chosen in the Feb-Mar events

Who was consulted	Dates	Nature of Event	Total attending	Response
Eardisley	21.05 (5-7pm) 22.05 (7-9pm) and 29.05 (5-7pm)	3 Drop-in Events (one in each village). At each event, there were separate displays one for Eardisley, one for Whitney and one for Winforton. Residents went to any one of the 3 events, and responded to the questions for their own village. The total number of residents from each village, attending one of the 3 events during the consultation, is shown.	65	The feedback from Eardisley appeared to show a new preference for a total of 30 new houses rather than 50 previously indicated; the reliability of this number will be checked at Reg 14
Whitney			22	The feedback from Whitney showed a split between Duck Street and Millhalf; further clarification needed – Reg 14
Winforton			28	The feedback from Winforton confirmed and clarified numbers and locations identified in Feb. This info went forward into Plan policy.

**Relevant documents**

- 37. [Parish Magazine Items for May 2014](#)
- 38. [Housing Options Day Flyers](#) - delivered to every home
- 39. [May Housing Options Event Results for Eardisley](#)
- 40. [May Housing Options Event Results for Whitney](#)
- 41. [May Housing Options Event Results for Winforton](#)
- 42. [Parish Magazine Items for June 2014](#)

**Note:** 24th June 2014 – A parish council meeting for residents of Eardisley village was held to consider a planning application for 25 houses which had been submitted. At that meeting there was discussion of the implications for the Neighbourhood Plan of applications being passed before the Neighbourhood Plan is in place. In particular, residents were concerned that a development of 25 houses plus 8 houses that have already been built would exceed the proposed 30 homes indicated by residents for the Plan period, and that building no houses would limit any improvements that could be made with the serious issues facing the village. Residents indicated that they were prepared to build more than the potential 25 plus 8 houses, in order to gain benefit for the village.

**Event 10** 07.10.14 to 17.11.14 The Pre-Submission Reg 14 Consultation Draft EGNDP

Purpose: To gather feedback on the whole Plan from Group Parish Residents and from Stakeholders in order to make any necessary modifications before submission

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Response
Group residents, stakeholders, nearby parishes	07.10.14 to 17.11.14	6 week consultation advertised and run by EGNDP PC Steering Group. Plan, SEA and HRA available on EG and HC websites and hard copies in the villages. Responses by email and paper	<b>140 responses from residents:</b> helpful proposals for clearer wording of phrases and for strengthening the thrust of the Plan; suggestions for small modifications to represent their preferences and address their anxieties better. The Housing numbers were accepted by the majority. The SG, with our planner is making suggested changes to sharpen or improve policy. See doc 52 listing all Reg 14 changes. <b>11 responses</b> from Stakeholders, including 3 from HC. Their suggestions are shown in doc 52 among changes made.



### Relevant documents:

43. [Parish Magazine Items for September 2014](#)
44. [Parish Magazine Items for November 2014](#)
45. [Reg 14 Poster](#) – 30 spread around the Group Parishes
46. [Stakeholders consulted in the EGNDP Reg 14 Consultation](#)
47. [Neighbouring and other parishes consulted in the EGNDP Reg 14 Consultation](#)
48. [Reg 14 Reply Sheet](#)
49. [Eardisley residents' responses to Reg 14](#)
50. [Winforton residents' responses to Reg 14](#)
51. [Whitney residents' responses to Reg 14](#)
52. [Amendments made to the Draft Plan using feedback from Reg 14](#)

**Note:** In December 2014, the application for 25 houses in Eardisley was passed; the first 3 of 5 new planning applications for houses in Winforton were received by HC. The Steering Group regarded it as essential to consult again to be sure about the numbers of houses residents were prepared to accept in the light of significant numbers of potential commitments in their parishes. It was also evident that the issues around flooding in Whitney were still unresolved in some residents' minds.

**Event 11** 01.02.15 to 06.03.15 Further Consultation was held in each village

Purpose: To confirm housing numbers and locations in the light of unanticipated new housing commitments and unresolved flooding issues.

Who was consulted	Date	Nature of Event	Number attending	Response
Winforton	16.02.15	Meeting – no survey was necessary	18	Agreement to no further homes carried forward into NP policy
Eardisley	23.02.15	Meeting followed by a survey of homes in and adjoining Eardisley village	24	204 homes of about 280 delivered responded. Results below.
Whitney	26.02.15	Meeting followed by a survey of all Whitney residents	15	Broad agreement at meeting 64 people / 69% of Whitney population responded to survey

### Event 11 Results

Village	Resolution	Carried forward
Eardisley	Option 1: <b>73 YES</b> ; Option 2: <b>98 YES</b> ; Option 3: <b>33 YES</b> - <b>Result: Option 2 preferred</b>	Into NP policy
Whitney	Support for flood/housing proposal: <b>73% YES</b> ; Support for group: <b>81% YES</b>	Into NP policy

### Relevant documents

53. [Parish Magazine Items for Feb 2015](#)
54. [Poster for Eardisley Meeting in Feb 2015](#) – similar posters were prepared for Whitney and Winforton
55. [Presentation at final NP meeting in Winforton 16.02.15](#)
56. [Presentation at final NP meeting in Eardisley 23.02.15](#)
57. [Presentation at final NP meeting in Whitney 26.02.15](#)
58. [Eardisley Survey by household form 23.02.15](#)
59. [Whitney Residents' Survey form 26.02.15](#)
60. [Eardisley Survey Results Feb 15](#)
61. [Whitney Survey Results Feb 15](#)

## **Other consultation**

Now and then when specific information was needed, simple surveys have been done. For example parents of children at the school kept saying house prices in Eardisley were too high for many of the families using the school to pay. We checked this out with a simple survey at the school gate.

62. [House price survey – Eardisley](#)

63. [Results Eardisley House Price survey](#)

[And finally, the Consultation Methods Matrix for the Plan Development Process](#)